

Appendix A

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Appendix A SUPPORTING MATERIALS

This appendix includes the following supporting information:

- 49 USC 47534: Prohibition on operating certain aircraft weighing 75,000 pounds or less not complying with stage 3 noise levels
- Part 150: Records of Approval, Bob Hope Airport, Burbank, Burbank, California, Approved on 11/27/00
- Part 150: Records of Approval, Bob Hope Airport, Burbank, California, Approved on 8/4/04 (Amendment)

49 USC 47534: Prohibition on operating certain aircraft weighing 75,000 pounds or less not complying with stage 3 noise levels Text contains those laws in effect on September 22, 2013 From Title 49-TRANSPORTATION SUBTITLE VII-AVIATION PROGRAMS PART B-AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT AND NOISE CHAPTER 475-NOISE SUBCHAPTER II-NATIONAL AVIATION NOISE POLICY Jump To: Source Credit References In Text

§47534. Prohibition on operating certain aircraft weighing 75,000 pounds or less not complying with stage 3 noise levels

(a) PROHIBITION.-Except as otherwise provided by this section, after December 31, 2015, a person may not operate a civil subsonic jet airplane with a maximum weight of 75,000 pounds or less, and for which an airworthiness certificate (other than an experimental certificate) has been issued, to or from an airport in the United States unless the Secretary of Transportation finds that the aircraft complies with stage 3 noise levels.

(b) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS OUTSIDE 48 CONTIGUOUS STATES.-Subsection (a) shall not apply to aircraft operated only outside the 48 contiguous States.

(c) TEMPORARY OPERATIONS.-The Secretary may allow temporary operation of an aircraft otherwise prohibited from operation under subsection (a) to or from an airport in the contiguous United States by granting a special flight authorization for one or more of the following circumstances:

(1) To sell, lease, or use the aircraft outside the 48 contiguous States.

(2) To scrap the aircraft.

(3) To obtain modifications to the aircraft to meet stage 3 noise levels.

(4) To perform scheduled heavy maintenance or significant modifications on the aircraft at a maintenance facility located in the contiguous 48 States.

(5) To deliver the aircraft to an operator leasing the aircraft from the owner or return the aircraft to the lessor.

(6) To prepare, park, or store the aircraft in anticipation of any of the activities described in paragraphs (1) through (5).

(7) To provide transport of persons and goods in the relief of an emergency situation.

(8) To divert the aircraft to an alternative airport in the 48 contiguous States on account of weather,

mechanical, fuel, air traffic control, or other safety reasons while conducting a flight in order to perform any of the activities described in paragraphs (1) through (7).

(d) REGULATIONS.-The Secretary may prescribe such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary for the implementation of this section.

(e) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.-

(1) AIP GRANT ASSURANCES.-Noncompliance with subsection (a) shall not be construed as a violation of section 47107 or any regulations prescribed thereunder.

(2) PENDING APPLICATIONS.-Nothing in this section may be construed as interfering with, nullifying, or otherwise affecting determinations made by the Federal Aviation Administration, or to be made by the Administration, with respect to applications under part 161 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, that were pending on the date of enactment of this section.

(Added Pub. L. 112–95, title V, §506(a), Feb. 14, 2012, 126 Stat. 105.)

REFERENCES IN TEXT

The date of enactment of this section, referred to in subsec. (e)(2), is the date of enactment of Pub. L. 112–95, which was approved Feb. 14, 2012.



- <u>State</u>
- [2010 California Building Code, Title 24, Part 2 (First

Printing), Includes Errata/Supplement through July 1, 2012]

- <u>Chapter 12 Interior Environment</u>
 - SECTION 1201 GENERAL
 - SECTION 1202 DEFINITIONS
 - SECTION 1203 VENTILATION
 - SECTION 1204 TEMPERATURE CONTROL
 - SECTION 1205 LIGHTING
 - SECTION 1206 YARDS OR COURTS
 - SECTION 1207 [HCD 1& HCD 2] SOUND TRANSMISSION
 - SECTION 1208 INTERIOR SPACE DIMENSIONS
 - SECTION 1209 ACCESS TO UNOCCUPIED SPACES
 - SECTION 1210 SURROUNDING MATERIALS
 - SECTION 1211 [HCD 1 & HCD 2] GARAGE DOOR SPRINGS
 - SECTION 1212 Reserved
 - SECTION 1213 Reserved
 - SECTION 1214 Reserved
 - SECTION 1215 Reserved
 - SECTION 1216 Reserved
 - SECTION 1217 Reserved
 - SECTION 1218 Reserved
 - SECTION 1219 Reserved
 - SECTION 1220 Reserved
 - SECTION 1221 Reserved
 - SECTION 1222 Reserved
 - SECTION 1223 Reserved
 - SECTION 1224 [OSHPD 1] HOSPITALS
 - SECTION 1225 [OSHPD 2] SKILLED NURSING AND INTERMEDIATE-CARE FACILITIES
 - SECTION 1226 [OSHPD 3] CLINICS
 - SECTION 1227 [OSHPD 4] CORRECTIONAL TREATMENT CENTERS
 - SECTION 1228 Reserved
 - SECTION 1229 Reserved
 - SECTION 1230 [CSA] MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR JUVENILE FACILITIES
 - SECTION 1231 [CSA] LOCAL DETENTION
 - SECTION 1232 Reserved
 - SECTION 1233 Reserved
 - SECTION 1234 Reserved
 - <u>SECTION 1235 [DPH] SANITARY CONTROL OF SHELLFISH (PLANTS AND OPERATIONS)</u>
 - SECTION 1236 [DPH] LABORATORY ANIMAL QUARTERS
 - SECTION 1237 [DPH] WILD ANIMAL QUARANTINE FACILITIES
 - SECTION 1238 Reserved

- SECTION 1239 Reserved
- <u>SECTION 1240 [AGR] MEAT AND POULTRY PROCESSING PLANTS</u>
- <u>SECTION 1241 [AGR] COLLECTION CENTERS AND FACILITIES</u>
- SECTION 1242 [AGR] RENDERERS
- <u>SECTION 1243 [AGR] HORSEMEAT AND PET FOOD ESTABLISHMENTS</u>
- SECTION 1244 Reserved
- SECTION 1245 Reserved
- SECTION 1246 Reserved
- SECTION 1247 Reserved
- SECTION 1248 Reserved
- SECTION 1249 Reserved
- <u>SECTION 1250 [CA] PHARMACIES</u>
- SECTION 1251 [CA] VETERINARY FACILITIES
- SECTION 1252 [CA] BARBER COLLEGES AND SHOPS
- SECTION 1253 [CA] SCHOOLS OF COSMETOLOGY, COSMETOLOGICAL ESTABLISHMENTS AND SATELLITE CLASSROOMS
- <u>SECTION 1254 [CA] ACUPUNCTURE OFFICES</u>

1207.1 Purpose and scope. 1207.2 Definitions. 1207.3 Relevant standards. 1207.4 Complaints 1207.5 Local modification 1207.6 Interdwelling sound transmission control. 1207.7 Airborne sound insulation 1207.8 Impact sound insulation 1207.9 Tested assemblies 1207.10 Certification. 1207.11 Exterior sound transmission control. 1207.12 Compliance. 1207.13 Field testing. 1207.1 Purpose and scope. 1207.2 Definitions. 1207.3 Relevant standards. 1207.4 Complaints 1207.5 Local modification 1207.6 Interdwelling sound transmission control. 1207.7 Airborne sound insulation 1207.8 Impact sound insulation 1207.9 Tested assemblies 1207.10 Certification. 1207.11 Exterior sound transmission control. 1207.12 Compliance. 1207.13 Field testing. Top Previous Section Next Section To view the next subsection please select the Next Section option. SECTION 1207 [HCD 1& HCD 2] SOUND TRANSMISSION

1207.1 Purpose and scope. The purpose of this section is to establish uniform minimum noise insulation performance standards to protect persons within hotels, motels, dormitories, apartment houses and dwellings other than detached single-family dwellings from the effects of excessive noise, including, but not limited to, hearing loss or impairment and interference with speech and sleep. This section shall apply to all buildings for which applications for building permits were made subsequent to August 22, 1974.

1207.2 Definitions. The following special definitions shall apply to this section:

SOUND TRANSMISSION CLASS (STC) is a single-number rating used to compare walls, floor-ceiling assemblies and doors for their sound-insulating properties with respect to speech and small household appliance noise. The STC is derived from laboratory measurements of sound transmission loss across a series of 16 test bands.

Laboratory STC ratings should be used to the greatest extent possible in determining that the design complies with this section.

FIELD SOUND TRANSMISSION CLASS (FSTC) is a single-number rating similar to STC, except that the transmission loss values used to derive the FSTC are measured in the field. All sound transmitted from the source room to the receiving room is assumed to be through the separating wall or floor-ceiling assembly.

This section does not require determination of the FSTC, and field-measured values of noise reduction should not be reported as transmission loss.

IMPACT INSULATION CLASS (IIC) is a single-number rating used to compare the effectiveness of floorceiling assemblies in providing reduction of impact-generated sounds such as footsteps. The IIC is derived from laboratory measurements of impact sound pressure level across a series of 16 test bands using a standardized tapping machine. Laboratory IIC ratings should be used to the greatest extent possible in determining that the design complies with this section.

FIELD IMPACT INSULATION CLASS (FIIC) is a single-number rating similar to the IIC, except that the impact sound pressure levels are measured in the field

NOISE ISOLATION CLASS (NIC) is a single-number rating derived from measured values of noise reduction between two enclosed spaces that are connected by one or more paths. The NIC is not adjusted or normalized to a standard reverberation time.

NORMALIZED NOISE ISOLATION CLASS (NNIC) is a single-number rating similar to the NIC, except that the measured noise reduction values are normalized to a reverberation time of one-half second.

NORMALIZED A-WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL DIFFERENCE (Dn) means for a specified source room sound spectrum, Dn is the difference, in decibels, between the average sound levels produced in two rooms after adjustment to the expected acoustical conditions when the receiving room under test is normally furnished.

DAY-NIGHT AVERAGE SOUND LEVEL (Ldn) is the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound exposure level for a 24-hour period with a 10 db adjustment added to sound levels occurring during nighttime hours (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.).

COMMUNITY NOISE EQUIVALENT LEVEL (CNEL) is a metric similar to the Ldn, except that a 5 db adjustment is added to the equivalent continuous sound exposure level for evening hours (7 p.m. to 10 p.m.) in addition to the 10 db nighttime adjustment used in the Ldn.

1207.2.1 *Masonry.* The sound transmission class of concrete masonry and clay masonry assemblies shall be calculated in accordance with TMS 0302 or determined through testing in accordance with ASTM E 90.

1207.3 Relevant standards. The current edition of the following standards is generally applicable for determining compliance with this section. Copies may be obtained from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) at 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA, 19428-2959.

ASTM C 634, Standard Terminology Relating to Building and Environmental Acoustics.

ASTM E 90, Standard Test Method for Laboratory Measurement of Airborne Sound Transmission Loss of Building Partitions and Elements.

ASTM E 336, Standard Test Method for Measurement of Airborne Sound Attenuation Between Rooms in Buildings.

ASTM E 413, Classification for Rating Sound Insulation.

ASTM E 492, Standard Test Method for Laboratory Measurement of Impact Sound Transmission Through Floor-Ceiling Assemblies Using the Tapping Machine.

ASTM E 497, Standard Recommended Practice for Installation of Fixed Partitions of Light Frame Type for the Purpose of Conserving Their Sound Insulation Efficiency.

ASTM E 597, Recommended Practice for Determining a Single-Number Rating of Airborne Sound Isolation in Multi-unit Building Specifications.

ASTM E 966, Standard Guide for Field Measurements of Airborne Sound Insulation of Building Facades and Facade Elements.

ASTM E 989, Standard Classification for Determination of Impact Insulation Class (IIC).

ASTM E 1007, Standard Test Method for Field Measurement of Tapping Machine Impact Sound Transmission Through Floor-Ceiling Assemblies and Associated Support Structures.

ASTM E 1014, Standard Guide for Measurement of Outdoor A-Weighted Sound Levels.

1207.4 *Complaints*. Where a complaint as to noncompliance with this chapter requires a field test, the complainant shall post a bond or adequate funds in escrow for the cost of said testing. Such costs shall be chargeable to the complainant if the field tests show compliance with this chapter. If the tests show noncompliance, testing costs shall be borne to the owner or builder.

1207.5 Local modification. The governing body of any city or county or city and county may, by ordinance,

adopt changes or modifications to the requirements of this section as set forth in Section 17922.7 of the Health and Safety Code.

1207.6 Interdwelling sound transmission control.

1207.6.1 Wall and floor-ceiling assemblies. Wall and floor-ceiling assemblies separating dwelling units or guest rooms from each other and from public or service areas such as interior corridors, garages and mechanical spaces shall provide airborne sound insulation for walls, and both airborne and impact sound insulation for floor-ceiling assemblies.

Exception: Impact sound insulation is not required for floor-ceiling assemblies over nonhabitable rooms or spaces not designed to be occupied, such as garages, mechanical rooms or storage areas.

1207.7 *Airborne sound insulation*. All such acoustically rated separating wall and floor-ceiling assemblies shall provide airborne sound insulation equal to that required to meet a sound transmission class (STC) rating of 50 based on laboratory tests as defined in ASTM E 90 and E 413. Field-tested assemblies shall meet a noise isolation class (NIC) rating of 45 for occupied units and a normalized noise isolation class (NINIC) rating of 45 for occupied in ASTM E 336 and E 413.

ASTM E 597 may be used as simplified procedure for field tests of the airborne sound isolation between rooms in unoccupied buildings. In such tests, the minimum value of Dn is 45 db for compliance.

Entrance doors from interior corridors together with their perimeter seals shall have STC ratings not less than 26. Such tested doors shall operate normally with commercially available seals.

Solid-core wood-slab doors $1^{3}/_{8}$ inches (35 mm) thick minimum or 18 gauge insulated steel-slab doors with compression seals all around, including the threshold, may be considered adequate without other substantiating information.

Field tests of corridor walls should not include segments with doors. If such tests are impractical, however, the NIC or NNIC rating for the composite wall-door assembly shall not be less than 30.

Penetrations or openings in construction assemblies for piping, electrical devices, recessed cabinets, bathtubs, soffits or heating, ventilating or exhaust ducts shall be sealed, lined, insulated or otherwise treated to maintain the required ratings.

1207.8 Impact sound insulation. All acoustically rated separating floor-ceiling assemblies shall provide impact sound insulation equal to that required to meet a IIC rating of 50 based on laboratory tests as defined in ASTM E 492 and E 989. Field-tested assemblies shall meet a field impact insulation class (FIIC) rating of 45 for both occupied and unoccupied units as defined in ASTM E 1007 and E 989, with the exception that the measured impact sound pressure levels shall not be normalized to a standard amount of absorption in the receiving room.

Floor coverings may be included in the assembly to obtain the required ratings. These coverings must be retained as a permanent part of the assembly and may be replaced only by other floor coverings that provide the required impact sound insulation.

1207.9 Tested assemblies. Laboratory-tested wall or floor-ceiling designs having STC or IIC ratings of 50 or more may be used by the building official to determine compliance with this section during plan review phase. Field tests shall be required by the building official when evidence of sound leaks or flanking paths is noted, or when the separating assembly is not built according to the approved design.

Generic sound transmission control systems as listed in the Catalog of STC and IIC Ratings for Wall and Floor-Ceiling Assemblies, as published by the Office of Noise Control, California Department of Health Services, or the Fire Resistance Design Manual, as published by the Gypsum Association, may be used to evaluate construction assemblies for their sound transmission properties. Other tests from recognized laboratories may also be used. When ratings for essentially similar assemblies differ, and when ratings are below STC or IIC 50, field testing may be used to demonstrate that the building complies with this section.

For field testing, rooms should ideally be large and reverberant for reliable measurements to be made in all test bands. This is often not possible for bathrooms, kitchens, hallways or rooms with large amounts of sound-absorptive materials. Field test results should, however, report the measured values in all bands, noting those which do not meet relevant ASTM criteria for diffusion.

It should be noted that STC ratings do not adequately characterize the sound insulation of construction assemblies when the intruding noise is predominantly low-pitched, as is often produced by amplified music or by large pieces of mechanical equipment.

It should also be noted that the transmission of impact sound from a standardized tapping machine may vary considerably for a given design due to differences in specimen size, flanking transmission through associated structure and the acoustical response of the room below. Laboratory IIC values should therefore be used with caution when estimating the performance of hard-surfaced floors in the field. Additionally, IIC ratings may not always be adequate to characterize the subjectively annoying creak or boom generated by footfalls on a lumber floor.

1207.10 Certification. Field testing, when required, shall be done under the supervision of a person experienced in the field of acoustical testing and engineering, who shall forward test results to the building official showing that the sound isolation requirements stated above have been met. Documentation of field test results should generally follow the requirements outlined in relevant ASTM standards.

1207.11 Exterior sound transmission control.

1207.11.1 *Application*. Consistent with local land-use standards, residential structures located in noise critical areas, such as proximity to highways, county roads, city streets, railroads, rapid transit lines, airports or industrial areas, shall be designed to prevent the intrusion of exterior noises beyond prescribed levels. Proper design shall include, but shall not be limited to, orientation of the residential structure, setbacks, shielding and sound insulation of the building itself.

1207.11.2 Allowable interior noise levels. Interior noise levels attributable to exterior sources shall not exceed 45 db in any habitable room. The noise metric shall be either the day-night average sound level (Ldn) or the community noise equivalent level (CNEL), consistent with the noise element of the local general plan.

Note: Ldn is the preferred metric for implementing these standards. Worst-case noise levels, either existing or future, shall be used as the basis for determining compliance with this section. Future noise levels shall be predicted for a period of at least 10 years from the time of building permit application.

1207.11.3 Airport noise sources. *Residential structures to be located where the annual Ldn or CNEL* (as defined in Title 21, Division 2.5, <u>Chapter 6</u>, Article 1, Section 5001, California Code of *Regulations*) exceeds 60 db shall require an acoustical analysis showing that the proposed design will achieve prescribed allowable interior level. For public-use airports or heliports, the Ldn or CNEL shall be determined from the airport land-use plan prepared by the county wherein the airport is located. For military bases, the Ldn shall be determined from the facility Air Installation Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) plan. For all other airports or heliports, or public-use airports or heliports for which a land-use plan has not been developed, the Ldn or CNEL shall be determined from the noise element of the general plan of the local jurisdiction.

When aircraft noise is not the only significant source, noise levels from all sources shall be added to determine the composite site noise level.

1207.11.4 Other noise sources. Residential structures to be located where the Ldn or CNEL exceeds 60 db shall require an acoustical analysis showing that the proposed design will limit exterior noise to the prescribed allowable interior level. The noise element of the local general plan shall be used to the greatest extent possible to identify sites with noise levels potentially greater than 60 db.

1207.12 Compliance. Evidence of compliance shall consist of submittal of an acoustical analysis report, prepared under the supervision of a person experienced in the field of acoustical engineering, with the application for a building permit. The report shall show topographical relationships of noise sources and dwelling sites, identification of noise sources and their characteristics, predicted noise spectra and levels at the exterior of the proposed dwelling structure considering present and future land usage, basis for the prediction (measured or obtained from published data), noise attenuation measures to be applied, and an analysis of the noise insulation effectiveness of the proposed construction showing that the prescribed interior noise level requirements are met.

If interior allowable noise levels are met by requiring that windows be unopenable or closed, the design for the structure must also specify a ventilation or air-conditioning system to provide a habitable interior environment. The ventilation system must not compromise the dwelling unit or guest room noise reduction.

1207.13 Field testing. When inspection indicates that the construction is not in accordance with the approved design, or that the noise reduction is compromised due to sound leaks or flanking paths, field testing may be required. A test report showing compliance or noncompliance with prescribed interior allowable levels shall be submitted to the building official.

Measurements of outdoor sound levels shall generally follow the guidelines in ASTM E 1014.

Field measurements of the A-weighted airborne sound insulation of buildings from exterior sources shall generally follow the guidelines in ASTM E 966.

For the purpose of this standard, sound level differences measured in unoccupied units shall be normalized to a receiving room reverberation time of one-half second. Sound level differences measured in occupied units shall not be normalized to a standard reverberation time.

Part 150: Records of Approval

Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport, Burbank, California

Approved on 11/27/00

INTRODUCTION

The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport, Burbank, California, Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) describes the current and future noncompatible land uses based upon the parameters established in Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 150, *Airport Noise Compatibility Planning*. Preparation of the Part 150 study fulfills a commitment made in the 1995 Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Land Acquisition and Replacement Passenger Terminal Project. This NCP is to replace the NCP approved by the FAA on July 27, 1989. The program recommends a total of twenty-eight measures to prevent the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses and to reduce the effect of the noise generated at the airport. The recommendations include twelve noise abatement measures, four noise mitigation measures, six land use measures, and six program management measures. Ten measures are, in whole or in part, continuations of existing policies previously approved under Part 150. The recommended program measures are summarized on Pages 7-13 through 7-39 of the NCP.

The measures are identified below by program element and referenced to the NCP by page number. Each element summarizes as closely as possible the airport operator's recommendations as found in the NCP. The statements contained within the summarized recommendations and before the indicated FAA approval, disapproval, or other determinations do not represent the opinions or decisions of the FAA.

The approvals listed herein include approvals of actions that the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority recommends be taken by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). It should be noted that these approvals indicate only that the actions would, if implemented, be consistent with the purposes of the Part 150. These approvals do not constitute decisions to implement the actions. These approvals do not constitute a commitment by the FAA to provide federal financial assistance for these projects. Later decisions concerning possible implementation of the actions may be subject to applicable environmental or other procedures or requirements.

1 - NOISE ABATEMENT ELEMENT

1. Continue requiring all transport category and turbojet aircraft to comply with Federal aircraft noise regulations. (Page 7-13)

Description: This measure recommends the continuation of an existing noise abatement rule. The rule states: "All subsonic transport category airplanes and all subsonic turbojet powered airplanes regardless of category operating at the Burbank airport shall be in compliance with all Federal Air Regulations respecting noise, as the same may be amended from time to time." The applicable Federal aircraft noise rules are in Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Parts 36 and 91. This measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED

2. Continue requiring compliance with the Airport's Engine Test Run Up Policy. (Page 7-14; also see page 5-29 and Exhibit 5P for general discussion of run-up impacts)

Description: This measure recommends the continuation of an existing noise abatement rule. The rule states: "Each aircraft operator and maintenance and repair facility shall adhere to the Authority Engine Test Run Up Policy as contained in the Airport Operations Manual, as the same may be amended from time to time." Among these policies are a prohibition on maintenance engine run-ups between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., unless delay of the run-up would cause an aircraft to arrive or depart after 10:00 p.m. in the succeeding 24-hour period. In addition, specific run-up locations are designated at the run-up pad on the north edge of Taxiway D and in front of the Ameriflight hangar. The element of this measure related to the prohibition on maintenance engine run-ups between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. was previously disapproved by the FAA pending the submittal of additional information. The element of this measure related to the designation of specific run-up locations was previously approved by the FAA.

FAA Action: APPROVED

Continuation of this measure would eliminate nighttime single event noise levels for approximately 2,000 individuals who reside in homes northwest, southwest, and southeast of taxiway D, in proximity to the designated locations where runups are performed. The graphic at Exhibit 5P illustrates peak (Lmax) single event noise levels of 80 dBA and 65 dBA for aircraft commonly using the airport. the NCP discusses how, given the outdoor-to-indoor sound attenuation for typical homes, engine runup noise translates into interior noise levels high enough to interrupt indoor activities and outdoor conversation and relaxation.

3. Continue promoting use of AC 91-53A Noise Abatement Departure Procedures by air carrier jets. (Page 7-15)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority continue promoting the use of noise abatement departure procedures in Advisory Circular 91-53A by airlines operating jet aircraft over 75,000 pounds, certificated gross takeoff weight.

FAA Action: APPROVED as a voluntary measure only.

4. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacturer procedures, by general aviation jet aircraft. (Page 7-16)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority continue to actively encourage jet operators to use the National Business Aviation Association (NBAA) Approach and Landing Procedure and Standard Noise Abatement Departure Procedures, or equivalent quiet flying procedures developed by aircraft manufacturer. This measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED as a voluntary measure only.

5. Continue working with the FAA Airport Traffic Control Tower to maintain the typical traffic pattern altitude of 1,800 feet MSL. (Page 7-17)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority continue to work with the FAA Airport Traffic Control Tower to maintain the typical traffic pattern altitude of 1,800 feet above mean sea level (MSL). This altitude corresponds to a typical traffic pattern altitude of 1,000 feet above ground level. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED as a voluntary measure only.

Approval of specific language for inclusion or amendment to FAA tower procedures is subject to separate FAA approval.

6. Continue the placement of new buildings on the airport north of Runway 8-26 to shield nearby neighborhood from noise on runway. (Page 7-17)

Description: This measure recommends new hangars and other aviation related buildings constructed in the area north of Runway 8-26 and west of Runway 15-33 be positioned to attenuate some of the noise of aircraft on the ground, shielding nearby residential neighborhoods.

FAA Action: APPROVED

7. Designate Runway 26 as nighttime preferential departure runway. (Page 7-18)

Description: This measure recommends that Runway 26 be designated the preferential departure runway, weather and traffic permitting, after 10:00 p.m. and before 7:00 a.m. The primary effect of this policy would be to reduce noise exposure over the areas south of the airport exposed to noise from takeoffs on Runway 15. While aircraft noise would increase over areas west of the airport, most of the increase at levels above 65 CNEL would be confined to the commercial/industrial corridor along Sherman Way and the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks. This measure is proposed as an official, informal runway use program.

FAA Action: APPROVED as a voluntary measure only.

This approval is in part based on the information provided by the airport operator in its letter dated September 13, 2000. Approval of specific language for inclusion or amendment to FAA tower procedures is subject to separate FAA approval. Airfield signs and other publications must not construe the procedure as mandatory.

8. Establish noise abatement departure turn for jet takeoffs on Runway 26. (Page 7-19)

Description: This measure recommends a right turn to a heading of 275 degrees, beginning approximately 1,000 feet off the west end of Runway 26. Aircraft would continue to climb on this heading for at least three miles before turning to assigned headings. The intent is to confine departures to the Southern Pacific Railroad corridor extending west-northwest from the runway. By confining departing aircraft to this corridor, overflights of nearby residential neighborhoods can be reduced. It is recommended that this turn apply only to jet aircraft. This measure is recommended for implementation simultaneously with the nighttime preferential runway use program recommended in Measure 7 above.

FAA Action: No action required at this time.

This measure relates to flight procedures under section 104(b). Additional review by FAA is necessary to evaluate the operational safety, feasibility, and environmental effects of this proposal.

9. Build extension of Taxiway D to promote nighttime general aviation departures on Runway 26. (Page 7-20)

Description: This measure recommends the extension of Taxiway D to promote nighttime general aviation departures on Runway 26. General Aviation departures on Runway 26 are

limited due to a lack of taxiway access. This measure supports the proposed preferential use of Runway 26 (Measure 7 above) by improving general aviation aircraft access to Runway 26.

FAA Action: APPROVED

Approval of this measure is contingent upon approval and implementation of Measure 7 above.

10. Build engine maintenance run-up enclosure. (Page 7-21)

Description: This measure recommends the construction of an engine run-up enclosure to attenuate noise from maintenance run-ups. This measure further recommends the Airport Authority establish policies governing the use of the run-up enclosure. Such policies may include the requirement that all maintenance run-ups done at more than idle power be required to use the facility. With the required use of the run-up enclosure, consideration may also be given to the removal of existing nighttime maintenance run-up restrictions (Measure 2) if it can be demonstrated that no adverse noise impacts will be caused in residential areas as a result of such action.

FAA Action: APPROVED

11. Phase-out operations by all Stage 2 jets. (Page 7-22)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority attempt to phase-out use of the airport by Stage 2 aircraft with certificated gross takeoff weights under 75,000 pounds. The NCP recognizes that the proposed phase-out could be adopted only after the completion of an FAR Part 161 Study.

FAA Action: DISAPPROVED pending submission of additional information and compliance with Part 161.

As recognized in the NCP, the proposed phase-out of Stage 2 aircraft with certificated gross takeoff weights under 75,000 pounds constitutes an airport noise and access restriction that could only be adopted after full compliance with the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (ANCA), 49 USC 47524(b), and 14 CFR Part 161. The completed Part 161 analysis may be submitted for FAA reconsideration of this measure under Part 150.

12. Establish a mandatory curfew on departures by all Stage 2 aircraft between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., departures by all aircraft over 75,000 pounds between 10:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m., and arrivals by all aircraft over 75,000 pounds between 11:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. (Page 7-24)

Description: This measure recommends that a mandatory curfew, as outlined above, be established subject to the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 161. The NCP recognizes that the proposed curfew could be adopted only after the completion of an FAR Part 161 Study and, in reference to restrictions on Stage 3 aircraft operations, after the FAA's explicit approval of the Part 161 study and the proposed restriction.

FAA Action: DISAPPROVED pending submission of additional information and compliance with Part 161.

As recognized in the NCP, the proposed phase-out of Stage 2 aircraft with certificated gross takeoff weights under 75,000 pounds constitutes an airport noise and access restriction that could only be adopted after full compliance with the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (ANCA), 49

USC 47524(b), and 14 CFR Part 161. The completed Part 161 analysis may be submitted for FAA reconsideration of this measure under Part 150.

2 - NOISE MITIGATION ELEMENT

1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes. (Page 7-26)

Description: This measure recommends the Airport Authority continue the acoustical treatment program for all single-family homes within the 65 CNEL noise contour based on projected noise for the year 2000 developed in the 1988 Noise Compatibility Study. This measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The airport authority may at its discretion continue its acoustical treatment of single family homes that previously were within the 65 CNEL contour for the forecast year 2000 NEM submitted in 1988, but that are now outside of the 65 CNEL contours for the NEMs submitted with this

Part 150 update. Eligibility for federal financial assistance, however, will be limited to those residence located within the 1998 and 2003, 65 CNEL noise contour as shown on Noise Exposure Maps accepted by the FAA on January 31, 2000. Contiguous areas, to ensure neighborhood equity, may also be eligible for Federal financial assistance.

2. Expand residential acoustical treatment program to include homes within 65 CNEL contour based on 2003 NEM. (Page 7-27)

Description: This measure recommends that the eligibility area for the residential acoustical treatment program be expanded to include homes within the 65 CNEL noise contour based on the 2003 NEM which are not eligible under the existing acoustical treatment program.

FAA Action: APPROVED

3. Establish acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within the 65 CNEL contour based on 2003 NEM. (Page 7-28)

Description: This measure recommends the acoustical treatment of two schools and two preschools within the 65 CNEL contour based on the 2003 NEM. The schools include the Roscoe Elementary School, the Dubnoff Center and School, and two preschools on Victory Boulevard. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP. The subject schools were not included in the original acoustical treatment program.

FAA Action: APPROVED

4. Offer purchase assurance as an option for homeowners in the acoustical treatment eligibility area. (Page 7-29)

Description: This measure recommends offering homeowners in the acoustical treatment eligibility area the option of a purchase assurance if they were more interested in moving out of the neighborhood than staying in an acoustically treated home. If the airport takes title to the home, it will acoustically treat it and resell it. If the home is in need of substantial repairs, the airport may demolish it and offer the lot for sale for construction of a new home, sale to an abutting property owner, or for development of an airport-compatible use. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED in part.

Construction of a new home within the 65 CNEL or resale for a noncompatible use is not considered consistent with the purposes of Part 150. This portion of the measure is disapproved.

3 - LAND USE PLANNING ELEMENT

1. Use Baseline 2010 noise contours as basis for noise compatibility planning (Burbank and Los Angeles) (Page 7-31)

FAA Action: APPROVED

This measure recommends that the cities of Burbank and Los Angeles amend their general plans to show the updated noise contours for Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport and that the 2010 noise contours be used as a basis for noise compatibility planning.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The Federal government has no authority to control local land use; the local government has the authority to implement this measure.

2. Establish noise compatibility guidelines for the review of development projects within the 65 CNEL contour (Burbank, Los Angeles). (Page 7-31)

Description: This measure recommends that the cities of Burbank and Los Angeles adopt special project review criteria for use in reviewing general plan amendments, planned development, rezoning, special use, conditional use and variance applications to ensure compatible land use.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The Federal government has no authority to control local land use; the local government has the authority to implement this measure.

3. Amend Sun Valley-La Tuna Canyon Community Plan to establish infill development standards for noise compatibility (Los Angeles). (Page 7-33)

Description: This measure recommends that the city of Los Angeles establish policies requiring sound insulation and recording of fair disclosure agreements and covenants for new noise-sensitive development within the 65 CNEL noise contour. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The Federal government has no authority to control local land use; the local government has the authority to implement this measure.

4. Amend North Hollywood-Valley Village Community Plan to establish land use policies promoting airport noise compatibility (Los Angeles). (Page 7-33)

Description: This measure recommends that the city of Los Angeles enact policies encouraging incompatible land uses be made compatible, either through sound insulation or land use

conversion, as appropriate. This measure also recommends that the city of Los Angeles enact policies requiring sound insulation and recording of fair disclosure agreements and covenants for new noise-sensitive development within the 65 CNEL noise contour. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The Federal government has no authority to control local land use; the local government has the authority to implement this measure.

5. Establish airport noise overlay zoning to implement infill development policies of local General Plans (Burbank, Los Angeles). (Page 7-34)

Description: This measure recommends the cities of Burbank and Los Angeles establish airport noise overlay zoning policies. The recommended overlay zoning standards require any new noise sensitive development within the 65 CNEL contour to be treated with sound insulation to achieve noise level reductions of 25 or 30 decibels, depending on the noise contour within which the new development lies. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The Federal government has no authority to control local land use; the local government has the authority to implement this measure.

6. Amend building codes to establish sound insulation construction standards to implement requirements of State law and infill development policies (Burbank, Los Angeles). (Page 7-35)

Description: This measure recommends the cities of Burbank and Los Angeles consider amending their building codes to establish construction standards to achieve noise level reduction of 25 decibels within the 65 to 70 CNEL contour range and 30 decibels within the 70 and 75 CNEL contours for any new noise-sensitive infill development. A similar measure was previously approved by the FAA as an element of the 1988 NCP.

FAA Action: APPROVED

The Federal government has no authority to control local land use; the local government has the authority to implement this measure.

4 - PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ELEMENTS

1. Continue noise abatement information program. (Page 7-36)

Description: This measure recommends the Airport Authority continue use of the noise monitoring and flight track system to investigate violations of the nighttime weight restriction of Stage 2 business jet aircraft, aircraft noise complaints, and provide general information to the public and airport users upon request. This measure also recommends that the airport authority maintain the noise complaint phone number to log aircraft noise complaints and better respond to area residents.

FAA Action: APPROVED

For reasons of aviation safety, this approval does not extend to use of the monitoring equipment for enforcement purposes by in situ measurement of any present noise thresholds.

2. Monitor implementation of updated Noise Compatibility Program. (Page 7-36)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority monitor implementation and compliance with the Noise Abatement Element of the Noise Compatibility Plan through periodic communications with the FAA Airport Traffic Control Tower, airport users, and planning officials of the cities of Burbank and Los Angeles. This measure also recommends that the Airport Authority develop informational and promotional materials explaining the noise abatement program to pilots.

FAA Action: APPROVED

3. Update Noise Exposure Maps and Noise Compatibility Program. (Page 7-37)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority review the Noise Exposure Maps and the Noise Compatibility Program and consider revisions and refinements as necessary.

FAA Action: APPROVED

4. Expand noise monitoring system. (Page 7-38)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority expand the existing noise monitoring system by installing up to three additional permanent noise monitors.

FAA Action: APPROVED

For purposes of aviation safety, this approval does not extend to the use of monitoring equipment for enforcement purposes by in-situ measurement of any pre-set noise thresholds.

5. Enhance Airport Authority's geographic information system. (Page 7-38)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority expand its geographic information system to include all areas within the updated noise exposure contours. The geographic information system provides a detailed tool for managing the progress of the acoustical treatment program, tracking new development, and computation of an accurate noise impact area with population counts.

FAA Action: APPROVED

6. Maintain log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type. (Page 7-39)

Description: This measure recommends that the Airport Authority standardize its nighttime operations log recording the date, time, aircraft identification number, aircraft type, operations type, runway used, and weather information for each operation.

FAA Action: APPROVED

Part 150: Records of Approval

Bob Hope Airport, Burbank, California

Approved on 8/4/04 (Amendment)

INTRODUCTION

The Bob Hope Airport (formerly known as the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport) Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) describes the current and future noncompatible land uses based on the parameters as established in Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 150, *Airport Noise Compatibility Planning*. The existing NCP includes twelve recommended noise abatement elements, four noise mitigation elements, six-land use planning elements, and six program management elements. The purpose of this revision to the NCP is to add one new land use management measure into this existing NCP.

The approval listed herein includes approval of an action that the airport recommends be taken by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). It should be noted that the approval indicates only that the action would, if implemented, be consistent with the purposes of 14 CFR Part 150. The approval does not constitute a decision to implement the proposed action or a commitment by the FAA to provide federal financial assistance for the action. Later decisions concerning possible implementation of the action may be subject to applicable environmental or other procedures or requirements.

This record of approval pertains to the revision item only, and does not in any way change the decisions made by the FAA in the record of approval for the NCP dated November 27, 2000. The following item is identified as Land Use Management Measure Seven, an addition to Land Use Planning Measures, Existing Program Section.

LAND USE PLANNING MEASURES

7. Provision for retention of property located in the northeast quadrant of the Airport within the 2003 65 CNEL noise exposure contour.

Description: The primary reason for retaining property impacted by high noise levels is to remove or prevent the development of noise-sensitive land uses on the subject property. The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority does not have land use planning authority off airport property. Therefore, a potential exists for noise sensitive development to occur on the subject property under the current zoning by the City of Burbank. This measure would ensure future land use compatibility within the 65 CNEL noise contour for Bob Hope Airport.

FAA Action: Approved. The subject land was originally acquired from Lockheed-Martin Corporation for a proposed passenger terminal partly on the former Lockheed Martin "B-6" property. The City of Burbank has prevented the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority from constructing the replacement passenger terminal. This new measure would enable the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to retain property impacted by high noise levels to prevent the development of noise-sensitive land uses within the 65 CNEL noise contour and that would jeopardize the long-term viability of the airport. This revision does not affect the noise

contours; increase the number of individuals affected by aircraft noise; delay the implementation of the other elements of the program; or result in an increased cost to the program.



Appendix B

STUDY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

BOB HOPE AIRPORT 2014 STUDY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. David Adelman Chairman Valley Industry and Commerce Association 5121 Van Nuys Blvd. Suite 203 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Mr. Ross Hopkins 15021 Ventura Blvd., #530 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Mr. Terry Barrie Chief Senior Transportation Planner State of California, Department of Transportation, Division of Aeronautics 1120 N Street Room 3300 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Eric Benz President Burbank Association of Realtors 2006 West Magnolia Blvd. Burbank, CA 91506

Mr. Vincent P. Bertoni, AICP Director City of Pasadena Planning Department 175 North Garfield Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101

Ms. Stacy Howard Regional Representative National Business Aviation Association, Inc. 41695 N. Coyote Road Queen Creek, AZ 84150

Mr. Philip Crimmins Airport Environmental Specialist CEQA + Noise Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics MS-40 P.O. Box 942874 Sacramento, CA 94274-0001 Mr. Victor Globa Environmental Protection Specialist FAA, Western-Pacific Region 15000 Aviation Blvd. Lawndale, CA 90261

Mr. Hassan Haghani Director City of Glendale, Community Development 633 East Broadway Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206

Mr. Carl Johnson 11137 Wyandotte Sun Valley, CA 91352

Ms. Judith Kendall President Glendale Chamber of Commerce 701 N. Brand Blvd. Suite 120 Glendale, CA 91205

Mr. Hogan Lee President City of Los Angeles Quality & Productivity Commission 1747 Barry Avenue #109 Los Angeles, CA 90025

Mr. Eddie Lovelock V.P. Flight Operations Earth Star, Inc. 3000 N. Clybourne Ave. Burbank, CA 91505

Mr. Peter Lowry Group 3 Aviation, Inc. 16425 Hart Street Van Nuys, CA 91406

Mr. Art Yarnell Air Traffic Manager Bob Hope Airport Traffic Control Tower 2821 Hollywood Way Burbank, CA 91505 Mr. Gary Olson President Burbank Chamber of Commerce 200 W. Magnolia Blvd. Burbank, CA 91502

Mr. Patrick Prescott Deputy City Planner, Planning & Transportation Division Community Services Building 150 North Third Street Burbank, CA 91502

Mr. Ron Reynolds Manager of Operations Million Air Burbank 2800 N. Clybourn Ave. Burbank, CA 91505 Mr. Paul Halter Ameriflight, LLC 4700 Empire Ave. Hangar 1 Burbank, CA 91505

Mr. Jim Randel Flight Operations Specialist Southwest Airlines Box 36611,M.S. HDQ-8FO 2702 Love Field Drive Dallas, TX 75235-1611

Ms. Vicky Williams 1449 N. Maple St. Burbank, CA 91505

Appendix C





Appendix C COORDINATION, CONSULTATION, AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

As part of the planning process, the public, airport users, and local, state and federal agencies were given the opportunity to review and comment on the 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) Revision #2 and supporting documentation. Project materials were made available for local review and discussion throughout the NCP revision process.

Local coordination was primarily conducted through a study committee formed to provide input and feedback on the NCP revision. Known as the Study Advisory Committee (SAC), it included local residents, airport users, community officials, local business representatives, airport traffic control tower staff, and the Federal Aviation Administration. A list of the SAC members is included in **Appendix B**.

The SAC reviewed and commented on the draft NCP Revision#2. Comments from the SAC were received through written comments. The draft NCP Revision #2 material was sent to the SAC on December 27, 2013 with a comment period ending on January 24, 2014. All comments were appropriately incorporated into this document or otherwise addressed.

Study materials were also made available on a project-specific website: <u>http://www.burbankairport.com/noise/noise-issues/part150studyupdate.html</u>

A public information workshop and public Hearing were held on March 30, 2015. The workshop was structured as an informal open-house, with display boards and information posted throughout the meeting room. This meeting allowed interested participants to acquire information about the Part 150 Study process, the previously accepted Part 150 Noise Exposure Map documentation, and the NCP Revision #2 material. Participants could also ask questions and express concerns. The meetings were also intended to encourage two-way communication between the airport staff, consultants, and local residents.

The public hearing was held immediately after the public information workshop. A short presentation on the NCP revision was provided to the public before the hearing was opened for public comment. A comment period was also provided after the public hearing for the public to submit written comments. The written comment period ended on April 17, 2015.

This appendix includes SAC correspondence and comments, public hearing sign-in sheets, public hearing notices and advertisements, public hearing transcript, and responses to public hearing comments.

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Study Noise Compatibility Program Revision #2

Study Advisory Committee Correspondence



December 27, 2013

SUBJECT: Bob Hope Airport Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment

Dear Committee Member:

The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority (Airport) recently completed an update to the Bob Hope Airport Noise Exposure Maps (NEM) based upon the requirements outlined in Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 150. The NEM update was officially accepted by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in accordance with 49 U.S.C. Section 47503 (formerly the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979). The final Bob Hope Airport NEM documentation can be downloaded at the following link:

http://www.bobhopeairport.com/noise/noise-issues/part150studyupdate.html

The updated noise exposure contours for Burbank Bob Hope Airport have reduced in size since the noise exposure contours were developed for the 2000 Noise Exposure Map Update. The primary reasons for the smaller contours are the reduced number of aircraft operations and quieter aircraft that serve the airport. Based upon the reduced sized noise exposure contours, FAA is requiring the Airport to amend the residential acoustical treatment program (RATP) eligibility boundary. In addition to amending the RATP eligibility boundary, the Airport has also elected to review and amend (if necessary) other measures from the Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) that have been implemented or are no longer applicable.

We appreciate your continued role on this Study Advisory Committee (SAC). Specifically, your role in the SAC is as follows:

- Resource The NCP Amendment is very complex. Many of you have access to specialized information and can ensure that it is incorporated into the NCP Amendment.
- Critical Review We want our work scrutinized closely for accuracy, completeness of detail, clarity
 of thought, and intellectual honesty. We want you to point out any shortcomings in our work and
 help us improve it.
- Linkage to the Community Each member of the SAC represents one or more important constituencies. As a committee member, you bring together the consultant and the people you represent. You can inform your constituents about the NCP Amendment as it progresses, and you can bring the views of others to the Airport.

Your involvement in the NCP Amendment process will consist of reviewing and commenting on the draft NCP Amendment material enclosed with this letter. To keep on schedule, we would like your comments on the Draft NCP Amendment material by January 24, 2014. We will appreciate your participation in the process and look forward to your input and comments on the NCP Amendment. In the meantime, if you should have any questions about your role on the committee or about the NCP Amendment itself, please do not hesitate to contact me. I can be reached at 818-840-8840.

Sincerely, Li i

Mark D. Hardyment Director, Transportation & Environmental Programs

AIRPORT PLANNING IS OUR ONLY BUSINESS

www.coffmanassociates.com



March 3, 2015

Mr. Hassan Haghani Director City of Glendale, Community Development 633 East Broadway Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206

SUBJECT: Bob Hope Airport Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Revision

Dear Mr. Haghani:

In December 2013, we sent you a draft version of the Bob Hope Airport Noise Compatibility Plan (NCP) Revision for your review and comment. Since that time, we have completed revisions to the document and finished our initial consultation with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The updated NCP Revision can be downloaded from the Bob Hope Airport website: http://www.bobhopeairport.com/.

The FAA has authorized us to proceed to the public hearing phase of the NCP Revision process. The public hearing has been scheduled for Monday, March 30, 2015, from 6:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. at the Buena Vista Branch Library, 300 N. Buena Vista Street, in Burbank. A public workshop will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. that same day and at the same location prior to the start of the public hearing, in case you would like to review the NCP Revision material and ask questions on a one-on-one basis.

We appreciate your participation in the process and look forward to your continued contribution to the NCP Revision document. In the meantime, if you should have any questions about the NCP Revision or the upcoming public hearing, please do not hesitate to contact me. I can be reached at 800-892-7772.

Sincerely,

and fitz

David Fitz, AICP Principal

Kansas City . Phoenix

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Study Noise Compatibility Program Revision #2

Study Advisory Committee Comments Received Prior to the Public Hearing

BOB HOPE AIRPORT

Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment Study Advisory Committee Members

Hecember 27, 2013

Attached is the draft Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment for your review and comment.

PART 150 NUISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM AMENDMENT

I have read the Naise Compatibility Program Amendment and have no comments

I have read the Noise Compatibility Program Amendment and have the following comments. (Please add extra sheets if necessary.)

Please mail this response sheet by January 24, 2014 to:

 \Box

COFFMAN ASSOCIATES, INC.	Name: 💁	ADDA LANDER
237 N.W. Blue Parkway, Suite 100	Representin	B. AMERIFLICHT
Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063		B-BUT-COOR BAT, IEC.
Attn: David Fitz, dlitz@colfmanassociate:	S.COM	

BOB HOPE AIRPORT

Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment Study Advisory Committee Members

December 27, 2013

Attached is the draft Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment for your reviewand comment.

PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM AMENUMENT

I have read the Noise Compatibility Program Amendment and have no comments.

I have read the Noise Compatibility Program Amendment and have the following comments: (Please add extra sheets if necessary.)

Please mull this response sheet by Jonuary 24, 2014 to:

COFFMAN ASSOCIATES, INC.	Name: Hogan Lee
237 N.W. Blue Parkway, Suite 100	Representing: Los Angelo BPC (monthshing)
Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063	Phone: 310-254-617-6
Attn: David Fitz, dlitz@cutImanassociates.c	0 11

1.

1

BOB HOPE AIRPORT

Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment Study Advisory Committee Members

December 27, 2013

Attached is the draft Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment for your reviewand commont

PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM AMENDMENT

- 1 have read the Noise Compatibility Program Amendment and have no comments.
- 1 have read the Noise Computibility Program Amendment and have the following comments. (Please add extra sheets if necessary.)

Please see attached

Please mail this response sheet by January 24, 2014 to:

COFFMAN ASSOCIATES, INC.	Name: David Adelman
237 N.W. Blue Parkway, Suite 100	Representing: <u>VTcA</u>
Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063	Phone: (\$18) 817-0545
Attn: David Fitz, dfltz@coffmanassocia	tes.com



January 22, 2014

Mark Hardymen: Director, Transportation & Environmental Programs Burbank Glendale Pasadona Airport Authority 2627 N. Hollywood Way Burbank, CA 91505

SUBJECT: Draft Parl 150 Study Noise Compatibility Program Amondment - Comments

Dear Mr. Hardyment,

I wish to thenk you for including VICA on the Study Advisory Committee. As a leading voice in the San Fernando Valley and an advocate for aviation operators, we thoroughly reviewed the Buroack Bob Hope Airport draft Part 150 Norse Compatitally Program Amendment study and have the following comments.

Noise Abatement Measures

Measure 9. Build extension of Taxiway D to promote nighttime general aviation departures on Runway. 26

VICA supports efforts by the airport to promote general aviation. As such, we applaud the 2000 extension of Tax way 0 and the resulting increase in general aviation departures. Bearing in mind that this project is completed, we agree with the removal of this measure. We encourage the airport to continue to investigate measures to altract and retain general aviation operations.

Measure 11: Phase out operations by all Stage 2 jets.

VICA opposes the political decision to ban Stage 2 aircrafts. However, we recognize that this phase-out is underway in compliance with the *Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990* and the *FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012.* We agree with the decision to remove this measure from the study, due to its recondancy.

Measure 12. Establish a mandatory curlew on departures by all Stage 2 aircraft between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., departure by all aircraft over 75,000 pounds between 10:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m., and arrivals by all aircraft over 75,000 pounds between 11:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m.

VICA opposes the Imposition of a mendatoryin ghttime currew for avlation operations in the San Fernando Valley. We concur with the FAA conclusion in response to the airport's 2009 Part 150 study that a currew on aircrafts over 75,000 pounds is not justified. We recognize that the Authority has chosen to voluntarily impose this currew. We wish to be clear that this decision should have no bearing on other Part 150 studies or create precedence for imposing such a curdew at other Valley airports.

We agree with the decision to remove the contew on Stage 2 jets, due its redundancy in light of the 2015 phase-out deadline in the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012. Noise Mitigation Measures Measures 2 and 3

VICA applauds the measures that the aligner has taken to mitigate noise through the residential acoustical freatment program. We agree with the updating this measure to be based on the 2017 Noise. Exposure Maps. The aligner has taken greet strides over the past decade to be a quality community partner. We further applaud that the airport has conducted this program through FAA grants and its copital and operating budget, rather than shifting the costs to operators.

Land Use Management Measures

Measures 1.6

VICA strongly supports municipal control for land use planning. Therefore, we agree with the removal of these measures, as the airport does have control over the land use planning authority of the office of Burbank and Los Angeles. We encourage the airport to remain engaged with the extensive land use mechanisms in these cities, as well as at the state taxe.

Program Management Measures

Measures 4 and 5

VICA applauos the airport's successful noise monitoring and geographic information systems. We agree with the decision to remove these unnecessary measures. We encourage the airport to continue to upgrade these systems according to staff needs, technological advances and FAA Resident al Accustic Treatment Program funding availability.

New Measures

Measures 1 and 2 (Neise Miligation)

VICA supports the expansion of the arport's noise mitigation measures to include multi-family dwalling units and a purchase avigation casement program. Once again, we appreciate the efforts of the alignet to continue improving its relationship with the surrounding community. We encourage the airport to continue to seek FAA grants and other sources to fund these programs, rather than increasing lease costs for operators.

As we have said before, none of these measures should provide a nexus for imposing additional mandates on other Valley airports or those in the greater Los Angeles area. VICA applauos the aliport's efforts to find mutually-beneficial solutions to its unique community concerns, but these decisions should have no learning on decisions by other airports.

Overal. I wish to thank airport staff and the consultants for an excellent amendment process, including extensive community outreach. VICA appreciates your regular presentations at our Aviation and Transportation committees, including discussion of this study. We look forward to the airport's continued presence in the San Fernando Valley and encourage the airport to remain a committed community partner.

Sincerely,

David Adelman Immidiate Past Chair
BOB HOPE AIRPORT

Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment Study Advisory Committee Members

December 27, 2013

Attached is the draft Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment for your reviewand comment.

PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM AMENDMENT

-) have read the Naise Computability Program Amendment and have no comments.

1 have read the Nuise Compatibility Program Amendment and have the following comments. (Please add extra sheets if necessary.)



 \boxtimes

Please moll this resnonse sheet by January 24, 2014 to:

COFFMAN ASSOCIATES, INC.Nam237 N.W. Blue Parkway, Suite 100RepLee's Summit, Missouri 64863PhotAttn:David Fitz, dfitz@coffmanessociates.com

Name: Representing: Phone:

BOB HOPE AIRPORT

Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment **Study Advisory Committee Members**

December 27, 2013

Attached is the draft Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Amendment for your reviewand comment.

PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM AMENDMENT

I have read the Noise Compatibility Program Amendment and have no comments.

I have read the Noise Compatibility Program Amendment and have the following comments. (Please add extra sheets if necessary.)

Please mail this response sheet by January 24, 2014 to:

Please mail this response sheet by January 2	4, 2014 to:	
COFFMAN ASSOCIATES, INC.	Name: Vicky Williams	>
237 N.W. Blue Parkway, Suite 100	Representing: Homeowney	3
Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063	Phone: 818 426-3443	
Attn: David Fitz dfitz@coffmanassocia	tes.com	

David Edz

From	Prescott, Patrick kPPrescort@purpankra.gova
Senc	Monday, February 3, 2014 7 54 PM
To:	David Fitz
í.c:	Mark Hurdyment (MHARDYMENT@5ch.org)
Subject	Comments on Draft Part 159 NCP Amendment
Attachments:	Commonts on Draft Part 159 NCP Amendment dock

These are the City's comments on the Part 150 NCP Amendment.

Patrick

Comments on Draft Part 150 NCP Amendment

Noise Abatement Measures

Measure 9 No comments

Measure 11 Since the federal phase out of the remaining stage 2 aircraft does not occur until December 31, 2015, this measure should be retained through that date. It should not be removed from the program since it remains effective for almost two more years.

Measure 12 Since the federal phase out of the remaining stage 2 aircraft does not occur until December 31, 2015, this measure should be retained through that date. It should not be removed from the program since it remains effective for almost two more years.

While the Authority was not successful in securing a mandatory curfew through the FAR Part 161 process, the Authority's policy is to continue to support efforts by the City of Burbank to secure a curfew through legislative means. Measure 12 should be revised to state that securing a curfew remains the Authority's policy but that the current focus of efforts is on legislatively-mandated curfew.

Noise Mitigation Measures

Measure 2 No comments, though the text should also refer to FAA policies on residential sound attenuation as set forth in FAA PGL 2-09 (August2012) which is considerably more detailed than the amended version of FAA Order 5100.38C.

Measure 3 No comments

Land Use Management Measures

Measure 1 This measure should not be removed but reworded to replace the phrase "use baseline 2010 noise contours" with "Recommend that local land use jurisdictions use baseline 2017 noise contours..."

Measures 2, 3, 4

These measures should not be removed but the language should be revised to make it clear that implementation is the responsibility of local land use jurisdictions. Language in these measures should be revised to state "Recommend establishment of" instead of "establish" or "Recommend amendment of" instead of "amend."

Measure 5 No comments

Program Management Measure

Measure 4 Reference to expansion of the noise monitoring system should be replaced with language that calls for maintaining the existing system.

Mossore 5 No comments

New Measures

New Measure 1 No comments, though it would be useful to refer to FAA PGU 12:09 here as above. It also should be made clear that the FAA estimate of \$2500 per diveling withfor purchase of an avigation easement is just an estimate and is not a ceiling on such payments.

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Study Noise Compatibility Program Revision #2

Public Hearing Notices and Articles

Pasadena Star-News

Affiliated with SGV Newspaper Group 911 E. Colorado Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91109 626-962-8811 ext. 40918 sue.glynn@sgyn.com

5048353

COOPER COMMUNICATIONS 17547 VENTURA BLVD. SUITE 311 ENCINO CA 91316

PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Los Angeles

I am a citizen of the United States, and a resident of the county aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of PASADENA STAR-NEWS, a newspaper of general circulation which has been adjudicated as a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, on the date of June 22, 1927, Case Number 225647. The notice, of which the annexed is a true printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

2/26/2015

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at West Covina, LA Co. California On this 23th day of April, 2015.

almyde 20

Signature

101703-0000-04

(Space below for use of County Clerk Only)

Legal No.

0010635299

blic

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN for a public hearing to be held by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to receive testimony on revisions to the Bob Hope Airport. Title 14 Cade of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). The public hearing has been scheduled for:

DATE: TIME:	Monday, March 30, 2015 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. for the
	6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for the pu
LOCATION:	Bueno Visto Bronch Library 300 N. Bueno Visto Street Burbank, CA 91505

The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority is in the process of finalizing revisions to Bob Hope Airport Part 150 NCP. The updated noise excessure contours for Bob Hope Airport have reduced in size since the noise exposure contours were developed for the 2000 Noise Exposure Contours are the reduced number of aircraft operations and quieter aircraft that now serve the airport. Based upon the reduced size noise exposure contours, FAA is requiring the Airport to revise the residential acoustical treatment program (RATP) eligibility boundary. In addition to revising the RATP eligibility boundary, the Airport has also elected to review and revise other measures from the NCP that have been implemented or are no langer applicable.

Copies of the revisions to the Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program for Bob Hope Airport are available for reference at the Airport Administrative Office at the address listed below. The Part 150 document can also be viewed at http://www.burbankairport.com/naise/no ise-issues/part150studyupdate.html.

All interested persons are invited to attend the public hearing. Prior to the bearing, there will be a time when the public can view displays and interact with the project team from \$:00 p.m. to \$:30 p.m. Those desiring to testify on the Part 159 NCP may register prior to the public hearing at the hearing site opd are encouraged to submit one capy of their festimatr.

Attendance at the public hearing is not a prerequisite for submission of testimony. Written testimony, which is received by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority at the address listed below by April 17, 2015, will be included with the transcripts of the hearing and will be considered in the evaluation of the program

Published: Feb 26, 2015 Pasadena Star NewsAd#635299

THIS FIRM IS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT AND ANY INFORMATION WE OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT FURPOSE. EPP 11163 2/12, 2/19, Pasadena Star Nawe 22/2/2015. Ad#630294



<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

Legal Notice Legal Notice Legal Notice



INTERVIEW ADVICE AT sgvn.com/jobs

FIRST. FOREMOST. Get the inside scoop on all your favorite topics Sign Up for Email Newsletters Now you can have the day's

top stories emailed directly to your inbox - at home. at work or wherever you connect. Register now and customize your own news feeds for breaking news, sports, business and more.

- > BREAKING NEWS
- > BUSINESS
- > PREPS
- > SPORTS
- > OPINION
- > PROMOTIONS

Sign up today at sgvn.com/newsletters

San Gabriel Valley Tribune Pasadena Star-Vens Whittier Daily Years

This cent or obligation urmulatoribe at any first.

C-20

Los Angeles Times MEDIA GROUP

Sold To: Cooper Communications - CU00062617 17547 Ventura Blvd Encino, CA 91316-5164

Bill To: Cooper Communications - CU00062617 17547 Ventura Blvd Encino, CA 91316-5164

Display Advertising: 3082900 3082924

> STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

1, Stephen F. Polzin, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the action for which the attached notice was published. I am a principal clerk of the GLENDALE NEWS-PRESS, which was adjudged a newspaper of general circulation on March 1, 1934 for the City of Glendale, County of Los Angeles, and State of California. Attached to this Affidavit is a true and complete copy as was printed and published on the following date(s):

THURSDAY; MARCH 26, 2015 SATURDAY; MARCH 28, 2015

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED at Los Angeles, California on this 2.0 day of ARL, 2015.

Jup signature

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual, who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of LOS Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this

20 15 by AOUL day of ____ Month

1



STUDIO

From A1

programming," said city spokesman Tom Lorenz. "With that said, others are envious that DreamWorks has a home in Glendale." DreamWorks Animation

is only releasing one movie this year, "Home," and, during the conference call, Chief Executive Officer Jeffrey Katzenberg said 2015 will be a "break-even"

year. However, looking ahead he said several titles will be released annually in the

BY CAROL CORMACI

The Glendale resident



public hearing has been scheduled for

Don Mazen dies at 86

Former reporter, editor and author was active in local politics for many years.

Don Mazen, a longtime ews reporter and former the events and important news reporter and tormer associate editor of the La Cañada Valley Sun who also wrote columns for the Foothil Leader and the La Cañada Flintridge Outlook, died Feb. 21 of cancer, ac-cording his family. He was 86.

the events and important movers of yesterday and to-day are not documented in some way," she said. Mazen began working in the newspaper industry as a copy boy and cub report-er at the Los Angeles Mir-ror. In 1958 he was hired as a reporter-photographer by former Valley Sun publisher Joe DuPlain. He remained nown for Joe Durlan. He remained olitiss – with the La Cafada publi-cation and increased his duries there, later taking on the assign-ment of asso-ciate editor. The comp work of the Dark first came to the Valley Sum on the Sum the Sum of the Sum on the Sum the Sum of the Su

86. The Glendale resident, who in retirement penned three books, was known for his interest in politics — often submitting letters to the editor to express his views — and for his desire to share his inter-est in local his-tory with others. "I am sorry to see him go," said Melissa Patton, executive director of Lan-terman House museum, which sells in its visitor's center two of his titles, "The History of La Canada Hintridge" and 'Fond, Las-ing Remembrance of La Canada Fluntadge." Patton said Mazen's most significant contribution Patton said Mazens most significant contribution was in documenting, pri-marily through his news-paper articles and columns, the recent history and cur-rent events of La Cañada. "This is something so im-portant that so for do. No one will understand any-thing 100 years from now if



In 2000, Mazen began writ-ing a column for the Out-look, and later produced occasional articles for the Valley Sun until he retired pergreparate.

Valley San until he retired permanently. During his retirement, Mazen enjoyed participat-ing in senior programs of-fered by Glendale Commu-nity College. In 2009, he joined the Vanguardians, a Glendale political watch-dog organization. Mazen is survived by a son, Brian, a sister, Marga-te Dees and four grand-children. He was pre-deceased by son Kevin. At his request, no serv-ices will be held.

"When I I first came to the Valley Sun 30-some years ago, Don Mazen was the only other writer besides Joe DulPain," society columnist Jane Na-pier Neely recalled this week. "Don quickly took on the role as my mentor as he carefully guided me along the path of journalism. It was quickly evident that Don truly loved his job and took the reporting of La Cañada news very seri-ously. He was a reporter in every sense of the word and he leaves a proud legacy." Mazen left the Valley Sun in 1997 and began writing columns for the Foothill Leader, then a publication of Times Community News.

Donald A. Mazen, who covered news in La Canada Flintridge for 47 years and authored an historical book on the community as well as a modern day edition of LCF, has died. He passed away of sarcoma cancer of the head on Saturday, February 21, 2015 at the age of 86. He coped with several other ailments besides his cancer, including a chronic dizziness and a hearing impairment. He also wrote a third book.

At his request, there will be no funeral. He will be cremated with his ashes scattered at one of his favorite locations in the community.

Mazen, born and attending public school in Los Angeles, was a reporter, photographer and later editor and columnist for the La Canada Valley Sun spanning 39 years, starting in 1958 and leaving in 1997 to join the Foothill Leader for three years. He ended his 50-year newspaper

career in 2005 after spending five years at the LCF Outlook as a columnist. But he continued to write after that when he became editor of The Bugle, a monthly publication of American Legion Post 288 in La Crescenta, in 2008. He started his career in the 1950s as a copyboy and cub reporter for three years at the Los Angeles Mirror, a former tabloid publication, which was introduced by the Times-Mirror Co.

During his time at the Valley Sun, he covered such significant events in the community as the unification of the La Canada School District in 1960, educating students from kindergarten through 12th grade; the successful election in 1976 to incorporate La Canada Flintridge; and the opening of the new Crescenta Valley Sheriff's Station in 1974

His travels to the Soviet Union in 1983 with Councilman Ed Krause were chronicled in three editions of the Valley Sun. This adventure kicked off an interest in visiting other countries. Australia and New Zealand were his favorites. He also went to Finland, Kenya Africa and Turkey.

Thinking of himself fortunate to work in such a fine community as La Canada Flintridge, Mazen epitomized an objective, accurate newswriter and came into that field with a strong and helpful spelling background.

He graduated in 1945 from Washington High School in South L.A. where he was sports editor of the student newspaper and recipient of the top Alumni Award upon graduating in a class of 400. He was also an outfielder on the varsity baseball team.

He joined the U.S. Army in 1946 and served two years, C-22



carol.cormaci @latimes.com

including a year with occupation forces in Japan. He was assigned to a field artillery outfit in the First Cavalry Division there and edited a battalion news publication. After his army discharge, Mazen enrolled

at Pepperdine College in Los Angeles in 1948, had to drop out after three years, but returned to graduate in 1958. He was a sports editor of the college newspaper, The Graphic, and honored as a member of Who's Who Among Students in U.S. Colleges and Universities.

A dedicated Christian since he was 15 and a music lover, Mazen initially joined Messiah Lutheran Church in South L.A where he was baptized. He sang in the choir as a tenor, taught Sunday School and was elected to the Church Council, serving as President one year.

Moving to La Crescenta after his marriage, Mazen joined Mt. Olive Lutheran in 1963 where he kept busy, singing and

soloing in the choir, teaching Sunday School and serving on the Church Council. He was active for 50 years there until health

In retirement, Mazen moved his activities to Glendale Community College and its expanded senior program. He was a regular in the free classes, attending two, including Contemporary World Affairs where current events and American politics were the prime subjects. He also played a major role in Encore, a program inviting guest speakers from a variety of fields and occupations, where he was active on the Program-Curriculum Committee. He was elected Encore President for a two-year term in 2010 but had to step down after a year because of health reasons. Encore disbanded in 2012 after a 12-year run.

Always interested in politics, he joined Vanguardians in 2009, a Glendale watchdog group addressing Glendale City Council issues

He was also on the board and one-time chairman of Verdugo Manor Association in Glendale where he resided. And he sponsored a 14-year-old boy in Kenya Africa. He was also a member of American Legion Post 288 in La Crescenta and edited its monthly publication for six years.

Mazen was married to the former Patricia Dean for 20 years before a divorce. They had two sons, Kevin (now deceased), a store manager for Ralphs and later a store manager at Sport Chalet; and Brian, a corporate attorney of Rancho Palos Verdes Also surviving Mazen are a sister. Margaret Dees of Ventura, and four grandchildren.



problems

MHARDYMENT@bur.org

CALENDAR From A2

about Camp Fox e - mail Ray at rcalame@glenymca.org or for Day Camp or Mineh at mpetrosian@glenymca.org.

Wild West Storvtime from

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN for a public hearing to be held by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to receive testimony on revisions to the Bob Hope Airport, 1 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). Th

DATE: Monday, March 30, 2015 TIME: 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. for the workshop and 6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for the public hearing LOCATION: Buena Vista Branch Library 300 N. Buena Vista Street Burbank, CA 91505

Attendance at the public hearing is not a prerequisite for submission of testimony. Written testimony, which is received by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority at the address listed below by April 77, 2015, will be included with the transcripts of the hearing and will be considered in the evaluation of the program. Please send to:

Mark D. Hardyment Director, Transportation & Environmental Programs Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority 2627 Hollywood Way Burbank, CA 91505

The meeting location is disability accessible. Should you need additional assistance for other disabilities (for example, sign language interpretation and large type print), please contact Mark Hardyment at (818) 840-8840 prior to the public hearing.

Wild West Storytime from 10:30 to 11:30 an. at Once Upon a Time Bookstore, 2207 Honolulu Ave., with author and liustrators Lane Smith and Bob Shea, who wrole the award-winning picture book 'Kid Shenff and The Terrible Toads.' Wear your best cowboygif outfit to a fund far, For age 3 to 7. Visit shoponceuponatime.com.

e-ort. Title

Section/Page/Zone: Client Name: Description Advertiser:

GLENDALENP/A005/GNP

exploit or repurpose any conten

way

You may not create derivative works, or in any

the date and page indicated.

Ч Б

Times

appeared in the Los Angeles

his electronic tearsheet confirms the ad

nsertion Number Ad Number: Type: Color Size:

los Angeles Times

02/26/2015 Publication Date:

PROOF OF PUBLICATION AFFIDAVIT (2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA. County of Los Angeles,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the

Daily News

a newspaper of general circulation published 7 times weekly in the County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 26, 1983, Case Number Adjudication #C349217; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil) has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, towit: FEDRUMY 26,

all in the year 20 15 I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct.

Dated at Woodland Hills. California, this day of

Signature

Proof of Publication of otice of Public Hear

.....

(DAILY NEWS) NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE IS MEREBY GIVEN for a public hearing to be held by the Burbank-Gtendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to receive testimony on revisions to the Bob Hope Airport. Tille 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part ISO Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). The public hearing has been scheduled for: DATE: Mondoy, March 30, 2015 MAE: 4:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. for the workshop and 6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for the workshop and 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for the workshop and 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for the workshop and 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for the workshop and 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. 70 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. 70 p.m. NCP that have been implemented or are no langer opplicable.

Conies of the revisions to the Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program for Bob Hope Airport are available for reference at the Airport Administrative Office at the address listed below. The Part 150 document con also be viewed at http://www.burbankoirport.com/neise/noise-issues/parti50studyupdate.html. All interested persons are invited to attend the public hearing. Prior to the hearing, there will be a time when the public can view displays and interact with the project team from 6:00 p.m. to 6:38 p.m. Those desiring to festify an the Part 150 NCP may register prior to the public hearing all the hearing site and are encouraged to submit one copy of their testimony.

Attendance of the public tearing is not a prerequisite for submission of testimony. Written testimony, which is received by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority at the address listed below by April 17, 2015, will be included with the transcripts of the hearing and will be considered in the evaluation of the program. Please send fo: Mark D. Hardyment Director, Transportation & Environmental Programs Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority 2627 Hollywood Way Burbank, CA 91595 MHARDYMENT@bur.org The meeting location is disability accessible. Should you need additional assistance for other disabilities (for example, sign language interpretation and large type orint), please confact Mark Hardyment at (818) 340-8840 prior to the public hearing. Publish February 26, 2015

L ų, 4; Warnights Colemand Internet Stocker Itorsday, rebroary 26, 2491 A5 èъ. NERVICE OF PULLIC HEARING NOT (2. IS HEREBY GIVEN for 3 jouble heaving to be held by the Builbane-Gregolic Pasadono Amport Apit only to environmente to tevisions to the SCD Hope Aleport. Lite 13 Code of Federal Regulations (GEPT P.P. 100 You'se Comparability Program (NUP). The public hearing has been submouled for: DATE: Monday, March Sc. Cost. 5.00 plan. to 6000 plan. for Die Weeks word and TIME 6.30 p.m. to 8,00 p.m. for the public hearing LOCATE: N Evene Vista Branch Library 300 N. Bonne Viste Since: Durback, CASING The Barbank Clerking Paawona Alvoari Aidhooly is in the process of lipsking was store th Hob Hope Apport Part 150 MDP. The upplated near Exposure on yours for Bob Hope Arrowl have reducers in size since the name expective contours were developed to the 2000 Norse Exposure Map Update The Jamary reasons for the condition contains are the reducing framities of a forcell operations and objector and rate that race serve life a receil Based inter the reduced area increase expension of inforces. FAA is requiring the A sport to revise the residential accustor of treatment program (SALL) elophonty boundary. An accinento revising the RATP engineery boundary, the Asport has also clocked in reveal and reveal other measured from the NCP third have upon unchangemented or are no longer apost allow Copeau of the revisions to the Paul 150 Noise Compacibility Program for Kinh Lippy Avgoruare available for reference as the Airport Administrative Crice at the address listed below, The fixer 150 document can also be wered as http://www.burbalakaupont.curreabise/ horse-resises/parci/Sectually-polate minu All interested perdons are protect to about the Dublin Newary . Prior to the béaring, there well be a time wrigh the public can well displays approximate with the project luarn from 8-00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Thran deriving to ready on the Part 100 NCP may register prior to the public hearing at the learning 5 to and ate and suraged to wheel due copy of them Alberkfunde at the exterior boaring is not a proteguisita for extention as on at restations. Tariffee realization, which is reactived by the Ularback Glendale-Passogna Autocrity of the address intedication by April 17, 2015, will be included with the transcripts of the hearing and we be considered in the evaluation of the program. Please send to: Mark D. Haroyment Directory fra exportation & Environmental Programs Persignik-Giondolg-Persadena Avport Autoonly 2697 Hrs. Wood Way Buyhask, CA 91505 MILARDY VENDERSon org The reacting location is disability accessible. Should you need additional assistance for acres of subvices (for as emple, a go language interpretation and large type print), please Contact Mark Institutions at (\$15) 640-5040 prior in the public literary. ŝ

Ş

١.



Los Angeles Times MEDIA GROUP

Sold To: Cooper Communications - CU00062617 17547 Ventura Blvd Encino, CA 91316-5164

Bill To: Cooper Communications - CU00062617 17547 Ventura Blvd Encino, CA 91316-5164

Display Advertising: 3082692 3082909

> STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

1, Stephen F. Polzin, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the action for which the attached notice was published. I am a principal clerk of the **BURBANK LEADER**, which was adjudged a newspaper of general circulation on June 21, 1927 for the City of Burbank, County of Los Angeles, and State of California. Attached to this Affidavit is a true and complete copy as was printed and published on the following date(s):

WEDNESDAY; MARCH 25, 2015 SATURDAY; MARCH 28, 2015

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED at Los Angeles, California on this 20 day of April, 2015.

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual, who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

Angeles County of LOS

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this

20 15 by 20 day of APUL C-26 Month



A2 Saturday, Feb-Jary 28, 2015





News Release BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT AUTHORITY 2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA 91505 (818) 840-8840 (818) 848-1173 FAX WWW.BOBHOPEAIRPORT.COM

CONTACT: LUCY M. BURGHDORF MARK D. HARDYMENT FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

TELEPHONE: (818) 840-8840

BOB HOPE AIRPORT HOSTS PUBLIC WORKSHOP AND HEARING FOR AIRPORT NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM REVISION

BURBANK, Calif., March 26, 2015 — The public is invited to attend a workshop and hearing on the Burbank Bob Hope Airport's Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) Revision, to be held on Monday, March 30, 2015. The public workshop portion, during which the public will be able to view displays and speak individually with the project team, will take place from 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. The public hearing will take place from 6:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. Both sessions will be held at the Buena Vista Branch Library, 300 N. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, CA 91505.

The Airport Authority is in the process of finalizing revisions to the Bob Hope Airport Part 150 NCP. Due to a reduced number of aircraft operations and the use of quieter aircraft, the recently updated Noise Exposure Map (NEM) contours for the Airport have decreased since they were last developed for the 2000 NEM Update. Based on the decreased contours, the Federal Aviation Administration is requiring the Airport to revise the Residential Acoustical Treatment Program eligibility boundary, which will reduce the number of homes eligible for the program. The Airport has also elected to review and revise other measures from the NCP that are no longer applicable.

All interested parties are invited to attend the public hearing. Those who wish to comment on the Part 150 NCP may register prior to the public hearing at the hearing site. Speakers are encouraged to submit one written copy of their comments.

Attendance at the public hearing is not a prerequisite for comment submission. Written comments received by the Airport Authority prior to April 17, 2015, will be included with the transcripts of the hearing and will be considered in the evaluation of the program. These comments should be directed to Mark D. Hardyment, Director, Transportation & Environmental Programs, Bob Hope Airport, Part 150 NCP Comments, 2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA 91505, or email at <u>mhardyment@bur.org</u>.

-30-

Wednesday, March 26, 2015 A3



PROOF OF PUBLICATION AFFIDAVIT (2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA. County of Los Angeles,

I am a cidzen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of cighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the

Daily News

a newspaper of general circulation published *I* times weekly in the County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Super or Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 25, 1983, Case Number Adjudication #C349217, that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed popy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil) has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, towit: <u>1114733</u>, <u>316</u>, <u>317</u>, <u>3</u>

all in the year 20/ $\frac{t_{s}}{t_{s}}$

.....

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the longoing is true and correct.

.....

Dated at Woodland Hills,

Castornia, this a day of 11/1/20 11





Pasadena Star-News

Affiliated with SGV Newspaper Group 911 E. Colorado Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91109 626-952-8811 ext. 40918 sue.glynn@sgvn.com

5048353

COOPER COMMUNICATIONS 17547 VENTURA BLVD. SUITE 311 ENCINO CA 91316

> PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Los Angeles

I am a citizen of the United States, and a resident of the county aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of PASADENA STAR-NEWS, a newspaper of general circulation which has been adjudicated as a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, on the date of June 22, 1927, Case Number 225647. The notice, of which the annexed is a true printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

03/26/2015, 03/29/2015

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at West Covina, LA Co. California On this 30th day of April, 2015.

Signature

OPIN DITING

(Space below for use of County Clerk Only)

Legal No.

0010634500

PUBLIC HEARING

Regarding the 14 CFR Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Update

BURBANK BOB HOPE AIRPORT



Monday, March 30, 2015 Public Workshop: 6:00 - 6:30 P.M. Public Hearing: 6:30 - 7:30 P.M.

> Buena Vista Branch Library 300 N. Buena Vista Street Burbank, CA 91505

EVERYONE WELCOME

For more information please contact: Mark Hardyment at (818) 840-8840 http://bobhopeairport.com







and a straight of the state

to get index around the Wester A this buildge" was set up to forty Expo Long parameters between the Expoylesed stop and the Expoylesed stop and the Expoylesed stop and

Meteor spectroman Up able and the agence was working to restore and service by Saturday evelong. That's abeau are sumased 90,000 people were evolved to all the status of the nearby link verge les Memorial Collisions to writch a sold out specific case.

The main was back up and running by 8.30 pair, in to the hyperford Park Auton, according to assyst opers. The full (me was a renjord by 8 pair)

Soy News Scenice and he do social of Press informed to the connect.



							Search	Go
							CONTACT	SHARE
Home	Terminal Info	Passenger Services	Airlines & Flights	Parking & Ground Transport	Security/ TSA	Airport Authority	Noise & Environment	Filming/Business Opportunities
News	s About the Airport Plan Your Trip Projects & Programs							

Select Language

Current Press Releases

Below are press releases distributed over the past 12 months. Earlier releases can be found in our archives.

If you have questions regarding a release, please contact:

Victor Gill

Director, Public Affairs and Communications Telephone: (818) 840-8840

Bob Hope Airport Host Public Workshop and Hearing for Airport Noise Compatibility Program Revision

BURBANK, Calif., March 26, 2015 — The public is invited to attend a workshop and hearing on the Burbank Bob Hope Airport's Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) Revision, to be held on Monday, March 30, 2015. The public workshop portion, during which the public will be able to view displays and speak individually with the project team, will take place from 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. The public hearing will take place from 6:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. Both sessions will be held at the Buena Vista Branch Library, 300 N. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, CA 91505.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Emergency Drill to Be Held at Bob Hope Airport

BURBANK, Calif., March 20, 2015 — The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, along with numerous local agencies, will participate in a full-scale emergency response training exercise Tuesday, March 24, 2015, at 9 a.m. at Bob Hope Airport. The Airport is required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to conduct such an exercise once every three years to test the Airport's readiness in case of a real incident. The Airport will continue with normal operations throughout the exercise, which is expected to last two hours.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

2015 "Tower Banner Student Art Contest" Winners Announced

BURBANK, Calif., March 2, 2015 – The high school student winners of the Bob Hope Airport "2015 Tower Banner Student Art Contest" from Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena Unified School Districts presented their winning artwork at today's March 2, 2015 Airport Authority meeting. The winners were chosen from 342 entries across all three districts. Joining them were their art teachers and district art coordinators, along with many of the students' proud parents.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Burbank Bob Hope Airport Holiday Charity Committees Raise Donations for Communities in Need

BURBANK, Calif., January 20, 2015 — During the 2014 holiday season, Burbank Bob Hope Airport staff and tenants collectively raised over \$3,000 for local charities. The Holiday Charity Committee, comprised of Airport staff, held luncheon fundraisers and collected goods for the Burbank Coordinating Council, while the Airport Fire Department and employees of The Paradies Shops collected toys for the Spark of Love Toy Drive and the Toys for Tots program, respectively.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Burbank Bob Hope Airport Launches New Website Flight Information System

RURRANK Calif December 15 2014 - Rurbank Rob Hone Airnort has launched WehTrak a new online system



Burbank Bob Hope Airport Main Number (818) 840-8840 - TTY (818) 565-1355 2627 N. Hollywood Way - Burbank, CA 91505

Privacy Policy & Disclaimer Site Map

Copyright 2013 Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority Site Design: OPM Design Group



consultant services.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Burbank Bob Hope Airport Reopens Parking Spaces to Accommodate Holiday Travelers, Featuring Covered Spaces Within Walking Distance to Terminal

BURBANK, Calif., November 12, 2014 — Bob Hope Airport parking customers who favor the often sold-out Lot E for its easy walk to the terminal will have a new choice on November 17 when the Airport opens Covered Parking Lot G just south of Lot E, with a convenient pedestrian pathway to the terminal. Covered Lot G will offer the extra amenity of covered self-parking at the rate of \$23 per day, the same rate charged for Lot E.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Reusable Booties Vending Machines to Make National Debut at Burbank Bob Hope Airport Security Checkpoints

BURBANK, Calif., September 15, 2014 — Passengers traveling through Burbank Bob Hope Airport will soon be able to soft-shoe through terminal security checkpoints with "flightfeet," a lightweight non-latex, non-skid footwear product that TSA will allow air travelers to wear through security checkpoint screenings while their shoes are going through screening with other carryon items. The cost per pair is \$3.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Airport Authority Commission Elects Pasadena Commissioner Steve Madison as President for the Coming Year

BURBANK, Calif., July 14, 2014 – The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, owner and operator of Bob Hope Airport, has elected new officers to head the Authority Commission for a one-year term from July 2014 through June 2015. The Commission elects officers each July.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Airport Authority Holds Grand Opening for Regional Intermodal Transportation Center; L.A. Metro Announces Funding to Begin Pedestrian Bridge to Bob Hope Airport Train Station

BURBANK, Calif., July 1, 2014 — The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority held a Grand Opening ceremony June 27 to mark the completion of the \$112 million Regional Intermodal Transportation Center (RITC) at Bob Hope Airport that will bring Airport patrons and multiple transportation modes together at a single location with easy access to and from the passenger terminal.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.

Economic Impact of Burbank Bob Hope Airport Totaled \$1.8 Billion and 12,440 Jobs in Fiscal Year 2013

BURBANK, Calif., May 19, 2014 – Burbank Bob Hope Airport had a total economic impact of \$1.8 billion in the Los Angeles County regional economy during its fiscal year of July 2012 through June 2013 that generated 12,440 jobs, according to a study by the Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation (LAEDC) released at today's meeting of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority Commission.

Click here for a PDF of the complete release.



The revised noise compatibility program calls for nearly \$15 million more in mitigation efforts, said David Fitz, a consultant with Coffman Associates, the firm retained by the airport to conduct a noise study under federal rules. Much of that will involve residential upgrades, Fitz said, and about 80% of it will be eligible for federal grant funding.

The other 20% will be paid by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, which funds its share from fees charged to airport users, Hardyment said.

Some previous measures are being eliminated from the plan because they have been completed or are no longer deemed necessary, Fitz said. Added mitigations are being proposed, however, such as expanding the noise-proofing program to include multifamily properties. About 30 parcels with more than 160 units will be eligible for insulation, he said.

Only four members of the public spoke at the hearing, but several questioned the shrinking eligibility area. Laverne Thomas noted that while airport officials are citing reduced operations as a cause for the smaller noise footprint, they're also working to increase air carrier service at the terminal through several ongoing marketing efforts.

Hardyment said the contours are based on what has already happened and a "reasonable expectation" of what will happen in a five-year look-ahead. He said that, in part, the airport's efforts to attract more air carriers is about protecting its current level of operations.

However, he said, if they successfully increase operations, that would be captured in a subsequent noise study.

Fitz said studies are recommended every five to 10 years, but could be required if operations increased by 15% or - according to his "very, very ballpark" estimate - about 20,000 flights a year.

Moynahan said that response didn't especially comfort him — he would have preferred every two years. He said the family has learned to live with the noise, and, in five years, it may be even less of a concern when his daughter is 21 and possibly out of the home.

"I'll be 56 and losing more of my hearing, so it won't bother me as much," he said.

A draft of the noise study is available at http://bit.ly/1xV8yfY. Comments can be sent to Mark D. Hardyment, director of transportation and environmental programs, Bob Hope Airport, Part 150 NCP Comments, 2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA 91505, or by email at mhardyment@bur.org.





D

David Fitz

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Bob Hope Airport <info@cbfs-net.com> Wednesday, March 25, 2015 2:16 PM David Fitz Bob Hope Airport Newsletter March 2015





Dear Newsletter Subscriber,

You Can Get There From Here: San Diego



Sometimes you just need a break from L.A.—and what better place for a quick weekend getaway than <u>San Diego</u>? With an extensive coastline, a plethora of historical attractions, and a world-famous zoo and theme park, San Diego is the perfect destination for beach bums, history buffs, and fun-loving families.

Visitors looking to hit the waves—or just find a sandy spot to relax—can take advantage of the area's many beaches, which include Coronado, La Jolla, and Del Mar. Traveling history aficionados should check out the Hotel del Coronado, where the classic movie *Some Like It Hot* was filmed; Balboa Park, home to 15 major museums in addition to free, public botanical gardens; or the USS Midway Museum, located in a former aircraft carrier. Families can also explore Legoland California, based on the popular children's toy, or the famous San Diego Zoo and Safari Park, which offers a hands-on safari experience in a 1,800-acre reserve.

<u>SeaPort Airlines</u> offers three daily nonstop flights from Burbank to <u>San Diego</u> <u>International Airport</u>, with an approximate flight time of one hour. But that's not all! Travelers looking to head south of the border can also connect in San Diego to SeaPort's <u>San Felipe, Mexico service</u> (PDF), timed to coordinate with incoming flights from Burbank. To start planning your trip, visit <u>http://www.bobhopeairport.com/</u>.

Bob Hope Airport Main Number (818) 840-8840 TTY (818) 565-1355 2627 N. Hollywood Way Burbank, CA 91505 www.bobhopeairport.com



Airport Authority to Host Public Part 150 Study Workshop at Buena Vista Library

A public workshop and hearing on the Burbank Bob Hope Airport's Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) Revision will be held Monday, March 30. The public workshop portion, during which the public will be able to view displays and interact with the project team, will take place from 6 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. The public hearing will take place from 6:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. Both sessions will be held at the Buena Vista Branch Library, 300 N. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, CA 91505.

The Airport Authority is in the process of finalizing revisions to the Bob Hope Airport Part 150 NCP. Due to a reduced number of aircraft operations and the use of quieter aircraft, the updated noise exposure contours for the Airport have decreased in size since the contours were developed for the 2000 Noise Exposure Map Update. Based on the decreased contours, the Federal Aviation Administration is requiring the Airport to revise the Residential Acoustical Treatment Program eligibility boundary. The Airport has also elected to review and revise other measures from the NCP that are no longer applicable.

All interested parties are invited to attend the public hearing. Those who wish to comment on the Part 150 NCP may register prior to the public hearing at the hearing site. Speakers are encouraged to submit one written copy of their comments.

Attendance at the public hearing is not a prerequisite for comment submission. Written comments received by the Airport Authority prior to April 17, 2015, will be included with the transcripts of the hearing and will be considered in the evaluation of the program. These comments should be directed to <u>Mark Hardyment</u>, Director of Transportation & Environmental Programs, with the subject line "Part 150 NCP Comment."

Airport Authority and Burbank City Council to Schedule Joint Public Meeting

The Airport Authority and the Burbank City Council have agreed to discuss their positions on a replacement terminal in a joint public meeting, to be scheduled in the near future. Last month, the Authority and the Burbank City Council both issued separate term sheets on the replacement terminal process.

Both the Authority and the City proposed that the Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) that governs the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority be amended so that certain future actions cannot be taken unless there is an affirmative vote by at least two Authority Commissioners from each represented city. These governance changes would give Burbank Commissioners the power to veto specified future Airport Authority actions,

including not supporting implementation of a mandatory curfew, expanding the replacement terminal, incr easing the number of gates to over 14, ending the voluntary nighttime curfew on airline operations, and acquiring land. These governance changes require the approval of the cities of Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena.

The Authority's term sheet stipulates that governance protections would remain in effect in perpetuity only if a replacement terminal is built on the B-6 Trust Property on Hollywood Way, but not if the terminal is built elsewhere on the Airport. A City memo made public in February regarding its position says the City would like governance protections to apply to any replacement terminal built anywhere on the Airport. "There are other technical, legal elements that would be necessary in the wording of any 'deal,' but the City has made it clear to the Authority that the governance provisions are the crucial points," the memo states.

The date of the joint public meeting has not yet been set. For more information and documentation related to the replacement terminal process, please visit the <u>City-Airport</u> <u>Visioning Process page</u>.



2015 Tower Banner Student Art Contest Winners Announced

On March 2, the high school student winners and finalists of the Burbank Bob Hope Airport 2015 Tower Banner Student Art Contest presented their work to the Airport Authority. This year's

first place winners were twelfth-grader Anyssa Payaslyan from Burbank High School in Burbank, tenth-grader Jerome Alton from Clark Magnet High School in Glendale, and tenth grader Harrison Cooper from Pasadena High School in Pasadena. The winners were chosen from 342 entries across the Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena Unified School Districts. The students were joined by their art teachers, district art coordinators, and families.

The winning artwork from each school district will be displayed on the façade of the Airport terminal tower for approximately three months each. The displays will be rotated among Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena. Beginning in June 2015, Jerome Alton's artwork will be the first to be displayed, representing Glendale. Pasadena High School's Harrison Cooper will have his artwork displayed beginning January 2016, and Burbank High School's Anyssa Payaslyan will have her artwork displayed beginning April 2016.

This June, the first, second, and third place winners will also have their artwork displayed in Terminal B, along with background information about the contest. Each school district will also receive \$3,000 to help support and promote the districts' education programs for the arts.

This is the eighth year the Airport Authority has invited high school students to enter the Tower Banner Student Art Contest. This year's aviation theme was "Great Moments in Flight." To view all the winning artwork, visit the Airport's website.



On March 2, Airport Staff reported that Burbank Bob Hope Airport passed its Part 139 inspection, which took place in December 2014. The inspection checklist was comprised of 123 items meant to assess the Airport's daily operations, and only one minor discrepancy was observed. This documented discrepancy was closed the same day it was discovered, with no further action required. The inspector complimented the Airport's training programs and stated that this inspection was one of the best he had performed.



Even the President of the United States knows that Burbank Bob Hope Airport is the closest airport to Hollywood! The President and the First Lady <u>flew in</u> to the Airport this month to appear on *Jimmy Kimmel Live* and *Ellen*, respectively.

If you no longer wish to receive these e-mails and to respect current privacy and SPAM laws, please <u>click</u> <u>here</u>to Unsubscribe. If for some reason the unsubscribe link does not work, please reply to this e-mail with unsubscribe written in the subject line and we will remove your name/address.

E-mail Managed and Powered by

c-bl@st_<u>info@c-blastmail.com</u>

If you'd like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails please <u>click here</u>.

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Study Noise Compatibility Program Revision #2

Public Hearing Transcript and Response to Public Hearing Comments

March 30, 2015

PUBLIC HEARING ATTENDANCE RECORD



Meeting, Public Hearing	Date: March	30, 2015 Time: 6:00 -7:30 p.m.
	Place: Buena	Vista Branch (Elbracy
Please Frmt Neutly	<u>309 N</u>	. Buean Vista Street, Burbank, CA 91505
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE # / E-MAIL
LALAN MERY	172/END/CREEK	Piquer & El IC. O YEL STIT
2. (132 107 M. 30 . C	100% CUPLALL D	Physics & CANCA Control Contro
3. Make Nechol	270 (+ Crambien	Phone # . 548 7.49. 8 5. 12
M. DOV: JPERKY	282 B. 46.2.00 JS	$\frac{Phone f}{E mult - \pi} = \frac{\xi (y)}{E mult - \pi} = \frac{\xi (y)}{E mult - \pi}$
5. Winder Paul	6.210 Revention AWAR	
6. Lolarna Tuman	361 Son Myones Sail	Phone & 303 - 3 <u>113</u> Z (3711 Formut
R. Quarter Myneller	ise a Phanie R	Property SIN - SCAL STATES
8. mile Margaretian	1	19000 5 (5.15) 12 5 5 10 5 E-main
9.		Phone 9 E-mail
10		Phone h
<u> 11.</u>		Phone ha
12.		Physic + E-mail
13		Phone 4: E-mail:
14.	L	Phone st
15,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Physics 9 E. stall
16		Phoes 5 E main
17		Phone 6
18		Phote 0. E-made
19		Plenne d
20.	C-46	Phone d Lemoit

PUBLIC HEARING ATTENDANCE RECORD



Meeting: Public Hearing Date: Masch 30, 2015 [Time: 6:00 -7:30 p (n) Place: Bucos Vista Branch Library Please Print Neatly 300 N. Buena Vista Street, Binbank, CA 91505 NAME PHONE # / E-MAIL ADDRESS Plane " 818 982 - 5869 FRED Rich ,1227 Round ING AL ROAT . MET 1V- 12- Sand Ріцяк 4 E-magi, Sam 2 Q. Phone IC ኛ λ×r. 31.72 281 3 Ŀ. (S HF mad 378 9 Norve 9 121 2462 166. 4527 M. < 44. E-mail: 4 Percent 4 **P**W Uc -- -... 5 E-saad? まい Pinore 5 7.800 6. E-mad white いいがかが 11. Расом и 1200 7 h-moth Phone 8 8. E-muit: Planc 4 9, L-nont PActor K. 10. K most Phone K 17. E mail Phone it. 12, K-nual Mone F 13. N-mail; hime a 34. \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} Phone # 15. Concil Phone K 16. E break Phone 10 17. K-mm(Paons h'î 8. L-mail: Phone a 19. E-mail: Phone if E-mode 20.

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Noise Compatibility Plan Revision

PUBLIC HEARING March 30, 2015

Please fill out this form if you wish to speak at Name <u>LAVERNE THOMAS</u> Address <u>362 South Myerks St.</u> Barbank 9/526	this hear	ing (please print).
Representing: (check all boxes that apply) Airport tenant/user (Name Local Government (Name Local business or business group (Name Federal Agency (Name)))	 □ Citizens or neighborhood group (Name) ☑ Private citizen /HOMECOUNER) □ Other

	ob Hope Airport Dise Compatibility Plan Revision
	UBLIC HEARING larch 30, 2015
Please fill out this form if you wish to speak a Name Address <u>Margie A. St</u> Strange <u>A. St</u> Strange <u>A. St</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. St</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. St</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>A. Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>Strange</u> <u>S</u>	at this hearing (<i>please print</i>). Le ST: 91503
 Airport tenant/user (Name Local Government (Name Local business or business group (Name 	
Federal Agency (Name	C-48
Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Noise Compatibility Plan Revision

PUBLIC HEARING March 30, 2015

Please fill o	MIKE NOCAN	t this hear	ing (please print).	
Address				
Airpor (Name Local (Name Local (Name	business or business group)))	 Citizens or neighborhood group (Name)

	Hope Airport Compatibility Plan Revision
	IC HEARING ch 30, 2015
Please fill out this form if you wish to speak at thi	is hearing (please print).
Name Mite Moynahan Address 3307 U. Seffres	
Representing: (check all boxes that apply)	
Airport tenant/user (Name	_) Citizens or neighborhood group (Name Saw Burbarnk Neighborhoods
Local Government (Name) Private citizen
Local business or business group (Name) Other
Federal Agency	C-49

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	PUBLIC HEARING) REVISION TO PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY)CORRECTED
6	PROGRAM FOR BOB HOPE AIRPORT)4/20/15
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	PUBLIC MEETING
14	BURBANK, CALIFORNIA
15	MARCH 30, 2015
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC. COURT REPORTERS
21	500 North Brand Boulevard, Third Floor Glendale, California 91203
22	(818) 551-7300
23	WWW.DEPO.COM
24	REPORTED BY: KAREN GEER, CSR NO. 9781
25	FILE NO.: A901AC6
1	

		1			
1		1			
2			INDEX		
3		2			
4		3	PUBLIC MEETING	_	PAGE
5)	4		5	
-	PUBLIC HEARING)	5			
6	REVISION TO PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY)CORRECTED	6			
	PROGRAM FOR BOB HOPE AIRPORT)4/20/15	7			
7		8			
8	/	9			
9		10			
10		11			
11		12	ALSO PRESENT:		
12		13	Mark Hardyment		
13	Public Hearing taken at 300 North Buena Vista	14	Dave Fitz		
14	Street, Conference Room, Burbank Library, Burbank,	15	Don Brown		
15	California commencing at 6:00 p.m., Monday,	16	Gary Brett		
16	March 30, 2015, before Karen Geer, CSR No. 9781.	17	Ray Adams		
17	, ,	18	Members of the Public		
18		19	Members of the Public		
19		20			
20		21			
21		21			
22					
23		23			
24		24			
25		25			
	Page 2				Page 4
1	A P P E A R A N C E S:	1	000		
2		2	BURBANK, CALIFORNIA		
3	COOPER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	3			
	MARTIN M. COOPER, APR President	4	MR. HARDYMENT: Good evening	g. Could I a	sk
4	17547 Ventura Boulevard	5	everyone to find a chair. I'll give one la	st visitor a	
_	Suite 311	6	chance to sign in.		
5	Encino, California 91316	7	Good evening. My name is I	Mark Hardyr	nent.
6		8	I'm the Director of Transportation & Env	vironmental	
7		9	Programs for Bob Hope Airport. I want		ch of
8		10	you for taking the time to be able to cor		
9 10		11	workshop this evening.		blic
10		12	In particular, I'd like to draw	VOUR	
12		13			n our
12			attention and thank three distinguished	-	
13 14		14	audience today. First off, two airport co		-
14		15	Commissioner Don Brown and Commiss	-	
16		16	with us tonight is Burbank City Councilm		
17		17	I'd like to thank all three of them for the	eir attendanc	e
18		18	this evening.		
19		19	But I want to be very short a	and to the	
20		20	point on my comments tonight and not	take away fr	om the
21		21	public hearing opportunity for folks tonic	ght to be abl	e to
22		22	register their comments. But I do want	to thank you	u for
23		23	taking the time out of your schedules to		
24		24	and comment on the ongoing Part 150 S		
25		25	This is the Authority's third F	-	
	Page 3				Page 5

MARCH 30, 2015

1	Study that we have undertaken since the Authority's	1	So with that, we did our inventory, our
2	inception. Part 150 is a program or a study that	2	forecast effort. We came out and met with a study
3	undertakes ways that we our programs can mitigate the	3	advisory committee, or SAC, and we also had a public
4	effects of noise in the community.	4	workshop. After that we developed noise exposure
5	And the first phase of this study was	5	contours. Again all of that information that went into
6	completed in October of 2013, and that established new	6	those noise contours are back on the display boards, and
7	noise exposure maps for this area.	7	if you have questions about that information, I will be
8	The second phase is going to take a look	8	around and so will a few others to answer any questions
9	at proposed programs to mitigate the effects of noise in	9	you might have on that information.
10	this area.	10	Again we updated the noise exposure
11	So tonight is an opportunity for the	11	contours, and we also reviewed the previous program as
12	public to comment on these proposed programs. And with us	12	part of the requirement that we had to do. So we have to
13	tonight to lead us through this process are two	13	kind of look at what was successful at the previous
14	individuals. The first one is Mr. Dave Fitz who is a	14	program, what was not so successful. So we look at that
15	principal with Coffman Associates and led the effort on	15	information and compare where we are and how we did.
16	this study, and he will be followed by his presentation on	16	We had another meeting with a study
17	what makes up the study and how it's formulated by Marty	17	advisory committee, and then we also had another workshop.
18	Cooper of Cooper Communications as the moderator of the	18	After that information was updated and revised, based on
19	public hearing process.	19	comments, we submitted that to the Airport Authority.
20	So with that, I'm going to end my comments	20	They reviewed it, and then they submitted it to FAA, and
21	and turn it over to Dave Fitz.	21	FAA accepted that, as Mark had mentioned, on October 10,
22	Dave.	22	2013.
23	MR. McKAY: Do you have a volume control on	23	And that launched us into the next phase,
24	that? Can you turn it up?	24	which is a revision to the Noise Compatibility Program,
25	MR. COOPER: I can. Yes.	25	and this effort really looks at the measures that were
	Page 6		Page 8
1	MD MeKAY. The bould be lowder	1	forwarded from the gravitate study, and we leaded at these
2	MR. McKAY: It should be louder.	2	forwarded from the previous study, and we looked at those,
3	MR. FITZ: Okay. All right. Well, I'll try and	3	whether they needed to be revised, continued, or in some
4	speak up real loud until Marty can get to the volume. How is that?	4	cases dropped from the program. Some of the reasons for dropping them, they've been and I'll talk about those.
5	Thank you for coming out.	5	Some of the reasons for revising them is the noise
6	Thank you, Mark.	6	exposure contour has changed, and we may need to make some
7	As Mark had mentioned, this is the second	7	adjustments. I'll talk about that too.
8	phase of a noise exposure map and Noise Compatibility	8	So let's start out with noise abatement.
9	Program effort. I'm going to walk you through the process	9	We had 12 measures for noise abatement. One of those was
10	of both of those real briefly, and then I'm going to touch	10	implemented, and that was tax UAD. The purpose of that
11	on some of the revisions for the Noise Compatibility	11	the improvements the tax UAD is we have a need for
12	Program.	12	aircraft to be able to taxi up to that end of the runway
13	Starting out this process, on the graphic	13	for noise abatement to depart at night and take off down
14	behind you shows the noise exposure maps, and that's what	14	in this direction over more compatible areas. So that was
15	Mark had mentioned previously. That effort involved	15	one of our measures that was implemented. So we're going
16	updating the noise or the aviation forecast and	16	to drop that measure from the program going forward since
17	submitting those to FAA for approval.	17	it's already been complete.
18	We also revisited the flight tracks and	18	We also had a couple other measures that
19	the runway use. The airport has a permanent noise	19	were studied under a more rigorous Part 161 Study, and
20	monitoring system that we utilize as a check to our	20	that had to do with the curfew and the phase-out. Well,
21	modeling assumptions. We also generate a five-year	21	Congress did us a big favor here awhile back and mandated
22	forecast contour. Those are all requirements under the	22	the phase-out of those older stage 2 aircraft that was
23	regulations that the studies develop under, which is a 14	23	part of that phase-out. So as of the end of this year,
24	CFR, which stands for Code of Federal Regulations, Part	24	the rest of those aircraft will no longer be able to fly
25		25	
	150 Study.	20	in the contiguous United States. So that part is kind of
	150 Study. Page 7	20	In the contiguous United States. So that part is kind of Page 9

MARCH 30, 2015

1	done for us.	1	Again we're down to right around 144 single-family units.
2	The curfew, again, both of those were	2	And again, if you remember, we're adding multi-family
3	studied under the 161 Study, and the study was found to be	3	units to that mix as well, and so we have 30 parcels or
4	complete, but FAA rejected the implementation of those two	4	about a hundred sixty some units that will be eligible for
5		5	sound insulation.
6	measures, the curfew and the phase-out.	6	
7	Moving on down to noise mitigation, we had	7	On the land use element, we had six
8	four mitigation measures. From mitigation we had a sound	8	measures recommended. One measure is going to be revised,
	insulation program that is currently ongoing. We	9	and five measures are going to be dropped. The airport
9	recommended that that continue in the previous program.		authority, when the program was developed in 1998, worked
10	We recommended that it be expanded based on the larger	10	with a lot of the communities to try and develop some of
11	noise contours. There were schools that were included in	11	the measures that would help maintain compatibility. A
12	that program, and there was a purchase assurance option.	12	lot of those measures, either by virtue of changes and
13	All of those measures the schools were sound insulated.	13	thought process or how it would be implemented such as an
14	So we have no more schools within our contours. So that	14	overlay zone, incorporating some general plan amendments,
15	measure is going to be dropped because it's been	15	things of that nature, building code amendments and stuff,
16	implemented.	16	just weren't implemented, and because of that lack of
17	The expansion is going to be more of a	17	interest in implementing them, those measures are going to
18	revision because the contours are actually smaller; so we	18	be dropped.
19	have to adjust the size. The Airport Authority spent over	19	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Lack of interest for whom?
20	\$110 million so far on sound insulation. FAA said you	20	MR. FITZ: Lack of interest, there just was no
21	need to update your plan because your contours are	21	interest in pushing forward.
22	smaller. So that's a big part of why we're here.	22	If we can hold comments until the end,
23	So we're going to have to adjust those	23	we'll have it open for everybody here in a minute. I'm
24	boundaries to better match the updated noise exposure	24	almost finished here.
25	contours. So that's where we're at on the mitigation	25	The program management element and
	Page 10		Page 12
1	measures.	1	that's really the monitoring piece of this program. We
2	But we've also wanted to step up and add	2	have six measures. Those included updating the plan,
3	multi-family to this program as well. So multi-family	3	expanding the GIS system, expanding the permanent noise
4	dwellings will be added to this program inside the new	4	monitoring system around the airport, things of that
5	boundary.	5	nature.
6	There's also an avigation option.	6	The GIS system was established and
7	Purchase assurance was part of the previous program. That	7	expanded. It is used to track the sound insulation
8	measure has been dropped. Purchase assurances was not	8	program as well as their impact area.
9	necessary. Nobody took advantage of it over the life of	9	The measurement equipment has been updated
10	the program. So that measure is going to be an	10	and expanded. So a couple of those measures are going to
11	avigation purchase option is going to be offered for those	11	be dropped, and those were the two measures that will be
12	homes that fall within the eligibility area and meet all	12	dropped. We're continuing on on monitoring the program as
13	the criteria but may have code deficiencies that keep it	13	well as a recommendation to update it in again another
14	from actually being sound insulated. So there's an option	14	five to ten years.
15	there that they wanted to add.	15	The cost of this program is right around
16	Again here is the change in the boundary.	16	\$14.8 million. Again the Airport Authority spent \$110-
17	Again that graphic is in the back if you want a closer	17	just on sound insulation. The lion's share of this
18	look at it. Here is the current boundary, down in here,	18	\$14.8 million is for the mitigation or the sound
19	and the red S line represents the adjusted boundary which	19	insulation program. 80 percent of that, or almost up to
20	reflects the smaller noise exposure contours. So you can	20	80 percent of that, will be eligible for federal funding
21	see a lot of these homes have already been sound insulated	21	from the Aviation Trust Fund, or AIP, on the noise set
22	because those are in the green color. So we're doing very	22	side. There are monies available for that program,
23	well in terms of sound insulation. But now the boundaries	23	80 percent of that.
24	have to be adjusted.	24	So with that, Marty
25	And you can see the numbers we have here.	25	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Dave.
	n 11		D 10
	Page 11	1	Page 13

MARCH 30, 2015

1	To the triangle of the sectors of	1	
2	Is that loud enough on the volume?	1 2	the hearing. Responses to all comments will be prepared
	MR. McKAY: Better. Thank you.		and included with the complete documentation of the final
3	MR. COOPER: If I'm not loud enough, wave your	3	revised Noise Compatibility Program.
4	hand or something, and I'll speak louder.	4	Additional written comments will be
5	Welcome. You have several ways in which	5	accepted no later than the close of normal business hours
6	you can participate in this program this evening. If you	6	on April 17, 2015. Please address additional written
7	have not signed in, please do so. Out at that table, you	7	comments to Mr. Mark Hardyment, Director of
8	will see a public comment form. You can fill out a public	8	Transportation & Environmental Programs,
9	comment form while you're here, leave it on the back table	9	Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority,
10	if you'd like, or if you prefer, you can take it with you.	10	2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank California 91505.
11	The address where you send it, Coffman Associates, is down	11	Are there any other additional speaker
12	on the bottom of the form, and the deadline is April 17.	12	cards? Do I have all of those?
13	So feel free to pick one of these up and mail it in, and	13	Okay. Thank you. I put these in
14	this will be included with the filing that	14	alphabetical order. I'll ask people to speak in
15	Coffman Associates makes to the FAA.	15	alphabetical order. If you use this microphone here so
16	Secondly, we have the opportunity for you	16	that both the reporter and the rest of the people in the
17	to speak this evening. If anyone wants to speak and you	17	audience can hear you, it would be appreciated.
18	haven't done so, please in the back of the room fill out a	18	Please restrict your comments to the
19	public comment card, and I'll call names just in a moment	19	topic, which is the Part 150 Study. And if you could make
20	or two.	20	your comments within three minutes, it would be
21	I want to start by reading a formal	21	appreciated.
22	statement that is part of the FAA record for this, and	22	First card that we have is for Margie Gee.
23	this will be a good time for someone, if they want to fill	23	Margie.
24	out a speaker card, to go and do that.	24	MS. GEE: First on the alphabet, huh?
25	This is a statement explaining the purpose	25	MR. COOPER: Yes.
	Page 14		Page 16
1	of the public hearing on the revision to the Part 150	1	MS. GEE: My name is Margie Gee. I've been a
2	Noise Compatibility Program for Bob Hope Airport.	2	commissioner before on the airport twice. So I've been on
3	The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport	3	both sides of the issues of running an airport. I believe
4	Authority has prepared a revision to the Airport Noise	4	I have been anyway. And basically a spokesperson for the
5	Compatibility Program for Bob Hope Airport based on the	5	folks affected by the airport's presence in Burbank.
6	requirements and guidelines of Title 14 of the Code of	6	I'm interested in some of the contours
7	Federal Regulations, Part 150. The updated noise exposure	7	that were drawn in the back. As I remembered and I
8	contours for Bob Hope Airport have reduced in size since	8	forgot to notice today. Maybe you can answer this
9	the noise exposure contours were developed for the 2000	9	question. The 65 CNEL at one time reached to the studios,
10	Noise Exposure Map Update. The primary reason for the	10	all the way down Hollywood Way to the studios.
11	smaller contours are the reduced number of aircraft	11	Are they still there? I forgot to notice
12	operations and the quieter aircraft that now serve the	12	that. Can you answer that?
13	airport. Based on the reduced size noise exposure	13	MR. COOPER: Let me sort of address what you're
14	contours, FAA is requiring the airport to revise the	14	saying.
15	Residential Acoustical Treatment Program (RATP)	15	So that everyone knows, any questions that
16	eligibility boundary. In addition to revising the RATP	16	anyone asks during the testimony, you'll receive a written
17	eligibility boundary, the airport has also elected to	17	response to your questions. So we'll do that after
18	review and revise other measures from the NCP that have	18	tonight.
19	been implemented or are no longer applicable.	19	MS. GEE: I don't think that's appropriate.
20	The public hearing is intended to give the	20	These people are here as a hearing, and they're not
21	public the opportunity to present oral or written	21	hearing the answers. You're saying individually they'll
22	testimony in favor of, in opposition to, or neutral toward	22	be notified. They may it may generate questions. So
23	the revised Airport Noise Compatibility Program. The	23	to me that looks like a deliberate lack of following
24	public hearing is not a forum to debate the issues. A	24	through on the spirit of the public hearing.
25	written record will be made of all comments presented at	25	MR. COOPER: I think are where are you, Dave?
	Page 15		Page 17

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

MARCH 30, 2015

1		1	
1	Dave, if I'm correct, are aren't those the	1	improvements made. I appreciate the sound insulation
2	FAA guidelines?	2	program. It has benefited many of the people. I'm sorry
3	MR. FITZ: If you have questions, they're	3	that many have been excluded. I have a very a good
4	welcome to come back to the back of the room, and I'll	4	friend that lives just south of the cemetery, and because
5	talk to you. For the purpose of this, this is to get	5	she hasn't been able to afford to have her home brought to
6	comments on the record officially for the study itself.	6	the degree of repair that she can get insulation, she's
7	If you have questions, we've got people back here that	7	still living with that noise and has been all these years.
8	would be happy to address any questions.	8	That's a major thing that I think that this hearing should
9	MS. MECCA: I have to agree with her because we	9	address about these people that are disqualified because
10	need to hear the answer. If you can answer the question,	10	they're too poor to come up to the standards of even
11	then you can answer the question.	11	getting their home insulated.
12	MR. FITZ: We'll respond in writing to all the	12	The fact that the program of purchasing of
13	questions.	13	homes has been dropped, I guess it wasn't successful. But
14	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Margie, will you let us know	14	as you know, purchasing homes at a price you couldn't
15	what they say?	15	replace that home by going somewhere else in the
16	MS. THOMAS: It's unsatisfactory.	16	community. So it's just like what can I compare it
17	MR. McKAY: In another hearing or what?	17	with? It's not a true helpful program.
18	MR. COOPER: Would you like to continue?	18	MR. COOPER: May I ask you to bring your
19	MS. GEE: I'd like to respond to that. I	19	comments to an end because you're about three minutes in.
20	think it's an unnecessary and unreasonable part of this	20	MS. GEE: Well, that's fair.
21	hearing today. It denies people from hearing each other	21	That puts a pretty big beginning to the
22	and responding to not hearing the response. All the	22	people who are living there are being compensated for the
23	people hear what I say or you say.	23	damage they are receiving.
24	So to me that's not following the spirit,	24	Thank you.
25	and I'd like that to be a matter of the record.	25	MR. COOPER: Thank you.
	Page 18		Page 20
1	I have lived in the neighborhood one mile	1	MR. HARDYMENT: In reference to Mrs. Gee's
2	from the south runway for since 1968 before the airport	2	questions, what I'd like to offer is, given the time of
3	became the Doganoff (phonetic) Airport became.	3	the night we're at and the size of the group that we're
4	Although due to the economy, I recognize that there aren't	4	at, we are going to make every effort to be able to answer
5	as many flights and as much noise now but, as I say, the	5	all the questions that have been raised directly, but what
6	economy being what it is.	6	I need to do, in fairness to the group, is make sure that
7	I can tell you the old arguments of the	7	I hear all the questions first.
8	airport was here first simply aren't true. Lockheed	8	So once we have all of the speaker cards
9	Airport was, and they just sold it when they saw it was a	9	and all the speakers who have questions and we know the
10	losing proposition, and the City thought they were getting	10	list of questions that we have, we will then begin to
11	a bargain to get the attention of the State to allow them	11	answer the questions, but I can't start answering
12	to purchase the airport. I'd like that part of the	12	questions and eat up the time that others have to be able
13	history of the airport to be known from people here that	13	to read us questions that they have.
14	perhaps aren't familiar with it.	14	MS. MECCA: That's fair.
15	I can tell you that during that year, I	15	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you.
16	was in the backyard hanging clothes, which we did in those	16	MS. MECCA: Appreciate that.
17	days on the clothes line, and I had my baby with me in the	17	MR. HARDYMENT: We'll answer questions as we
18	backyard, and the plane came over, and you can imagine the	18	have time available.
19	terror that the baby had, and me my looking up and	19	MR. COOPER: Roseanne Mecca.
20	seeing a plane that, to me, you could almost touch it.	20	MS. MECCA: I'm next on the list. I'd like to
21	I'm sure you've heard that comment before, but it feels	21	give Margie Gee my three minutes. I'm going to decline on
22	that way when you're seeing the passengers in the plane	22	this right now.
23	going over your head. And that's some history I'd like to	23	MR. COOPER: Okay. Mike Moynaham.
24	have part of the public hearing.	24	MR. MOYNAHAN: My name is Mike Moynaham. I'm a
25	So can I have say there have been	25	Burbank resident. I live just outside of the noise
	Page 19		Page 21

MARCH 30, 2015

_			
1	exposure contours, and I was hoping that they would grow,	1	MR. COOPER: Thank you.
2	but they shrunk, and I would like to describe what it's	2	Mike Nolan.
3	like to live in the area where I live, maybe under	3	MR. NOLAN: Before my time starts, could
4	100 feet outside of the contour area, as it is now, before	4	somebody do the finger method, when it's two minutes,
5	you shrink it.	5	could you hold up please.
6	I'll go back to 1997 when I moved into	6	MR. COOPER: Any particular finger?
7	Burbank and was renting a home, and you're right. It was	7	MR. NOLAN: No. I never specify that in
8	louder. The planes were louder then and I my baby was	8	Burbank.
9	born in 1999. And there were nights when my house shook.	9	My name is Mike Nolan, and first I take
10	Windows shook and woke up my baby, woke up my family,	10	exception to the three-minute rule for those of us who
11	partly because we don't enforce a curfew. Our voluntary	11	prepared our remarks. In Burbank we're used to five
12	curfew doesn't really help us when there's no enforcement	12	minutes, but it fits.
13	of it.	13	This shrinkage of the contour makes no
14	And now my baby is 16 years old, and she	14	sense. Some of us have been involved back and even
15	still wakes up in the night. We still have planes flying	15	participated in urging to get stage 3 aircraft to fly into
16	over at 1:00 a.m. waking her up. They're that loud. Some	16	the airport, which we didn't have before. We got it.
17	of the planes are quieter. Not all of them.	17	But there's a big elephant that's not in
18	The fact that we deal still with these	18	the room right now, and that's American Airlines. Going
19	noise issues is it strengthens our community's argument	19	back we used to have TWA. They're not with us anymore,
20	for an enforced curfew, and we'll keep fighting for that	20	but with this merger going on, whether people the
21	because, if we're not going to be helped by the	21	Airport Authority recognize it or not, some of them come
22	Sound Mitigation Plan, then at least we want to be able to	22	and go over time, we're still here. People all over the
23	sleep at night.	23	country have been confused because they change the name of
24	Thank you to Mrs. Gee for sharing	24	the airport. So any claims they might make, as part of
25	everything that she did. And where I grew up, in a	25	this study, about the traffic it's been influenced by the
	Page 22		Page 24
	1 460 22		1 420 2 1
1	setting like this, to avoid answering I'll sensor	1	fact that people outside of this area, even some of the
2	myself would be chicken excrement. But thank you very	2	staff admits they're not sure that Bob Hope Airport might
3	much for offering to answer, and it makes sense to wait	3	be down by Palm Springs.
4	until the end, but I would like to hear the answers. I	4	If they have do the rebranding effort to
5	don't want Mrs. Gee to get an answer and then be	5	Hollywood Burbank Airport, which was quite satisfactory to
6	responsible for disseminating that information to the	6	most of the airline tenants originally, it could have a
7	community.	7	dramatic impact on the number of flights. And to be
8	The noise exposure contours being	8	shrinking the boundaries because, among other things, the
9	actually bringing them in makes a person like me feel	9	economy, I think, is rather short sided, and I question
10	helpless, disengaged, and insignificant as a member of	10	the value of any studies that are done with shrunken
11	this community. People in Pasadena and people in Glendale	11	boundaries.
12	don't deal with these issues like I deal with them, the	12	I also find it significant, if you look at
13	noise issues, every day, every night. Our house doesn't	13	the existing map back there, you'll see one little bubble
14	shake every night anymore, but sometimes it does. And	14	going what I call east of Hollywood Way. Any of you
15	that should be enough to do something about it. I'm not	15	staffers see what I mean by this little rectangle that's
16	going to get my triple-paned windows it looks like. But	16	tacked on the end? Nobody is nodding their heads; so I'm
17	maybe we can look at other things, enforcement of a	17	going to assume they're not able to follow me, but they
18	curfew, so that my family can sleep at night.	18	can read the transcript.
19	That's it for now. You know, I want to	19	What we have here is a lack of confidence,
20	stay more active and follow up on what's going on, but I	20	and nothing that's been said so far tonight has done
21	think these boundaries shrinking, for a person like me, is	21	anything to instill the confidence of the people of
22	not going to help. Some of our planes are quieter, not	22	Burbank. We're the most directly impacted, and I don't
23	all of them. We're still waking up at night.	23	see the outreach to us to reassure us or assure us that
24	Thank you for taking the time to listen to	24	anybody at the airport has our best interest in mind.
25	us tonight.	25	This idea of telling people that their homes are not up to
-	us compris		
I	Page 23		Page 25

MARCH 30, 2015

the standards of the airport so they can't get noise	¹ years because a couple years ago I read in the paper of
attenuation help is an insult to people who are fighting	the need to change Bob Hope Airport to another name
3 valiantly to hang onto their home. They're proud to live	 because people didn't know where it was. This has been going on for awhile.
 ⁴ in Burbank with or without the airport. I really believe ⁵ that the basis you presented to us that the study is on is 	genig en le anniel
and the busic you presented to us that the study is on is	
 faulty, and I don't have any confidence at this point in what the results might be 	
what the results might be.	
We have yet to have an emotecable carter	
at our anport, and the record should show that the	
¹⁰ authority has publicly announced they're studying two ¹¹ separate places for a new terminal. One would be by the	10 during meetings with four airlines next month to see how
separate places for a new terminal one would be by the	 it flies. It could also tie in with the airport's rebranding efforts.
boy and one would be by the northwest quadrantic we	
many get the mornation in the back room	
The other anny is there was a young lady	
¹⁵ working for the airport who advised us at a meeting less	
than a year ago the terminal was no longer there that would not prevent easterly take-offs. Now we're getting	
found hot prevent custerly take on or hot were getting	
¹⁸ mixed opinions from the staff, and I believe that should ¹⁹ be verified. What would be the impact since they've	18 reasons for that reduction of the noise contour area. One 19 was for quieter plans, which we understand in most
be verified what would be the impact since they ve	
	······································
I mode met no could get met at react primed domin	
T believe she meane what one salay and its in a tape	Then I go on to the second page, and this really caught my eye. It says, for example, Carvel said
recordingi	 24 officials estimated that boarding passengers for both
	 25 front and rear aircraft doors in Burbank allows the
²⁵ you wouldn't be trying to shrink it down, and I believe	
Page 26	Page 28
¹ some of your assumptions should be carefully enunciated at	¹ airlines to make up make up 105 flying days over the
² the beginning of the report so we can all see the value of	² course of a typical year. He noted that Southwest is
³ the numbers that you come up with.	³ experimenting with a similar boarding arrangement in
4 Thank you.	⁴ Sacramento International Airport to reduce turnaround
⁵ MR. COOPER: Thank you.	5 times there.
⁶ Laverne Thomas is the last speaker.	6 What does that specifically mean? How do
7 MS. THOMAS: Good evening. I too object to your	7 you relate to that? Obviously, if our newspaper fellow
⁸ three minutes. We don't have very many people here to	8 here, Chad, picked this up, up to 105 flying days over the
⁹ speak this evening. I think it's a very, very important	⁹ course of a typical year, what does that mean? What does
¹⁰ matter, and I think to tell us three minutes and whatever	¹⁰ that mean to the Burbank residents? What does that mean
¹¹ else you told us is very discouraging to me.	¹¹ to your contour? Because if you're looking to increase
¹² To move forward, I found it interesting to	¹² flights, wouldn't that noise contour maybe change? I
¹³ note the reduction in the noise contours called out by the	¹³ don't know.
¹⁴ airport particularly since I believe, sir, you said that	¹⁴ So that's what I want to know, and I think
¹⁵ it was due to quieter aircraft, which we all know is, in	¹⁵ the people here would be interested in knowing what that
¹⁶ many cases, true and, more importantly, a reduction in	¹⁶ answer was, not just to write to me.
¹⁷ flights, a reduction in flights, particularly from what I	¹⁷ MR. COOPER: Thank you.
¹⁸ read in the paper.	¹⁸ MS. THOMAS: From here oh, that's enough for
¹⁹ I was out of town for six weeks, came	¹⁹ the moment.
²⁰ back, and I was reviewing all my Leaders. Airfield looks	²⁰ Thank you very much.
²¹ to its advantage. As Bob Hope Airport officials continue	²¹ MR. COOPER: Thank you.
²² trying to woo increase airline service to the air field,	²² Mark, do you want to make a few comments?
they're beginning an effort to highlight what they call	²³ MR. FITZ: If I could clarify something.
²⁴ the Burbank advantage.	The intent of responding to comments, it
25 We know that's been going on for a couple	²⁵ would be responded as a whole, and so the comments would
Page 27	Page 29

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

MARCH 30, 2015

1	be comments and responses would be done in a document	1	be a required part of this hearing study.
2	where the comments would be pulled out, responded. So it	2	No information now on the new airport
3	would be made available for everyone. It wouldn't be sent	3	location. It's preposterous. It's a significant possible
4	out individually to you, to you, to you. So you would be	4	threat where the new airport will be and what that's going
5	able to see the responses to Ms. Gee's comments and vice	5	to mean in the way of flight take-offs and the patterns,
6	versa.	6	and so forth. That wasn't a part of tonight's discussion.
7	MS. THOMAS: On the website?	7	It certainly should be responded to satisfactory.
8	MR. COOPER: Yes.	8	Underground water pollution. You don't
9	MR. FITZ: Yes, it would be made available. It	9	hear about that anymore. The reason why is because not
10	will be a public document, and it will be available on the	10	enough people perhaps have lived long enough, as I have,
11	website.	11	to know that is a severe problem that the airport's
12	MS. THOMAS: On the website.	12	
13		13	run-off from the runways cause, this pollution of
14	MR. FITZ: Yes.	14	Burbank's natural clean, clear water under the ground, and
	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Is there another hearing		that's certainly something that should be addressed,
15	down the road?	15	washing off of residue from the runways into the ground.
16	MR. FITZ: At this point there is not another	16	The noise monitoring system dropped. It
17	hearing. It does have to go to the Airport Authority, and	17	was so inadequate from the beginning, it didn't reflect
18	they will have opportunities for public comment at that	18	the real noise. There was one monitor put at the end of
19	meeting.	19	my block in the middle of the block. I know what the
20	MR. McKAY: It remains a declarative process	20	results showed on the publications at the airport. The
21	though that way. You are making these statements, and	21	screen showing these wonderful monitors and how inadequate
22	we're allowed to comment on them, but it isn't reciprocal.	22	they were and how they made the noise over a 24-hour
23	That we understood the hearing is.	23	period. So if you had an ear breaker go over your house,
24	MS. MECCA: They're going to try to answer them	24	that would be divided up into a 24-hour period, making the
25	now.	25	noise look much less of a concern and a hazard than it
	Page 30		Page 32
1	MS. GEE: Well, before they're answered, as I	1	was.
2	understand, you gave me your three minutes to ask more	2	When you're talking about publishing
3	questions?	3	everyone's comments, I'm not sure what you mean by that
4	MS. MECCA: I did.	4	Perhaps you can respond to that.
5	MS. GEE: So we don't want to go through those	5	MR. COOPER: It will be placed on the airport's
6	afterwards. We should save your response until we finish	6	website.
7	with the questions.	7	MS. GEE: The website? And would that be
8	MR. FITZ: Sure.	8	something that will be how will people know that? Do
9	MS. THOMAS: Will the City of Burbank get a copy	9	they have to call the airport to know that?
10	of that, sir?	10	MR. COOPER: Do you want to address that, Dave?
11	MR. HARDYMENT: Yes. Like I say, it will be a	11	Why don't we
12	public document.	12	MR. FITZ: Yes.
13	MS. GEE: Thank you very much.	13	MR. COOPER: Why don't you conclude, and then we
14	Some of the things I didn't get an	14	will.
15	opportunity to speak about previously, one of the things	15	MS. GEE: Okay. And the competing with a bug
16	that we haven't mentioned tonight at all is the poor air	16	
17	quality that's caused by planes that fly out of the	17	here.
18	airport. Many people in our neighbors have problems	18	I think that that is about covering it. I
10 19		19	could go on, but my three minutes are doubled.
	breathing, asthma, many cases of cancer. I can personally		Thank you very much for your time.
20	report a death on my own block from cancer caused with her	20	MR. COOPER: Thank you.
21	lung problems. And I have other friends even closer that	21	MS. GEE: Thank you.
22	have had severe problems with their health.	22	MR. COOPER: Mark, do you want to make any
23	I think that should be part of this	23	comments?
24	hearing as a matter of record and be responded to. There	24	MR. HARDYMENT: I'm going to have to kind of do
25	has been no study on this, and there should be. It should	25	this ad hoc and kind of answer the questions as I've

MARCH 30, 2015

1	written them down, and so bear with me a little bit here.	1	The price of fuel has gone up, and so what
2	As I noted some of the questions from	2	you see making up the fleet of general aviation aircraft
3	Mrs. Gee's first time up, one of her questions had to do	3	at the airport today tends to be more high-end corporate
4	with the contours and did they still extend down as far	4	aircraft. They don't fly as often as the recreational
5	as down as the studios. We would be more than happy to	5	flier did years ago, but even the recreational flier of
6	demonstrate to you on the boards back there. No. The	6	today, with the price of fuel the way it's been, doesn't
7	contours have shrunk. They have shrunk rather	7	fly the way what used to be a hobby.
8	dramatically.	8	There was a comment raised and I'll get
9	MS. GEE: Which contours?	9	back to some of your other questions or comments in a
10	MR. HARDYMENT: The 65 CNEL contours all	10	moment. But I believe Mr. Moynahan was disappointed, and
11	contours have retracted. It's a result of the aircraft	11	I think I tried to be acknowledge the fact that I hear
12	that are in use by the airlines today and the general	12	where you're coming from, that you were hopeful that the
13		13	
14	aviation planes that are in use today are comparatively	14	contours were going to expand. Unfortunately, I can
	and I mean that out of respect to the homeowners who are		and this is where I'll try to do a twofer here. I don't
15	legitimately here with a beef with the noise they suffer	15	know if Mike Nolan is still in the room.
16	from operations at the airport. But the aircraft are	16	Where he was encouraging our contours to
17	comparatively and measurably quieter in today's fleet than	17	be forward looking and wanting to look at our and
18	were operated ten years ago. And this is measured by the	18	incorporate our marketing efforts into our contours, they
19	same technology and same methodology that was used before.	19	aren't that way. They are dealing with things after the
20	It's a similar apples-to-apples comparison of that noise.	20	fact and can only be dealing with the results of what has
21	Does it mean that I would want that	21	happened and a forecast that could be that has a
22	airplane flying over my house at 1:00 o'clock in the	22	reasonable assumption of coming to fruition, and there's
23	morning? No, I understand that that could be an	23	no way that the Airport Authority being active out there,
24	annoyance. I understand that. But it is the aircraft	24	wanting to have a marketing program, is anything that
25	fleet of today, whether it be commercial air carrier or	25	anybody could bank on that this is going to turn into a
	Page 34	ļ	Page 36
1	general aviation, it's comparatively and measurably	1	flight that is going to be something that we should build
2	quieter today than in years past, and the result of that	2	into our program.
3	have been contours that have dramatically reduced.	3	We have to keep our name out there, or
4	Now, it's also alleged that reduction in	4	we're going to find ourselves becoming the next
5	that contour and that there's a decline in the number of	5	Palmdale Airport. So we have to be protective and forward
6	aircraft operations, both with our commercial air	6	looking with our own business. But there is no way that a
7	carriers. When we were in 2008, when our calendar year	7	marketing there's no way a marketing strategy can find
8	finished I think 2008 was the year 2007 when we just	8	its way into a current forecast.
9	missed 6 million passengers, we were around 100 flights	9	Now, what has changed is that FAA has
10	per day with commercial air carriers. We are at around 80	10	required airports to do more frequent Part 150 Studies,
11		11	and as I said, this is our third Part 150 Study. What has
12	now, and that includes seaport airlines, which is flying a	12	
12	small single-engine Cessna. So our true jet airplanes	13	prevented us from doing ones earlier, after FAA made that
	scheduled operations is high 60's, low 70's as far as a		change, was that we had an ongoing Part 161 Study, and you
14	daily schedule of jet operations. You can see that	14	can't have a Part 161 Study going on at the same time as
15	comparatively even the air carriers, there's been a	15	you have consultants doing a Part 150 Study.
16	reduction in the number of flights.	16	So what you'll see in the future, you'll
17	General aviation is also down. I don't	17	see a more periodic basis airport coming back and updating
18	have the numbers memorized the same way I do have the	18	studies like this, and if there are changes in the
19	others, but general aviation has seen a decline. There	19	aircraft operations at the airport, that will get picked
20	has been a migration over the years. As more operators	20	up in a subsequent update or subsequent Part 150 Study
21	have come on to the air field and invested in newer	21	that will be done on a more regular basis in the future
22	facilities, that has had a trickle-down effect where some	22	than what you've seen in the past.
23	of the aircraft operators that still own small aircraft	23	Voluntary curfew ineffective. You know,
24	have found that there is not as much space to be able to	24	it's ineffective for what you hope to gain from it. The
25	lease tie-down space for smaller aircraft.	25	voluntary curfew was an arrangement that was
		1	Page 37

MARCH 30, 2015

	Page 39		Page 41
-	The cool life in you could speak up.	25	can get that you can get that done in 45 total. So you
25	MR. COOPER: If you could speak up.	24	If you can do it from both ends of the airplane, if you
24	did happen and a reasonable assumption	23	an hour each way to get the plane unloaded and reloaded.
23	a bit of a mathematic exercise in that it deals with what	22	are probably low. Let's call it 30 minutes both ways. So
22	stepped out of the room. Unfortunately, the contours are	21	minutes to get them back on the plane. I think my numbers
21	shrinking contours. I tried to address that. I think you	20	to get everybody off the airplane and it takes another 20
20	MR. HARDYMENT: Mr. Nolan, you addressed the	19	Let's say that exercise takes 20 minutes
19	agreed to help people out with. MR. McKAY: Thanks.	18	only, takes X amount of time.
18	have a long laundry list of things that I voluntarily	17	passengers and doing it all from the front of the airplane
10	MR. HARDYMENT: I need to keep going here. I	16	turning around and boarding a new flight for the
15	MR. McKAY: I'm keeping track. We'll see.	15	when it comes in and is deplaning and then subsequently
14 15	might qualify as something that	14	the airplane is on the ground currently at Sacramento,
13	happening in Burbank. What you're describing technically	13	drive at when he says that is that the amount of time that
12	offering a flight and trying to call it a charter	12	MR. HARDYMENT: I think what he's trying to
11	MR. HARDYMENT: But I don't know of somebody	11	What does that mean?
10	MR. McKAY: This is a scheduled flight now.	10	up 105 flying days over the course of a typical year.
9	carriers pick up a charter and so	9	It says allows airlines to make up make
8	happening. I know that you will see some of the main	8	answered that.
7	be yes, they could do that. I'm not aware of that	7	that caught my eye was the 105 I don't think you
6	MR. HARDYMENT: Technically that would probably	6	MS. THOMAS: What I specifically wanted to know
5	and fly outside the curfew?	5	past.
4	airline to pre-sell a flight and it can become a charter	4	airports to do more frequently than they had in years
3	any truth to what I've said, or is it possible for an	3	Part 150 Study, which as I indicated, FAA is requiring the
2	Now, this is what I was told. Is there	2	overnight, that would ultimately get picked up in the next
1	charter flight. But it's because it's presold.	1	decision to come to this airport, which does not happen
	rage 30		rage 40
	Page 38		Page 40
25	and when we ask about it, it's not commercial. It's a	25	effort would ultimately lead to a carrier making a
24	flights at 6:30 on Saturday morning, a quarter to 7:00,	24	into a forecast for the future, but if that marketing
23	themselves charter and flying outside the curfew. We have	23	cannot take a marketing effort and factor that directly
22	a trend now towards commercial airlines considering	22	done any anything to help you with justifying why we
21	MR. McKAY: In the same interest though, there's	21	whether or not my explanations, at least in piece, have
20	Can't take any more question.	20	MR. HARDYMENT: Laverne Thomas, I don't know
19	not, no. That may be two different things.	19	MR. NOLAN: Thank you.
18	whether or not what they agreed to meets your needs or	18	forecast.
17	the air carriers are holding to what they agreed to. Now,	17	today's operation are significantly smaller than even our
16	voluntary agreement. What they agreed to, by and large,	16	Lankershim Boulevard. So it is significantly smaller
15	So I only have one violator of that	15	to Laurel Grove, and right now we're only hitting
14	7:00 o'clock or just a couple of minutes early.	14	model shows the 65 CNEL contour reaching all the way out
13	the end of runway take-off, they're taking off at	13	Victory Boulevard. And on the approach into Runway 8, our
12	records that my department keeps, by the time they get to	12	measured contour is several doors north of
11	gate push, and most of the time, if you take a look at the	11	going below Victory Boulevard. Right now the actual
10	there's a voluntary agreement not to do it, and it's a	10	right now shows the 65 CNEL contour south of the airport
9	scheduled a flight that is in that period of time, which	9	forecast is right now. The contour that's on the board
8	I have only one carrier right now that has	8	on at the airport today is even smaller than what that
7	arrangement.	7	can tell you the actual measured contour of what is going
6	before 7:00 a.m. and after 10:00 p.m. That's a voluntary	6	reduction of result of a reduction of the contour. I
5	carriers have agreed not to schedule flights between or	5	now, as far as what we can build into the forecast, is a
4	relationship. It is based upon schedule, and the air	4	And everything that we have built right
3	carriers. The air carriers have agreed to maintain that	3	reasonable expectation of what can happen.
2	into existence. It was between Lockheed and the air	2	exercise of trying to calculate what did happen and then a
1	reached actually even before the Airport Authority came	1	MR. HARDYMENT: It's a bit of a mathematical

MARCH 30, 2015

1	save 15 minutes on each flight. You multiply that over	¹ MS. GEE: It all evens out to a	a lower level.
2	however many flights are operating system-wide if they	² MR. HARDYMENT: Lower level	el, but if your scale
3	could load from both ends of the airplane and you add that	³ is different if your scale is for that	lower level,
4	savings up, that is the I'd have to read his article to	⁴ it's the same. I don't know that I ca	n explain it to you
5	figure out exactly how he's talking about it, but that's	⁵ in any simpler fashion unless we sit	there and have a
6	the savings he's talking about. He's comparing how long	⁶ one-on-one afterwards.	
7	it takes to deplane and then reboard, how long that takes	⁷ Is there anything that cor	nes to your mind,
8	and compare it to doing it when you can load and unload	⁸ Dave, as far as	
9	from both ends of the airplane.	⁹ MR. FITZ: You know, it may	be best in this case
10	MS. THOMAS: I understand that, but he,	 because it is a very complex conversion 	
11	obviously, got this information from someone that he spoke	¹ could spend a couple hours here talk	
12	with at the airport. I don't know who that is. But	² different noise metrics but simply	
13	anyway 105 days over. Does that mean the allows the	³ into this and make it a little easier to	
14	airlines to make up 105 flying days over the course of a	4 As Mark alluded to, the si	
15	typical year.	5 included, and because noise is meas	
16	Does that mean then that you could have	 makes it that much more complicate 	
17	105 flights more a day? Does it mean what does that	 Makes it that much more complicate MS. MECCA: I guess what sh 	•
18	equate to?	 ⁸ because it's high and these are all lo 	-
19	MS. MECCA: No.	 because it's high and these are all to it 	w, uses it make
20	MS. THOMAS: Well, I would appreciate if you		The poisier
21	check it out and just get back to me.		
22			
	MR. HARDYMENT: I'll try. We can talk	go apri suse by hacare of that loging	
23 24	afterwards.	louder events really do dominate and	
	MS. THOMAS: I'd like to have an answer.	4 example of that, when Mark mention	
25	MR. HARDYMENT: I'll get back to you.	⁵ monitoring system that he has out th	here now that operates
	Page 42		Page 44
1		1 24/7 1 1 1 1 1	
1	Mrs. Gee came back and talked about noise	¹ 24/7, when they calculate noise expos	
2 3	monitoring.	 those noise monitors, the integrated i it's a planning tool, and so those cont 	
	Specifically one of the concerns you		ours are actually
4 5	raised was the fact that noise is spread out over a	⁴ larger than what he's measuring.	
6	24-hour basis as opposed to measured on a single-event	⁵ That's usually what we fin	
7	basis.	6 planning tool. It's designed to be tha	
	There are different ways to measure noise.	⁷ a little extra cushion in terms of trying	
8 9	Single event is a metric. It is not the way noise is	⁸ noise, and those contours do change,	
	normally handled and measured. And to keep things	⁹ continue to change, and if that marke	5
10	consistent, the way noise is measured, under our Part 150	⁰ successful, like they hope it to be, the	,
11	Study, to keep everything consistent within FAA and with	¹ opportunity for this study to go back	and be revisited.
12	all other airports that are being studied, it is on a	² They have thresholds that	, if they exceed
13	time-wave basis on a 24-hour schedule. And that	³ a threshold of 15 percent and that ac	tivity increases
14	ear-breaker airplane that you cited did go off and did go	⁴ above that, then they really do need	to come back and
15	in at more weight than the airplane that went off behind	⁵ revisit those noise contours, which ch	anges the program
16	it that wasn't quite as loud. It all works its way into	⁶ and can be revisited, and then those	boundaries can be
17	the noise bucket the same or in a fair fashion.	⁷ expanded if that happens.	
18	MS. GEE: That's what's wrong with it.	8 It works the same way in	reverse though.
19	MR. HARDYMENT: No. In a fair fashion. The	⁹ If activity continues to go down, aircr	aft get quieter,
20	ear-breaker airplane is getting recorded at an ear-breaker	⁰ that boundary would shrink. It works	
21	level. It's just that, when you see it spread over 24	¹ So it's designed and aga	
22	hours, you say that's not fair. That is certainly more	2 program that's voluntary, and the Air	
23	excruciated when I heard it go over. But if everything is	 very hard to maintain that and have I 	
24	recorded over a 24-hour basis, it's spread over a 24-hour	 ⁴ back and continually look at this. 	
25	basis, it all evens out.	⁵ Now, there was a time, as	Mark mentioned.
I			a. it mendonedy
	Page 43		Page 45

Page 43

MARCH 30, 2015

1	that 161 took some time. So they didn't get back to this	1	sooner.
2	program quite as soon as they had hoped to. But the	2	MS. THOMAS: 80 flights a day, 365 days, you're
3	advantage to that is they were using much bigger contours	3	talking about almost 30,000 flights a year. So you would
4	for a longer period of time and had a much bigger	4	already be into that
5	eligibility area and worked with that for a longer period	5	MR. FITZ: I'm looking at total operations when
6	of time before FAA finally said it's time. Activity is	6	I said 20. If we're talking about 80 flights, 15 percent
7	down. Aircraft are quieter. You need to revisit this.	7	of that, it's a much smaller number.
8	So it's time.	8	MS. MECCA: I think they've been kind to answer
9	There was a couple questions now, this	9	the questions so far. I just want to say thank you very
10	is a specific noise study. It does not look at those	10	much. I don't think it's fair of us to continue asking
11	other issues that Ms. Gee mentioned. It does not look at	11	for specifics on something. So that's my opinion.
12	water quality. It does not look at air quality. It is	12	MR. FITZ: All right. I think we've been
13	not set out to do that.	13	through just about everybody's questions. Again we'll be
14	Are those issues not important? That's	14	here for awhile to answer anybody's one-on-one questions
15	not true. Those issues are every bit as important as	15	in the back. It's much easier for us to do that.
16	noise. It's just that this study is focused on noises	16	This isn't your only opportunity to
17	just purposely. That's what it is. Again there's no	17	comment. We have comment sheets that are in the back. We
18	demeaning those other issues, but this is just a noise	18	have a table over here with some pens. Please take some
19	study. And I say that "just," but that's what its focus	19	time. If you have more comments, fill those out. They
20	is, its only focus.	20	will be treated just like the official record. So you
21	MR. NOLAN: How many flights would it take for	21	don't have if you think of something on the way home,
22	that 15 percent you're talking about?	22	please take the time to fill those out. We really do
23	MR. FITZ: Well	23	value having those comments and will respond to those as
24		24	part of the overall response to comments.
25	MR. NOLAN: We didn't qualify it. MR. FITZ: I understand. Off the top of my	25	Again we'll be back there at the end. I
	Page 46		Page 48
1	head, that's a hard question.	1	think we should turn it back over to our hearing moderator
2	MS. NELLON: The number 15 you accept, and you	2	and see if there's anybody else that wants to come up and
3	gave us	3	speak on the record.
4	MR. FITZ: That's a threshold, yes.	4	MR. COOPER: Thank you. I don't think we have
5	MR. NOLAN: The question is how many flights	5	any other speaker cards. If not, thank you all for
6	MR. FITZ: Let me open up my calculator, and	6	coming. Representatives from the airport from will be in
7	I'll give you a number.	7	the back of the room to hear the questions individually.
8	This is a very, very, very ballpark	8	Again feel free to fill out the comment cards. Either
9	number. That would probably be right around 20,000	9	leave them with us here at the table where you signed in,
10	operations at the numbers that they're seeing today.	10	or you can mail them in at a later time if you want to
11	MR. HARDYMENT: And operation being a landing or	11	take a sheet, fill it out at a later time.
12	a takeoff.	12	Thank you again.
13	MR. FITZ: Again it also varies depending on the	13	MR. NOLAN: Thank you.
14	type of operation; so if commercial air carrier increase	14	MS. MECCA: Thank you.
15	significantly or their noise monitoring system is picking	15	(ENDING TIME: 7:45 p.m.)
16	up something significant, there's no reason they have to	16	· · ·
17	stick with the 15 percent. They can always go back and	17	
18	revisit those contours. But that's one of those	18	
19	thresholds that they want to that it's an automatic	19	
20	kind to look at.	20	
21	MS. THOMAS: Did you say that would happen every	21	
22	two years?	22	
23	MR. FITZ: Right now what we suggest is usually	23	
	between five and ten years that the program gets	24	
24		1	
24 25	revisited, and that's just a but it could happen	25	

MARCH 30, 2015

Page 1

Α	airport's 17:5 28:11,14	avigation 11:6,11	5:16 16:10 17:5 21:25	comment 5:24 6:12 14:8
a.m 22:16 38:6	32:11 33:5	avoid 23:1	22:7 24:8,11 25:5,22	14:9,19 19:21 30:18,22
A901AC6 1:25	airports 37:10 41:4 43:12	aware 39:7	26:4 27:24 28:25 29:10	36:8 48:17,17 49:8
abatement 9:8,9,13	alleged 35:4	awhile 9:21 28:4 48:14	31:9 39:13	comments 5:20,22 6:20
able 5:10,21,23 9:12,24	allow 19:11		Burbank's 32:13	8:19 12:22 15:25 16:1,4
20:5 21:4,12 22:22	allowed 30:22	B	Burbank-Glendale-Pas	16:7,18,20 18:6 20:19
25:17 30:5 35:24	allows 28:25 41:9 42:13	B6 26:12	15:3 16:9	29:22,24,25 30:1,2,5
accept 47:2	alluded 44:14	baby 19:17,19 22:8,10,14	business 16:5 37:6	33:3,23 36:9 48:19,23
accepted 8:21 16:5	alphabet 16:24	back 8:6 9:21 11:17 14:9		48:24
acknowledge 36:11	alphabetical 16:14,15	14:18 17:7 18:4,4,7	C	commercial 34:25 35:6
Acoustical 15:15	amendments 12:14,15	22:6 24:14,19 25:13	C 3:1	35:10 38:22,25 47:14
active 23:20 36:23	American 24:18	26:13 27:20 34:6 36:9	calculate 40:2 45:1	commissioner 5:15,15
activity 45:13,19 46:6	amount 41:13,18	37:17 41:21 42:21,25	calculator 47:6	17:2
actual 40:7,11	announced 26:10,20	43:1 45:11,14,24 46:1	calendar 35:7	commissioners 5:14
ad 33:25	annoyance 34:24	47:17 48:15,17,25 49:1	California 1:14,21 2:15	committee 8:3,17
Adams 4:17 5:15	answer 8:8 17:8,12 18:10	49:7	3:5 5:2 16:10	Communications 3:3
add 11:2,15 42:3	18:10,11 21:4,11,17	backyard 19:16,18	call 14:19 25:14 27:23	6:18
added 11:4	23:3,5 29:16 30:24	ballpark 47:8	33:9 39:12 41:22	communities 12:10
adding 12:2	33:25 42:24 48:8,14	bank 36:25	called 27:13	community 6:4 20:16
addition 15:16 44:22	answered 31:1 41:8	bargain 19:11	cancer 31:19,20	23:7,11
additional 16:4,6,11	answering 21:11 23:1	based 8:18 10:10 15:5,13	card 14:19,24 16:22	community's 22:19
address 14:11 16:6 17:13	answers 17:21 23:4	38:4 45:1	cards 16:12 21:8 49:5,8	comparatively 34:13,17
18:8 20:9 33:10 39:21	anybody 25:24 36:25	basically 17:4	carefully 27:1	35:1,15
addressed 32:14 39:20	49:2 anybody's 48:14	basis 26:5 37:17,21 43:5	carrier 34:25 38:8 40:25	compare 8:15 20:16 42:8 comparing 42:6
adjust 10:19,23		43:6,13,24,25	47:14	
adjusted 11:19,24	anymore 23:14 24:19 32:9	bear 34:1	carriers 35:7,10,15 38:3	comparison 34:20 compatibility 1:5 2:6 7:8
adjustments 9:7	anyway 17:4 28:5 42:13	becoming 37:4	38:3,5,17 39:9	7:11 8:24 12:11 15:2,5
admits 25:2	apples-to-apples 34:20	beef 34:15	Carvel 28:23	15:23 16:3
advantage 11:9 27:21,24	applicable 15:19	beginning 20:21 27:2,23	case 44:9	compatible 9:14
46:3	appreciate 20:1 21:16	32:17	cases 9:3 27:16 31:19	compensated 20:22
advantages 28:9	42:20	believe 17:3 26:4,18,22 26:25 27:14 36:10	caught 28:23 41:7 cause 32:12	competing 33:15
advised 26:15	appreciated 16:17,21	benefited 20:2	caused 31:17,20	complete 9:17 10:4 16:2
advisory 8:3,17	approach 40:13	best 25:24 44:9	cemetery 20:4	completed 6:6
afford 20:5	appropriate 17:19	better 10:24 14:2	certainly 32:7,14 43:22	complex 44:10
ago 26:16 28:1 34:18	approval 7:17	big 9:21 10:22 20:21	Cessna 35:12	complicated 44:16
36:5 agree 18:0	APR 3:3	24:17	cetera 28:7	comprehensive 28:9
agree 18:9 agreed 38:3,5,16,17,18	April 14:12 16:6	bigger 46:3,4	CFR 7:24	concern 32:25
39:18	area 6:7,10 11:12 13:8	bit 34:1 39:23 40:1 46:15	Chad 29:8	concerns 43:3
agreement 38:10,16	22:3,4 25:1 28:18 46:5	block 31:20 32:19,19	chair 5:5	conclude 33:13
AIP 13:21	areas 9:14	board 40:9	chance 5:6	Conference 2:14
air 27:22 31:16 34:25	argument 22:19	boarding 28:24 29:3	change 11:16 24:23 28:2	confidence 25:19,21 26:6
35:6,10,15,21 38:2,3,4	arguments 19:7	41:16	29:12 37:13 45:8,9	confused 24:23
38:17 46:12 47:14	arrangement 29:3 37:25	boards 8:6 34:6	changed 9:6 37:9	Congress 9:21
aircraft 9:12,22,24 15:11	38:7	Bob 1:5 2:6 5:9 15:2,5,8	changes 12:12 37:18	considering 38:22
15:12 24:15 27:15	article 42:4	25:2 27:21 28:2	45:15	consistent 43:10,11
28:25 34:11,16,24 35:6	asking 48:10	born 22:9	charter 38:23 39:1,4,9,12	consultants 37:15
35:23,23,25 36:2,4	asks 17:16	bottom 14:12	check 7:20 42:21	contiguous 9:25
37:19 45:19 46:7	Associates 6:15 14:11,15	Boulevard 1:21 3:4 40:11	chicken 23:2	continually 45:24
Airfield 27:20	assume 25:17	40:13,16	cited 43:14	continue 10:9 18:18
airline 25:6 27:22 39:4	assumption 36:22 39:24	boundaries 10:24 11:23	City 5:16 19:10 31:9	27:21 45:9 48:10
airlines 24:18 28:10 29:1	assumptions 7:21 27:1	23:21 25:8,11 45:16	claims 24:24	continued 9:2
34:12 35:11 38:22 41:9	assurance 10:12 11:7	boundary 11:5,16,18,19	clarify 29:23	continues 45:19
42:14	assurances 11:8	15:16,17 45:20	clean 32:13	continuing 13:12
airplane 34:22 41:14,17	assure 25:23	Brand 1:21	clear 32:13	contour 7:22 9:6 22:4
41:20,24 42:3,9 43:14	asthma 31:19 ATKINSON-BAKER 1:20	breaker 32:23	close 16:5	24:13 28:16,18 29:11 29:12 35:5 40:6,7,9,10
43:15,20		breathing 31:19	closer 11:17 31:21	40:12,14 44:21
airplanes 35:12	attendance 5:17 attention 5:13 19:11	Brett 4:16 5:16	clothes 19:16,17	contours 8:5,6,11 10:11
airport 1:5 2:6 5:9,14	attenuation 26:2	briefly 7:10	CNEL 17:9 34:10 40:10	10:14,18,21,25 11:20
7:19 8:19 10:19 12:8	audience 5:14 16:17	bring 20:18 28:15,15	40:14	15:8,9,11,14 17:6 22:1
13:4,16 15:2,3,4,5,8,13	Authorities 45:22	bringing 23:9 brought 20:5	code 7:24 11:13 12:15 15:6	23:8 27:13 34:4,7,9,10
15:14,17,23 16:9 17:2,3	authority 8:19 10:19 12:9		Coffman 6:15 14:11,15	34:11 35:3 36:13,16,18
19:2,3,8,9,12,13 24:16	13:16 15:4 16:9 24:21	Brown 4:15 5:15 bubble 25:13	color 11:22	39:21,22 45:1,3,8,15
24:21,24 25:2,5,24 26:1	26:10 30:17 36:23 38:1	bubble 25:13 bucket 43:17	come 5:10,23 18:4 20:10	46:3 47:18
26:4,9,15 27:14,21 28:2	Authority's 5:25 6:1	Buena 2:13	24:21 27:3 35:21 41:1	control 6:23
29:4 30:17 31:18 32:2,4 32:20 33:9 34:16 36:3	automatic 47:19	bug 33:15	45:14,23 49:2	conversation 44:10
36:23 37:5,17,19 38:1	available 13:22 21:18	build 37:1 40:5	comes 41:15 44:7	Cooper 3:3,3 6:18,18,25
40:8,10 41:1 42:12	30:3,9,10	building 12:15	coming 7:5 36:12,22	13:25 14:3 16:25 17:13
45:22 49:6	aviation 7:16 13:21 34:13	built 40:4	37:17 49:6	17:25 18:18 20:18,25
	35:1,17,19 36:2	Burbank 1:14 2:14,14 5:2	commencing 2:15	21:19,23 24:1,6 27:5
	l	I ,	I J	1

				Page 2
29:17,21 30:8 33:5,10 33:13,20,22 39:25 49:4 copy 31:9	disengaged 23:10 display 8:6 dispusified 20:0	everybody 12:23 41:20 everybody's 48:13	fits 24:12 Fitz 4:14 6:14,21 7:2	GIS 13:3,6 give 5:5 15:20 21:21 47:7
corporate 36:3	disqualified 20:9 disseminating 23:6	everyone's 33:3 exactly 42:5	12:20 18:3,12 29:23 30:9,13,16 31:8 33:12	given 21:2 gives 45:6
correct 18:1	distinguished 5:13	example 28:23 44:24	44:9,20 46:23,25 47:4,6	Glendale 1:21 23:11
CORRECTED 1:5 2:6	divided 32:24	exceed 45:12	47:13,23 48:5,12	go 14:24 22:6 24:22
cost 13:15 Councilman 5:16	document 30:1,10 31:12 documentation 16:2	exception 24:10	five 12:8 13:14 24:11 47:24	28:22 30:17 31:5 32:23
country 24:23	Doganoff 19:3	excluded 20:3 excrement 23:2	five-year 7:21	33:18 43:14,14,23 44:21,22 45:11,19
couple 9:18 13:10 27:25	doing 11:22 37:12,15	excruciated 43:23	fleet 34:17,25 36:2	47:17
28:1 38:14 44:11 46:9	41:17 42:8	exercise 39:23 40:2	flier 36:5,5	going 6:8,20 7:9,10 9:15
course 29:2,9 41:10	dominate 44:23	41:19	flies 28:11	9:16 10:15,17,23 11:10
42:14 COURT 1:20	Don 4:15 5:15 doors 28:25 40:12	existence 38:2 existing 25:13	flight 7:18 32:5 37:1 38:9 39:1,4,10,12 41:16 42:1	11:11 12:7,8,17 13:10 19:23 20:15 21:4,21
covering 33:17	doubled 33:18	expand 36:13	flights 19:5 25:7 27:17,17	22:21 23:16,20,22
create 44:21	dramatic 25:7	expanded 10:10 13:7,10	28:15,16,21 29:12 35:9	24:18,20 25:14,17
criteria 11:13	dramatically 34:8 35:3	45:17	35:16 38:5,24 42:2,17	27:25 28:4,15 30:24
CSR 1:24 2:16 curfew 9:20 10:2,5 22:11	draw 5:12 drawn 17:7	expanding 13:3,3 expansion 10:17	46:21 47:5 48:2,3,6 Floor 1:21	32:4 33:24 36:13,25 37:1,4,14 39:16 40:7,11
22:12,20 23:18 26:8	drive 41:13	expectation 40:3	fly 9:24 24:15 31:17 36:4	good 5:4,7 14:23 20:3
37:23,25 38:23 39:5	drop 9:16	experimenting 29:3	36:7 39:5	27:7
current 11:18 37:8	dropped 9:3 10:15 11:8	explain 44:4,16	flying 22:15 29:1,8 34:22	graphic 7:13 11:17
currently 10:8 41:14	12:8,18 13:11,12 20:13	explaining 14:25	35:11 38:23 41:10	great 28:6
cushion 45:7 customer 28:6	32:16 dropping 9:4	explanations 40:21 exposure 6:7 7:8,14 8:4	42:14 focus 46:19,20	green 11:22 grew 22:25
	due 19:4 27:15	8:10 9:6 10:24 11:20	focused 46:16	ground 32:13,15 41:14
D	dwellings 11:4	15:7,9,10,13 22:1 23:8	folks 5:21 17:5	group 21:3,6
D 4:1		45:1	follow 23:20 25:17	Grove 40:15
daily 35:14	E	extend 34:4 extra 45:7	followed 6:16 following 17:23 18:24	grow 22:1 guess 20:13 44:17
damage 20:23 Dave 4:14 6:14,21,22	E 3:1,1 4:1 ear 32:23	eye 28:23 41:7	forecast 7:16,22 8:2	guests 5:13
13:25 17:25 18:1 33:10	ear-breaker 43:14,20,20		36:21 37:8 40:5,9,18,24	guidelines 15:6 18:2
44:8	earlier 37:12	F	forgot 17:8,11	
day 23:13 35:10 42:17	early 38:14	FAA 7:17 8:20,21 10:4,20	form 14:8,9,12 formal 14:21	H
48:2 days 19:17 29:1,8 41:10	easier 44:13 48:15 east 25:14	14:15,22 15:14 18:2 37:9,12 41:3 43:11 46:6	formulated 6:17	hand 14:4 handled 43:9
42:13,14 48:2	easterly 26:17	facilities 35:22	forth 32:6	hang 26:3
deadline 14:12	eat 21:12	fact 20:12 22:18 25:1	forum 15:24	hanging 19:16
deal 22:18 23:12,12	economy 19:4,6 25:9	36:11,20 43:4	forward 9:16 12:21 27:12 36:17 37:5	happen 39:24 40:2,3 41:1
dealing 36:19,20 deals 39:23	effect 35:22 effects 6:4,9	factor 40:23 fair 20:20 21:14 43:17,19	forwarded 9:1	47:21,25 happened 36:21
death 31:20	effort 6:15 7:9,15 8:2,25	43:22 48:10	found 10:3 27:12 35:24	happening 39:8,13
debate 15:24	21:4 25:4 27:23 40:23	fairness 21:6	four 10:7 28:10	happens 45:17
decision 41:1	40:25 45:9	fall 11:12	free 14:13 49:8	happy 18:8 34:5
declarative 30:20 decline 21:21 35:5,19	efforts 28:12,14 36:18 either 12:12 49:8	familiar 19:14 family 22:10 23:18	frequent 37:10 frequently 41:4	hard 45:23 47:1 Hardyment 4:13 5:4,7
deficiencies 11:13	elected 15:17	far 10:20 25:20 34:4	friend 20:4	16:7 21:1,17 31:11
degree 20:6	element 12:6,25	35:13 40:5 44:8 48:9	friends 31:21	33:24 34:10 39:6,11,16
deliberate 17:23	elephant 24:17	fashion 43:17,19 44:5	front 28:25 41:17	39:20 40:1,20 41:12
demeaning 46:18 demonstrate 34:6	eligibility 11:12 15:16,17 46:5	faulty 26:6 favor 9:21 15:22	fruition 36:22 fuel 36:1,6	42:22,25 43:19 44:2 47:11
denies 18:21	eligible 12:4 13:20	federal 7:24 13:20 15:7	Fund 13:21	hazard 32:25
depart 9:13	Encino 3:5	feel 14:13 23:9 49:8	funding 13:20	head 19:23 47:1
department 38:12	encouraging 36:16	feels 19:21	future 37:16,21 40:24	heads 25:16
depending 47:13	ends 41:24 42:3,9	feet 22:4	G	health 31:22
deplane 42:7 deplaning 41:15	enforce 22:11 enforceable 26:8	fellow 29:7 field 27:22 35:21	gain 37:24	hear 16:17 18:10,23 21:7 23:4 32:9 36:11 44:13
describe 22:2	enforced 22:20	fighting 22:20 26:2	Gary 4:16 5:16	49:7
describing 39:13	enforcement 22:12 23:17	figure 42:5	gate 38:11	heard 19:21 43:23
designed 45:6,21 develop 7:23 12:10	enunciated 27:1 Environmental 5:8 16:8	FILE 1:25 filing 14:14	Gee 16:22,24 17:1,1,19 18:19 20:20 21:21	hearing 1:4 2:5,13 5:21
developed 8:4 12:9 15:9	equate 42:18	fill 14:8,18,23 48:19,22	22:24 23:5 31:1,5,13	6:19 15:1,20,24 16:1 17:20,21,24 18:17,21
developing 28:8	equipment 13:9	49:8,11	33:7,15,21 34:9 43:1,18	18:21,22 19:24 20:8
different 38:19 43:7 44:3	established 6:6 13:6	final 16:2	44:1 46:11	30:14,17,23 31:24 32:1
44:12 direction 9:14	estimated 28:24 et 28:7	finally 26:13 46:6 find 5:5 25:12 37:4,7 45:5	Gee's 21:1 30:5 34:3 Geer 1:24 2:16	49:1 help 12:11 22:12 23:22
directly 21:5 25:22 40:23	evening 5:4,7,11,18 14:6	finger 24:4,6	general 12:14 34:12 35:1	26:2 39:18 40:22
Director 5:8 16:7	14:17 27:7,9	finish 31:6	35:17,19 36:2	helped 22:21
disappointed 36:10	evens 43:25 44:1	finished 12:24 35:8	generate 7:21 17:22	helpful 20:17
discouraging 27:11 discussion 32:6	event 43:8	first 5:14 6:5,14 16:22,24	getting 19:10 20:11 26:17 43:20	helpless 23:10
uiscussiUII 32.0	events 44:14,21,23	19:8 21:7 24:9 34:3	70.20	high 35:13 44:18

high-end 36:3 highlight 27:23 history 19:13,23 hitting 40:15 hobby 36:7 hoc 33:25 hold 12:22 24:5 holding 38:17 Hollywood 16:10 17:10 25:5,14 home 20:5,11,15 22:7 26:3 48:21 homeowners 34:14 homes 11:12,21 20:13,14 25:25 hope 1:5 2:6 5:9 15:2,5,8 25:2 26:21 27:21 28:2 37:24 45:10 hoped 46:2 hopeful 36:12 hoping 22:1 hour 41:23 hours 16:5 43:22 44:11 house 22:9 23:13 32:23 34:22 huh 16:24 hundred 12:4

idea 25:25 imagine 19:18 impact 13:8 25:7 26:19 impacted 25:22 implementation 10:4 implemented 9:10,15 10:16 12:13,16 15:19 implementing 12:17 important 27:9 46:14,15 importantly 27:16 improvements 9:11 20:1 inadequate 32:17.21 inception 6:2 included 10:11 13:2 14:14 16:2 44:15 includes 35:11 incorporate 36:18 incorporating 12:14 increase 27:22 29:11 47:14 increases 45:13 indicated 41:3 individually 17:21 30:4 49:7 individuals 6:14 ineffective 37:23.24 influenced 24:25 information 8:5,7,9,15,18 23:6 26:13 32:2 42:11 inside 11.4 insignificant 23:10 instances 28:20 instill 25:21 insulated 10:13 11:14.21 20:11 insulation 10:8.20 11:23 12:5 13:7,17,19 20:1,6

insult 26:2

intent 29:24

integrated 45:2

intended 15:20

interest 12:17,19,20,21

25:24 38:21 interested 17:6 29:15 interesting 27:12 International 29:4 inventory 8:1 invested 35:21 involved 7:15 24:14 issues 15:24 17:3 22:19 23:12,13 46:11,14,15 46:18 J jet 35:12,14 justifying 40:22 Κ Karen 1:24 2:16 keep 11:13 22:20 37:3 39:16 43:9,11 keeping 39:15 keeps 38:12 kind 8:13 9:25 33:24,25 47:20 48:8 know 18:14 20:14 21:9 23:19 27:15,25 28:3 29:13.14 32:11.19 33:8 33:9 36:15 37:23 39:8 39:11 40:20 41:6 42:12 44:4,9 knowing 29:15 known 19:13 knows 17:15 L lack 12:16,19,20 17:23 25:19 lady 26:14 land 12:6 landing 47:11 Lankershim 40:16 large 38:16 larger 10:10 45:4 launched 8:23 laundry 39:17 Laurel 40:15 Laverne 27:6 40:20 lead 6:13 40:25 Leaders 27:20 lease 35:25 leave 14:9 49:9 led 6:15 legitimately 34:15 let's 9:8 41:19.22 level 43:21 44:1,2,3 levels 44:21 Library 2:14 life 11:9 line 11:19 19:17 lion's 13:17 list 21:10.20 39:17 listen 23:24 little 25:13,15 34:1 44:13 45:7 live 21:25 22:3.3 26:3 lived 19:1 32:10 lives 20:4 living 20:7,22 load 42:3,8 location 32:3 Lockheed 19:8 38:2

loarhythmic 44:22 logrythmically 44:15 long 32:10 39:17 42:6,7 longer 9:24 15:19 26:16 46:4,5 look 6:8 8:13,14 11:18 23:17 25:12 32:25 36:17 38:11 45:24 46:10,11,12 47:20 looked 9:1 looking 19:19 29:11 36:17 37:6 48:5 looks 8:25 17:23 23:16 27:20 losing 19:10 lot 11:21 12:10,12 loud 7:3 14:1,3 22:16 43:16 louder 7:1 14:4 22:8,8 44:23 low 35:13 41:22 44:18 lower 44:1,2,3 lung 31:21 М **M** 3:3 mail 14:13 49:10 main 39:8 maintain 12:11 38:3 45:23 major 20:8 making 30:21 32:24 36:2 40.25MALE 12:19 18:14 21:15 30:14 management 12:25 mandated 9:21 map 7:8 15:10 25:13 maps 6:7 7:14 March 1:15 2:16 Margie 16:22,23 17:1 18:14 21:21 Mark 4:13 5:7 7:6.7.15 8:21 16:7 29:22 33:22 44:14,24 45:25 marketing 36:18,24 37:7 37:7 40:23,24 45:9 MARTIN 3:3 Marty 6:17 7:3 13:24 match 10:24 mathematic 39:23 mathematical 40:1 matter 18:25 27:10 31:24 McKAY 6:23 7:1 14:2 18:17 30:20 38:21 39:10,15,19 mean 25:15 29:6,9,10,10 32:5 33:3 34:14,21 41:11 42:13,16,17 44:10 meant 26:22 measurably 34:17 35:1 measure 9:16 10:15 11:8 11:10 12:7 43:7 measured 34:18 40:7.12 43:5.9.10 44:15 measurement 13:9 measures 8:25 9:9,15,18 10:5,7,13 11:1 12:7,8 12:11,12,17 13:2,10,11 15:18

measuring 45:4 Mecca 18:9 21:14,16,19 21:20 30:24 31:4 42:19 44:17 48:8 49:14 meet 11:12 meeting 1:13 4:3 8:16 26:15 30:19 meetings 28:10 meets 38:18 member 23:10 Members 4:18 memorized 35:18 mentioned 7:7,15 8:21 28:17 31:16 44:24 45:25 46:11 merger 24:20 met 8:2 method 24:4 methodology 34:19 metric 43:8 44:23 metrics 44:12 microphone 16:15 middle 32:19 migration 35:20 Mike 21:23,24 24:2,9 36:15 mile 19:1 million 10:20 13:16,18 35:9 mind 25:24 44:7 minute 12:23 minutes 16:20 20:19 21:21 24:4,12 27:8,10 31:2 33:18 38:14 41:19 41:21,22 42:1 missed 35:9 mitigate 6:3,9 mitigation 10:6,7,7,25 13:18 22:22 mix 12:3 mixed 26:18 model 40:14 45:2 modeling 7:21 moderator 6:18 49:1 moment 14:19 29:19 36:10 Monday 2:15 monies 13:22 monitor 32:18 monitoring 7:20 13:1,4 13:12 32:16 43:2 44:25 47:15 monitors 32:21 45:2 month 28:10 morning 34:23 38:24 move 27:12 moved 22:6 Moving 10:6 Moynaham 21:23,24 Moynahan 21:24 36:10 multi-family 11:3,3 12:2 multiply 42:1 Ν N 3:1 4:1 name 5:7 17:1 21:24 24:9 24:23 28:2 37:3

names 14:19

natural 32:13

NCP 15:18

nature 12:15 13:5 44:22

Page 3

necessary 11:9 need 9:6,11 10:21 18:10 21:6 28:2 39:16 45:14 46:7 needed 9:2 needs 38:18 neiahborhood 19:1 neighbors 31:18 **NELLON** 47:2 neutral 15:22 never 24:7 new 6:6 11:4 26:11 32:2.4 41:16 newer 35:21 newspaper 29:7 night 9:13 21:3 22:15,23 23:13,14,18,23 nights 22:9 nodding 25:16 noise 1:5 2:6 6:4,7,9 7:8 7:8,11,14,16,19 8:4,6 8:10,24 9:5,8,9,13 10:6 10:11,24 11:20 13:3,21 15:2,4,7,9,10,13,23 16:3 19:5 20:7 21:25 22:19 23:8,13 26:1 27:13 28:18 29:12 32:16,18,22,25 34:15 34:20 43:1,4,7,8,10,17 44:12,15,21,24 45:1,2,2 45:8,15 46:10,16,18 47:15 noises 46:16 noisier 44:20 Nolan 24:2,3,7,9 36:15 39:20 40:19 46:21.24 47:5 49:13 normal 16:5 normally 43:9 north 1:21 2:13 40:12 northwest 26:12 note 27:13 noted 29:2 34:2 notice 17:8,11 notified 17:22 number 15:11 25:7 35:5 35:16 47:2,7,9 48:7 numbers 11:25 27:3 35:18 41:21 47:10 0 o'clock 34:22 38:14 **000--** 5:1 object 27:7 obviously 28:13 29:7 42:11 October 6:6 8:21 offer 21:2 offered 11:11 offering 23:3 39:12 official 48:20 officially 18:6 officials 27:21 28:24 oh 29:18 Okay 7:2 16:13 21:23 33:15 old 19:7 22:14 older 9:22 once 21:8 one-on-one 44:6 48:14 ones 37:12

ongoing 5:24 10:8 37:13 phonetic 19:3 purchase 10:12 11:7,8,11 reference 21:1 rule 24:10 open 12:23 47:6 pick 14:13 39:9 19:12 reflect 32:17 run-off 32:12 purchasing 20:12,14 operated 34:18 picked 29:8 37:19 41:2 reflects 11:20 running 17:3 operates 44:25 picking 47:15 purpose 9:10 14:25 18:5 register 5:22 runway 7:19 9:12 19:2 operating 42:2 regular 37:21 piece 13:1 40:21 purposely 46:17 38:13 40:13 operation 40:17 47:11,14 pinned 26:21 regulations 7:23,24 15:7 push 38:11 runways 32:12,15 operations 15:12 34:16 placed 33:5 rejected 10:4 pushing 12:21 35:6,13,14 37:19 47:10 . places 26:11 put 16:13 32:18 relate 29:7 S 48:5 plan 10:21 12:14 13:2 puts 20:21 relationship 38:4 **S** 3:1 11:19 operators 35:20,23 22:22 26:20 reloaded 41:23 **SAC** 8:3 opinion 48:11 plane 19:18,20,22 41:21 Q remains 30:20 Sacramento 29:4 41:14 opinions 26:18 41:23 remarks 24:11 quadrant 26:12 satisfactory 25:5 32:7 opportunities 30:18 planes 22:8,15,17 23:22 remember 12:2 Saturday 38:24 qualify 39:14 46:24 opportunity 5:21 6:11 31:17 34:13 remembered 17:7 quality 31:17 46:12,12 save 31:6 42:1 14:16 15:21 31:15 planning 45:3,6 remove 26:20 quarter 38:24 savings 42:4,6 45:11 48:16 plans 28:19 renting 22:7 question 17:9 18:10,11 saw 19:9 please 14:7,18 16:6,18 opposed 43:5 repair 20:6 saying 17:14,21 25:9 38:20 47:1.5 opposition 15:22 24:5 48:18.22 replace 20:15 26:20 questions 8:7,8 17:15,17 says 28:23 41:9,13 option 10:12 11:6,11,14 point 5:20 26:6 28:13 report 27:2 28:9 31:20 17:22 18:3,7,8,13 21:2 scale 44:2.3 oral 15:21 30:16 **REPORTED** 1:24 21:5,7,9,10,11,12,13,17 schedule 35:14 38:4,5 order 16:14.15 28:14 pollution 32:8,12 reporter 16:16 31:3,7 33:25 34:2,3 43:13 originally 25:6 poor 20:10 31:16 **REPORTERS** 1:20 scheduled 35:13 38:9 36:9 46:9 48:9,13,14 outreach 25:23 possible 32:3 39:3 **Representatives** 49:6 49:7 39:10 outside 21:25 22:4 25:1 pre-sell 39:4 represents 11:19 quieter 15:12 22:17 23:22 schedules 5:23 predict 45:7 38:23 39:5 required 32:1 37:10 27:15 28:19 34:17 35:2 schools 10:11,13,14 overall 48:24 prefer 14:10 requirement 8:12 screen 32:21 45.19 46.7 overlay 12:14 prepared 15:4 16:1 24:11 requirements 7:22 15:6 quite 25:5 43:16 46:2 seaport 35:11 overnight 41:2 preposterous 32:3 requiring 15:14 41:3 second 6:8 7:7 28:22 resident 21:25 presence 17:5 R Secondly 14:16 present 4:12 15:21 28:9 Residential 15:15 Ρ see 11:21.25 14:8 25:13 **R** 3:1 presentation 6:16 residents 29:10 **P** 3:1,1 raised 21:5 36:8 43:4 25:15,23 27:2 28:10 presented 15:25 26:5 residue 32:15 p.m 2:15 38:6 49:15 30:5 35:14 36:2 37:16 RATP 15:15,16 President 3:3 respect 34:14 page 4:3 28:22 37:17 39:8,15 43:21 Ray 4:17 5:15 respond 18:12,19 33:4 presold 39:1 Palm 25:3 49:2 reached 17:9 38:1 pretty 20:21 48:23 Palmdale 37:5 seeing 19:20,22 47:10 reaching 40:14 prevent 26:17 responded 29:25 30:2 paper 27:18 28:1 seen 35:19 37:22 read 21:13 25:18 27:18 prevented 37:12 31:24 32:7 send 14:11 parcels 12:3 28:1 42:4 previous 8:11,13 9:1 10:9 responding 18:22 29:24 part 1:5 2:6 5:24,25 6:2 sense 23:3 24:14 reading 14:21 response 17:17 18:22 7:24 8:12 9:19,23,25 11:7 sensor 23:1 real 7:3,10 32:18 previously 7:15 31:15 31:6 48:24 10:22 11:7 14:22 15:1,7 sent 30:3 really 8:25 13:1 22:12 price 20:14 36:1,6 responses 16:1 30:1,5 16:19 18:20 19:12.24 separate 26:11 26:4 28:23 44:20,23 primary 15:10 responsible 23:6 24:24 31:23 32:1,6 serve 15:12 45:14 48:22 principal 6:15 rest 9:24 16:16 37:10.11.13.14.15.20 service 27:22 28:6 rear 28:25 probably 39:6 41:22 47:9 restrict 16:18 41:3 43:10 48:24 set 13:21 46:13 reason 15:10 32:9 47:16 problem 32:11 result 34:11 35:2 40:6 participate 14:6 setting 23:1 reasonable 36:22 39:24 participated 24:15 problems 31:18,21,22 results 26:7 32:20 36:20 severe 31:22 32:11 40:3 process 6:13,19 7:9,13 retracted 34:11 particular 5:12 24:6 shake 23:14 reasons 9:3,5 28:18 12:13 30:20 reverse 45:18 particularly 27:14,17 share 13:17 reassure 25:23 program 1:5 2:6 6:2 7:9 review 15:18 partly 22:11 sharing 22:24 reboard 42:7 7:12 8:11,14,24 9:3,16 reviewed 8:11,20 Pasadena 23:11 sheet 49:11 rebranding 25:4 28:12,14 10:8,9,12 11:3,4,7,10 reviewing 27:20 passengers 19:22 28:24 sheets 48:17 receive 17:16 12:9,25 13:1,8,12,15,19 revise 15:14,18 35:9 41:17 shook 22:9.10 receiving 20:23 13:22 14:6 15:2,5,15,23 revised 8:18 9:2 12:7 patterns 32:5 reciprocal 30:22 short 5:19 25:9 28:5 16:3 20:2.12.17 36:24 15:23 16:3 pens 48:18 show 26:9 recognize 19:4 24:21 37:2 45:15,22 46:2 revising 9:5 15:16 people 16:14,16 17:20 showed 32:20 recommendation 13:13 47:24 revision 1:5 2:6 8:24 18:7,21,23 19:13 20:2,9 showing 32:21 recommended 10:9,10 programs 5:9 6:3,9,12 10:18 15:1,4 20:22 23:11,11 24:20 shows 7:14 40:10,14 12:7 revisions 7:11 16.8 24:22 25:1,21,25 26:2 shrink 22:5 26:25 45:20 record 14:22 15:25 18:6 proposed 6:9,12 revisit 45:15 46:7 47:18 shrinkage 24:13 27:8 28:3 29:15 31:18 18:25 26:9 31:24 48:20 proposition 19:10 revisited 7:18 45:11,16 32:10 33:8 39:18 shrinking 23:21 25:8 49.3 protective 37:5 47:25 percent 13:19,20,23 recorded 43:20.24 39.21 proud 26:3 right 7:2 12:1 13:15 21:22 45:13 46:22 47:17 48:6 shrunk 22:2 34:7,7 recording 26:23 public 1:4,13 2:5,13 4:3 22:7 24:18 38:8 40:4,9 period 32:23,24 38:9 46:4 shrunken 25:10 records 38:12 4:18 5:10,21 6:12,19 40:10,11,15 47:9,23 46:5 side 13:22 recreational 36:4,5 8:3 14:8,8,19 15:1,20 48.12 periodic 37:17 sided 25:9 rectangle 25:15 15:21,24 17:24 19:24 rigorous 9:19 permanent 7:19 13:3 sides 17:3 red 11:19 30:10,18 31:12 road 30:15 person 23:9,21 sign 5:6 reduce 29:4 publications 32:20 room 2:14 14:18 18:4 personally 31:19 signed 14:7 49:9 reduced 15:8,11,13 35:3 24:18 26:13 36:15 publicly 26:10 phase 6:5,8 7:8 8:23 significant 25:12 32:3 reduction 27:13,16,17 publishing 33:2 39:22 49:7 phase-out 9:20,22,23 28:18 35:4,16 40:6,6 47:16 pulled 30:2 Roseanne 21:19 10.5significantly 40:16,17

Page 4

Page 5

				Fage 5
	I	I	1	1
47:15	studios 17:9,10 34:5	48:8,10,12,21 49:1,4	typical 29:2,9 41:10	25:14 30:21 32:5 35:18
similar 29:3 34:20	study 5:24 6:1,2,5,16,17	thinking 44:17	42:15	36:6,7,19,23 37:6,7,8
simpler 44:5	7:25 8:2,16 9:1,19 10:3	third 1:21 5:25 37:11		40:14 41:23 43:8,10,16
simply 19:8 44:12	10:3 16:19 18:6 24:25	Thomas 18:16 27:6,7	U	45:6,18 48:21
single 43:8 44:14	26:5 31:25 32:1 37:11	29:18 30:7,12 31:9	UAD 9:10,11	ways 6:3 14:5 41:22 43:7
single-engine 35:12	37:13,14,15,20 41:3	40:20 41:6 42:10,20,24	ultimately 40:25 41:2	45:20
single-event 43:5	43:11 45:11 46:10,16	47:21 48:2		we'll 12:23 17:17 18:12
			Underground 32:8	
single-family 12:1	46:19	thought 12:13 19:10	understand 28:19 31:2	21:17 22:20 39:15
sir 27:14 31:10	studying 26:10	threat 32:4	34:23,24 42:10 46:25	48:13,25
sit 44:5	stuff 12:15	three 5:13,17 16:20 20:19	understood 30:23	we're 9:15 10:22,23,25
six 12:6 13:2 27:19	submitted 8:19,20	21:21 27:8,10 31:2	undertaken 6:1	11:22 12:1,2 13:12 21:3
sixty 12:4	submitting 7:17	33:18	undertakes 6:3	21:3 22:21 23:23 24:11
size 10:19 15:8,13 21:3	subsequent 37:20,20	three-minute 24:10	Unfortunately 36:13	24:22 25:22 26:17
sleep 22:23 23:18	subsequently 41:15	threshold 45:13 47:4	-	30:22 37:4 40:15 48:6
small 35:12,23	successful 8:13,14 20:13	thresholds 45:12 47:19	39:22	we've 11:2 18:7 48:12
-	45:10		UNIDENTIFIED 12:19	
smaller 10:18,22 11:20		tie 28:11,14	18:14 21:15 30:14	website 30:7,11,12 33:6,7
15:11 35:25 40:8,16,17	suffer 34:15	tie-down 35:25	United 9:25	weeks 27:19
48:7	suggest 47:23	time 5:10,23 14:23 17:9	units 12:1,3,4	weight 43:15
sold 19:9	Suite 3:4	21:2,12,18 23:24 24:3	unload 42:8	welcome 14:5 18:4
somebody 24:4 39:11	sure 19:21 21:6 25:2 31:8	24:22 28:6 33:19 34:3	unloaded 41:23	went 8:5 43:15
soon 46:2	33:3	37:14 38:9,11,12 41:13	unnecessary 18:20	weren't 12:16
sooner 48:1	system 7:20 13:3,4,6	41:18 45:25 46:1,4,6,6	unreasonable 18:20	willing 45:23
sorry 20:2	32:16 44:25 47:15	46:8 48:19,22 49:10,11		windows 22:10 23:16
sort 17:13	system-wide 42:2	49:15	unsatisfactory 18:16	wish 26:24.24
	System-wide 42.2		update 10:21 13:13 15:10	,
sound 10:7,13,20 11:14		time-wave 43:13	37:20	woke 22:10,10
11:21,23 12:5 13:7,17	T	times 29:5	updated 8:10,18 10:24	wonderful 32:21
13:18 20:1 22:22	table 14:7,9 48:18 49:9	Title 15:6	13:9 15:7	woo 27:22
south 19:2 20:4 40:10	tacked 25:16	today 5:14 17:8 18:21	updating 7:16 13:2 37:17	work 45:22
Southwest 29:2	take 5:20 6:8 9:13 14:10	34:12,13,25 35:2 36:3,6	urging 24:15	worked 12:9 46:5
space 35:24,25	24:9 38:11,20 40:23	40:8 47:10	use 7:19 12:6 16:15 34:12	working 26:15
speak 7:3 14:4,17,17	46:21 48:18,22 49:11	today's 34:17 40:17	34:13	works 43:16 45:18,20
16:14 27:9 31:15 39:25		told 27:11 39:2		workshop 5:11 8:4,17
49:3	take-off 38:13	tonight 5:16,20,21 6:11	usually 45:5 47:23	wouldn't 26:25 29:12
	take-offs 26:17 32:5		utilize 7:20	30:3
speaker 14:24 16:11 21:8	taken 2:13	6:13 17:18 23:25 25:20		
27:6 49:5	takeoff 47:12	31:16	V	write 29:16
speakers 21:9	takes 41:18,19,20 42:7,7	tonight's 32:6	valiantly 26:3	writing 18:12
specific 46:10	talk 9:4,7 18:5 28:5,8	tool 45:3,6	value 25:10 27:2 48:23	written 15:21,25 16:4,6
specifically 28:17,20	42:22 44:12	top 46:25	varies 47:13	17:16 34:1
29:6 41:6 43:3	talked 43:1	topic 16:19		wrong 43:18
specifics 48:11	talking 33:2 42:5,6 44:11	total 41:25 48:5	various 28:6	WWW.DEPO.COM 1:22
specify 24:7	46:22 48:3.6	touch 7:10 19:20	Ventura 3:4	
spend 44:11	, -	town 27:19	verified 26:19	x
	tape 26:22		versa 30:6	
spent 10:19 13:16	tax 9:10,11	track 13:7 39:15	vice 30:5	X 4:1 41:18
spirit 17:24 18:24	taxi 9:12 28:5	tracks 7:18	Victory 40:11,13	
spoke 42:11	technically 39:6,13	traffic 24:25	violator 38:15	Y
spokesperson 17:4	technology 34:19	transcript 25:18	virtue 12:12	year 9:23 19:15 26:16
spread 43:4,21,24	tell 19:7,15 27:10 40:7	Transportation 5:8 16:8		
Springs 25:3	telling 25:25	treated 48:20	visitor 5:5	29:2,9 35:7,8 41:10
staff 25:2 26:18 28:8	ten 13:14 34:18 47:24	Treatment 15:15	Vista 2:13	42:15 48:3
staffers 25:15	tenants 25:6	trend 38:22	volume 6:23 7:3 14:1	years 13:14 20:7 22:14
stage 9:22 24:15		trickle-down 35:22	voluntarily 39:17	28:1,1 34:18 35:2,20
standards 20:10 26:1	tends 36:3	tried 36:11 39:21	voluntary 22:11 37:23,25	36:5 41:4 47:22,24
	terminal 26:11,16,20		38:6,10,16 45:22	young 26:14
stands 7:24	terms 11:23 45:7	triple-paned 23:16		
start 9:8 14:21 21:11	terror 19:19	true 19:8 20:17 27:16	W	Z
Starting 7:13	testimony 15:22 17:16	35:12 46:15	wait 23:3	zone 12:14
starts 24:3	thank 5:9,13,17,22 7:5,6	Trust 13:21		20110 12.14
State 19:11	13:25 14:2 16:13 20:24	truth 39:3	wakes 22:15	l <u> </u>
stated 28:17	20:25 21:15 22:24 23:2	try 7:2 12:10 30:24 36:14	waking 22:16 23:23	0
statement 14:22,25		42:22	walk 7:9	
statements 30:21	23:24 24:1 27:4,5 29:17	trying 26:25 27:22 39:12	want 5:9,19,22 11:17	1
States 9:25	29:20,21 31:13 33:19	40:2 41:12 45:7	14:21,23 22:22 23:5,19	1:00 22:16 34:22
	33:20,21 40:19 48:9		29:14,22 31:5 33:10,22	
stay 23:20	49:4,5,12,13,14	turn 6:21,24 36:25 49:1	34:21 47:19 48:9 49:10	10 8:21
step 11:2	Thanks 39:19	turnaround 29:4	wanted 11:2,15 41:6	10:00 38:6
stepped 39:22	thing 20:8 26:14	turning 41:16	wanting 36:17,24	100 22:4 35:9
stick 47:17	things 12:15 13:4 23:17	TWA 24:19		105 29:1,8 41:7,10 42:13
strategy 37:7	25:8 28:6 31:14,15	twice 17:2	wants 14:17 49:2	42:14,17
Street 2:14	36:19 38:19 39:17 43:9	two 5:14 6:13 10:4 13:11	washing 32:15	110 10:20
strengthens 22:19	think 17:19,25 18:20 20:8	14:20 24:4 26:10 28:17	wasn't 20:13 32:6 43:16	110- 13:16
studied 9:19 10:3 43:12		38:19 47:22	water 32:8,13 46:12	12 9:9
studies 7:23 25:10 37:10	23:21 25:9 27:9,10	twofer 36:14	wave 14:3	14 7:23 15:6
	29:14 31:23 33:17 35:8		way 16:10 17:10,10 19:22	
37:18	36:11 39:21 41:7,12,21	type 47:14		14.8 13:16,18
	1	1		1

				Page 6
144 12:1	9781 1:24 2:16	1	l	
15 42:1 45:13 46:22 47:2	5701 1.24 2.10			
47:17 48:6 150 1:5 2:6 5:24,25 6:2				
7:25 15:1,7 16:19 37:10				
37:11,15,20 41:3 43:10				
16 22:14 161 9:19 10:3 37:13,14				
46:1				
17 14:12 16:6 17547 3:4				
1968 19:2				
1997 22:6 1998 12:9				
1999 22:9				
2				
2 9:22				
20 41:19,20 48:6				
20,000 47:9 2000 15:9				
2007 35:8				
2008 35:7,8 2013 6:6 8:22				
2015 1:15 2:16 16:6				
24 43:21 24-hour 32:22,24 43:5,13				
43:24,24				
24/7 45:1 2627 16:10				
3				
3 24:15 30 1:15 2:16 12:3 41:22				
30,000 48:3				
300 2:13 311 3:4				
365 48:2				
4				
4/20/15 1:5 2:6				
45 41:25				
5				
5 4:4				
500 1:21				
6				
6 35:9 6:00 2:15				
6:30 38:24				
60's 35:13 65 17:9 34:10 40:10,14				
7				
7:00 38:6,14,24 7:45 49:15				
70's 35:13				
8				
8 40:13 80 13:19,20,23 35:10 48:2				
48:6 818)551-7300 1:22				
9				
91203 1:21 91316 3:5				
91505 16:10				
	Ī	l	I	I

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM REVISION #2 RESPONSES TO VERBAL AND WRITTEN PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS

The Public Hearing for the Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program Revision #2 (NCP) update was held on March 30, 2015 in the Buena Vista Branch Library, 300 North Buena Vista Street, Burbank, California. An oral presentation by the consultant was given to those present at the hearing.

The public comments were recorded by a court reporter. In addition, comment sheets were made available for members of the public to provide written comments.

The comments and questions received during the hearing and corresponding comment period are responded to in this section. Several participants made similar comments. In those cases, the comments are grouped into a single category for purposes of presenting responses. A list of people making comments follows. The comment numbers indicate where the appropriate response will be found.

List of People Commenting				
Person Commenting	Representing	Comment Number		
1. Unidentified male	N/A	1, 25		
2. Ms. Gee	self	2,3,5,6,7		
3. Ms. Mecca	self	4, 38		
4. Mr. Moynahan	self	8-12		
5. Mr. Nolan	self	13-19, 35		
6. Ms. Thomas	self	20-24, 27-32, 34,36, 37		
7. Mr. McKay	self	26, 33		

Responses to Oral Comments Received at the Public Hearing

Comment 1: Lack of interest for whom? (Referring the reason for discontinuing the purchase assurance measure from the previous Noise Compatibility Program).

Response: During more than 17 years of operating the Residential Acoustical Treatment Program (RATP), the Authority has not identified a demand for this type of program. Given consistent participation in the RATP and stability in the local real estate market, it was recommended that this measure be removed from the NCP.

Comment 2: The 65 CNEL at one time reached to the studios, all the way down Hollywood Way to the studios. Are they still there?

Response: The outer 65 CNEL 2012 and 2017 noise exposure contours for Bob Hope Airport do not extend to Hollywood Way to the east. As shown on Exhibits 1 and 2 of the Noise Exposure Map document, the 2012 and 2017 65 CNEL contours are narrower and only extend to North Screenland Drive (two blocks west of Hollywood Way).

Comment 3: I don't think that's appropriate (Referring to not getting responses to their comments). These people are here as a hearing, and they're not hearing the answers. You're saying individually they'll be notified. They may -- it may generate questions. So to me that looks like a deliberate lack of following through on the spirit of the public hearing.

Response: Four opportunities were given for the public hearing attendees to receive responses to their questions. The first opportunity for attendees occurred during the workshop from 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. prior to the start of the public hearing. The second opportunity occurred after the last speaker during the hearing when Mr. Hardyment and Mr. Fitz responded to questions. The third opportunity was immediately after the public hearing when airport staff and consultants made themselves available for questions. The fourth opportunity is the posting the public hearing transcript and responses on the airport's website: http://www.burbankairport.com/noise/noise-issues/part150studyupdate.html

Comment 4: I have to agree with her because we need to hear the answer. If you can answer the question, then you can answer the question.

Response: Please see response to Comment 3.

Comment 5: I think it's an unnecessary and unreasonable part of this hearing today. It denies people from hearing each other and responding to -- not hearing the response. All the people hear what I say or you say. So to me that's not following the spirit, and I'd like that to be a matter of the record.

Response: Please see response to Comment 3.

Comment 6: I have a very -- a good friend that lives just south of the cemetery, and because she hasn't been able to afford to have her home brought to the degree of repair that she can get insulation, she's still living with that noise and has been all these years. That's a major thing that I think that this hearing should address about these people that are disqualified because they're too poor to come up to the standards of even getting their home insulated.

Response: Each home that is eligible for the residential sound program and corresponding homeowner agrees to the program is thoroughly inspected during the design phase by the architect/contractor. Federal Airport Improvement Program (AIP) money cannot be spent on a house that does not meet building codes. In addition, the individual cities will not close a building permit on a home with building code deficiencies. The Airport Authority does provide \$7,500 toward correcting building code deficiencies for owner occupied single family homes. If corrective measures to address building code deficiencies exceed \$7,500, the home owner must correct the deficiencies. The Airport Authority will reimburse the homeowner up to \$7,500 for the repairs. If the deficiencies are not corrected, the home cannot receive sound insulation improvements.

Comment 7: The fact that the program of purchasing of homes has been dropped, I guess it wasn't successful. But as you know, purchasing homes at a price you couldn't replace that home by going somewhere else in the community. So it's just like -- what can I compare it with? It's not a true helpful program.

Response: Comment noted.

Comment 8: The planes were louder then and I -- my baby was born in 1999. And there were nights when my house shook. Windows shook and woke up my baby, woke up my family, partly because we don't enforce a curfew. Our voluntary curfew doesn't really help us when there's no enforcement of it.

Response: The Authority prepared a Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 161 Study to establish a mandatory curfew, subject to certain exceptions, on operations at Bob Hope Airport from 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. The study began in 2000 and was completed in October 2009 at a cost of more than \$7 million and was submitted to FAA. It was the first Part 161 Study for Stage III access restrictions to be accepted as "complete" by the FAA, a landmark accomplishment that attests to the difficulty involved in this type of study. In November 2009, the FAA issued its finding that the study did not justify the imposition of the mandatory curfew.

Comment 9: The fact that we deal still with these noise issues is -- it strengthens our community's argument for an enforced curfew, and we'll keep fighting for that because, if we're not going to be helped by the Sound Mitigation Plan, then at least we want to be able to sleep at night.

Response: Please see response to Comment 8.

Comment 10: But thank you very much for offering to answer, and it makes sense to wait until the end, but I would like to hear the answers. I don't want Mrs. Gee to get an answer and then be responsible for disseminating that information to the community.

Response: Please see response to Comment 3.

Comment 11: The noise exposure contours being actually -- bringing them in makes a person like me feel helpless, disengaged, and insignificant as a member of this community. People in Pasadena and people in Glendale don't deal with these issues like I deal with them, the noise issues, every day, every night. Our house doesn't shake every night anymore, but sometimes it does. And that should be enough to do something about it. I'm not going to get my triple-paned windows it looks like. But maybe we can look at other things, enforcement of a curfew, so that my family can sleep at night.

Response: Please see response to Comment 8.

Comment 12: I think these boundaries shrinking, for a person like me, is not going to help. Some of our planes are quieter, not all of them. We're still waking up at night.

Response: Comment noted. There are two primary reasons for the reduction in the size of the Bob Hope Airport noise exposure contours. First, total operations are 49.8 percent lower (184,500 operations in 1998 versus 123,092 operations in 2012). This sizable drop in operation results in an overall reduction in the aircraft noise energy from the Bob Hope Airport that contributes to the smaller noise exposure contours. The second reason for the smaller noise exposure contours is that the number of older/louder generation Stage 2 aircraft dropped by 96.8 percent (6,356 Stage 2 operations in 1998 versus 199 in 2012).

Comment 13: This shrinkage of the contour makes no sense. Some of us have been involved back and even participated in urging to get stage 3 aircraft to fly into the airport, which we didn't have before.

Response: Please see responses to Comments 12.

Comment 14: If they have do the rebranding effort to Hollywood Burbank Airport, which was quite satisfactory to most of the airline tenants originally, it could have a dramatic impact on the number of flights. And to be shrinking the boundaries because, among other things, the economy, I think, is rather short sided, and I question the value of any studies that are done with shrunken boundaries.

Response: A Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approved operation forecast was used for calculating the 2017 noise exposure contours for Bob Hope Airport. The FAA approved forecast projects a 16.7 percent increase in airline operations (52,420 airline operations in 2012 forecast to increase to 61,200 by 2017). Also see response to Comment 12.

Comment 15: What we have here is a lack of confidence, and nothing that's been said so far tonight has done anything to instill the confidence of the people of Burbank. We're the most directly impacted, and I don't see the outreach to us to reassure us or assure us that anybody at the airport has our best interest in mind. This idea of telling people that their homes are not up to the standards of the airport so they can't get noise attenuation help is an insult to people who are fighting valiantly to hang onto their home.

Response: Please see response to Comment 6

Comment 16: I really believe that the basis you presented to us that the study is on is faulty, and I don't have any confidence at this point in what the results might be.

Response: The noise exposure contours for Bob Hope Airport were developed in accordance to Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulation, Part 150. The FAA evaluated and accepted the Bob Hope Airport Noise Exposure Map document on October 10, 2013.

Comment 17: We have yet to have an enforceable curfew at our airport, and the record should show that the authority has publicly announced they're studying two separate places for a new terminal. One would be by the B6, and one would be by the northwest quadrant. We finally got the information in the back room.

Response: Please see response to Comment 8.

Comment 18: The other thing is there was a young lady working for the airport who advised us at a meeting less than a year ago the terminal was no longer there that would not prevent easterly take-offs. Now we're getting mixed opinions from the staff, and I believe that should be verified. What would be the impact since they've announced a plan to remove the terminal and to replace it? I would hope that we could get that at least pinned down. I believe she meant what she said, and it's in a tape recording.

Response: Regardless of the terminal location, regular departures from Runway 8 by air carrier aircraft are unlikely due to the rising terrain concerns east of the airport, wind conditions (aircraft performance is increased when taking off into the wind), and Los Angeles basin overall traffic flow management concerns.

Comment 19: Other than that, I wish you well. I wish you wouldn't be trying to shrink it down, and I believe some of your assumptions should be carefully enunciated at the beginning of the report so we can all see the value of the numbers that you come up with.

Response: Comment noted. Also please see responses to Comments 12 and 14.

Comment 20: I too object to your three minutes. We don't have very many people here to speak this evening. I think it's a very, very important matter, and I think to tell us three minutes and whatever else you told us is very discouraging to me.

Response: Comment noted.

Comment 21: I found it interesting to note the reduction in the noise contours called out by the airport particularly since I believe, sir, you said that it was due to quieter aircraft, which we all know is, in many cases, true and, more importantly, a reduction in flights, a reduction in flights, particularly from what I read in the paper.

Response: Please see responses to Comments 12 and 14.

Comment 22: If you're going to bring more flights in, then I ask you about that contour because you specifically stated, as I mentioned before, there were two reasons for that reduction of the noise contour area. One was for quieter plans, which we understand in most instances, but specifically the other was for less flights.

Response: Please see responses to Comments 12 and 14.

Comment 23: It says, for example, Carvel said officials estimated that boarding passengers for both front and rear aircraft doors in Burbank allows the airlines to make up -- make up 105 flying days over

the course of a typical year. He noted that Southwest is experimenting with a similar boarding arrangement in Sacramento International Airport to reduce turnaround times there. What does that specifically mean? How do you relate to that? Obviously, if our newspaper fellow here, Chad, picked this up, up to 105 flying days over the course of a typical year, what does that mean? What does that mean to the Burbank residents? What does that mean to your contour? Because if you're looking to increase flights, wouldn't that noise contour maybe change?

Response: The article the commenter is referring to was not provided for us to review. The information appears to assess the time savings an airline would have by allowing passengers to board and exit the aircraft from two doors (front and rear doors) versus only one door (front door only). While ground efficiency and time savings can translate into the airline being able to use the aircraft more during a calendar year, it does not mean that these additional operations will occur at Bob Hope Airport. Passenger demand and market conditions are a better indication whether Bob Hope Airport will see additional airline operations. Chapter Two of the Bob Hope Airport Noise Exposure Map Update provides a detailed breakdown of the FAA approved forecasts. Also see response to Comment 14.

Comment 24: So that's what I want to know, and I think the people here would be interested in knowing what that answer was, not just to write to me.

Response: Please see response to Comment 3.

Comment 25: Is there another hearing down the road?

Response: There is not another public hearing scheduled. There will be another opportunity to provide comments on the NCP Revision when the document is presented to the Airport Authority.

Comment 26: It remains a declarative process though that way. You are making these statements, and we're allowed to comment on them, but it isn't reciprocal. That we understood the hearing is (Referring to getting responses to comments).

Response: Please see response to Comment 3.

Comment 27: Will the City of Burbank get a copy of that, sir?

Response: The NCP Revision document, public hearing transcript, and response to comments are public documents posted on the Airport Authorities website: http://www.burbankairport.com/noise/noise-issues/part150studyupdate.html

Comment 28: Some of the things I didn't get an opportunity to speak about previously, one of the things that we haven't mentioned tonight at all is the poor air quality that's caused by planes that fly out of the airport. Many people in our neighbors have problems breathing, asthma, many cases of cancer. I can personally report a death on my own block from cancer caused with her lung problems. And I have

other friends even closer that have had severe problems with their health. I think that should be part of this hearing as a matter of record and be responded to. There has been no study on this, and there should be. It should be a required part of this hearing study.

Response: The 14 CFR Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study process does not address air quality concerns.

Comment 29: No information now on the new airport location. It's preposterous. It's a significant possible threat where the new airport will be and what that's going to mean in the way of flight take-offs and the patterns, and so forth. That wasn't a part of tonight's discussion.

Response: The commenter may be referring to the proposed terminal relocation. Any potential changes to airport operations due to the relocation of the terminal building will be assessed during the Federal and State environmental documentation required for that action.

Comment 30: Underground water pollution. You don't hear about that anymore. The reason why is because not enough people perhaps have lived long enough, as I have, to know that is a severe problem that the airport's run-off from the runways cause, this pollution of Burbank's natural clean, clear water under the ground, and that's certainly something that should be addressed, washing off of residue from the runways into the ground.

Response: The 14 CFR Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study process does not address underground water pollution concerns.

Comment 31: The noise monitoring system dropped. It was so inadequate from the beginning, it didn't reflect the real noise. There was one monitor put at the end of my block in the middle of the block. I know what the results showed on the publications at the airport. The screen showing these wonderful monitors and how inadequate they were and how they made the noise over a 24-hour period. So if you had an ear breaker go over your house, that would be divided up into a 24-hour period, making the noise look much less of a concern and a hazard than it

Response: The noise monitor system has not been dropped. The Airport Authority recently upgraded the noise monitoring and flight track system. Because of this recent upgrade, the NCP measure to upgrade the noise monitor systems was removed from the program because the measure was implemented.

Comment 32: When you're talking about publishing everyone's comments, I'm not sure what you mean by that. Perhaps you can respond to that.

Response: Please see response to Comment 3.

Comment 33: In the same interest though, there's a trend now towards commercial airlines considering themselves charter and flying outside the curfew. We have flights at 6:30 on Saturday

morning, a quarter to 7:00, and when we ask about it, it's not commercial. It's a charter flight. But it's because it's presold. Now, this is what I was told. Is there any truth to what I've said, or is it possible for an airline to pre-sell a flight and it can become a charter and fly outside the curfew?

Response: Bob Hope Airport does have charter flights. Unlike scheduled airline service, charter flights do not have a regularly published schedule and can occur at any time of the day. Airlines providing scheduled service presell all of their flights via ticket sales. Preselling a scheduled flight does not allow an airline to become a charter flight. It should be noted that airlines do occasionally handle charters for college/professional sport teams and vacation junkets.

Comment 34: This information came from someone that he spoke with at the airport. I don't know who that is. But anyway 105 days over. Does that mean the -- allows the airlines to make up 105 flying days over the course of a typical year. Does that mean then that you could have 105 flights more a day? Does it mean -- what does that equate to?

Response: See response to Comment 23.

Comment 35: How many flights would it take for that 15 percent you're talking about? (Referring to the number of operation increase or decrease to warrant an update of the noise exposure contours.

Response: Bob Hope Airport had 123,092 operations in calendar year 2011 (used to develop the 2012 noise exposure contours). Fifteen percent of 123,092 is 18,463.

Comment 36: Did you say that would happen every two years? (Referring to updating the Noise Exposure Maps)

Response: Part 150 Studies are generally updated every five to 10 years.

Comment 37: 80 flights a day, 365 days, you're talking about almost 30,000 flights a year. So you would already be into that –(Referring to airline operations).

Response: Please see response to Comment 14.

Comment 38: I think they've been kind to answer the questions so far. I just want to say thank you very much. I don't think it's fair of us to continue asking for specifics on something. So that's my opinion.

Response: Comment noted.

Bob Hope Airport 14 CFR Part 150 Study Noise Compatibility Program Revision #2

Comments Received after Public Hearing During the Official Comment Period ending on April 17, 2015 and Responses David Fitz

From:	Mark Hardyment <mhardyment@bur.org></mhardyment@bur.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, March 31, 2015 11:44 AM
То:	lrishe@att.net
Subject:	RATP Eligibility - 12227 Vose Street, North Hollywood, CA

Good Morning Mr. Rishe;

Thank you for attending last night's Part 150 Public Hearing. I have looked up your property and can confirm for you that under our current program your property is outside of our eligibility area and even narrowly outside the actual 2017 forecast contour, however, it is within the area that we are proposing to the FAA that be included as block rounding for what the FAA refers to as "neighborhood equity" as part of the current Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). Ultimately, the inclusion of properties outside of the contour is subject to the Federal Aviation Administration's sole, discretionary prerogative.

Last night's Public Hearing was an important step towards our completion of that Study, but, I do not want to raise false expectations with you. Please bear in mind that once submitted to the FAA there will be a period of over 6 or more months for review by that agency before we hear if the proposed NCP has been approved. Once approved, that will enable the Airport to re-apply for grant funding.

Sincerely,

Mark D. Hardyment Director, Transportation & Environmental Programs Burbank-Bob Hope Airport (818) 840-8840

David Fitz

From:	Mark Hardyment <mhardyment@bur.org></mhardyment@bur.org>
Sent:	Wednesday, April 1, 2015 12:52 PM
То:	Laura Ioanou-Price
Subject:	RE: Airport Noise

Thank you for your comment, it will be forwarded to our consultant's preparing the Part 150 Study.

From: Laura Ioanou-Price [mailto:loawanna@aol.com] Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 10:02 AM To: Mark Hardyment Subject: Airport Noise

Hi,

I read the article in the Burbank Leader and I'm disappointed that the boundaries are shrinking. We moved into 1460 N. Evergreen St, May 2009. We we're upset to hear after moving in that we were 5 house away for being eligible for the program. We just purchased new windows, this past January to take advantage of the double rebate for new windows, it's wasn't as much as we hoped for, but it something, I think you should consider home that are out of the boundaries that have new owners and we not able to take advantage of the program. Or maybe pay for half of the upgrades. I think it's funny there is a barrier that states to fly quite at the end of the runway and I still hear the roar of cargo planes flying over my home. I was told I wasn't in the path and that the planes are suppose to turn west at Hatteras, obviously not true. Just my thoughts.

Thank you,

Laura Ioanou-Price 1460 N. Evergreen St. Burbank, Ca 91505

Response to Ms. Laura Ioanou-Price

The eligibility boundary for the residential acoustical treatment program (RATP) is based upon the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) accepted noise exposure contours for Bob Hope Airport, developed according to Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 150 (14 CFR Part 150). Criteria for the RATP boundary can be found in FAA Order 5100.38D, *Airport Improvement Program Handbook*. According to the Handbook, you must be within the eligibility boundary to receive funding for acoustical treatment.



www.commanassociales.com

KANSAS CITY (816) 524-3500

237 N.W. Blue Parkway Suite 100 Lee's Summit, MO 64063

PHOENIX (602) 993-6999

4835 E. Cactus Road Suite 235 Scottsdale, AZ 85254